

# Law invariant subsets

Ivar Ekeland [www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~ekeland](http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~ekeland)

CEREMADE., Université Paris-Dauphine

June 4, 2012

# Strongly exposed points

Let  $V$  be a Banach space, with dual  $V^*$ , and  $C \subset X$  a closed set.

## Definition

Let  $v \in C$  and  $v^* \in V^*$ . We shall say that  $v^*$  strongly exposes  $v$  in  $V$  if every minimizing sequence of  $v^*$  in  $C$  norm-converges to  $v$

$$\left[ w_n \in C, \quad \lim_n \langle v^*, w_n \rangle = \inf \{ \langle v^*, w \rangle \mid w \in C \} \right] \implies \lim_n \|w_n - v\| = 0$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{E}(C)$  the set of extreme points.

## Theorem (Phelps, 1974)

*If  $C$  is convex and weakly compact, it is the closed convex hull of the set of its strongly exposed points:*

$$C = \overline{\text{co}}(\mathcal{E}(C))$$

We fix a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ . The *law*  $\mu_X$  of a random vector  $X$  is a probability on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  defined by:

$$\forall f \in C_+^0(\mathbb{R}^d), E_\mu[f] = \int_\Omega f(X(\omega)) dP = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\mu_X$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the set of probabilities on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and by  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the set of  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $E_\mu[|x|] < \infty$ . We are interested in the space  $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Clearly  $X \in L^1$  iff  $\mu_X \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

# Measure-preserving transformations.

We shall write  $X_1 \sim X_2$  to mean that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  have the same law. Denote by  $\Sigma$  the set of all measure-preserving transformations from  $\Omega$  into itself:  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  iff  $\sigma$  is measurable, invertible, and  $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}, P(\sigma(A)) = P(A)$ . It is a group, which operates on random variables and preserves the law:

$$X \sim X \circ \sigma \quad \forall \sigma \in \Sigma$$

# The set of all random vectors with given law

For  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we set:

$$M(\mu) := \{X \mid \text{law}(X) = \mu\}$$

## Lemma

$M(\mu) = \overline{\{X \circ \sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}}$ , the closure being taken in the  $L^1$  norm.

So  $M(\mu)$  is closed, but it is not convex, nor weakly closed. We introduce its closed convex hull  $C(\mu)$ :

$$C(\mu) = \overline{\text{co}}(M(\mu))$$

It is easily checked that  $M(\mu)$  is equiintegrable, so  $C(\mu)$  is weakly compact (Dunford-Pettis)

## Definition

Take  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We shall say that  $\nu \preceq \mu$  if, for every convex function  $f$ , we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\nu \preceq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\mu$$

This is an (incomplete) order relation. It is known in potential theory as sweeping ( $\mu$  est une balayée de  $\nu$ ), or Choquet ordering.

- If  $\nu \preceq \mu$ , then  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  have the same barycenter.
- (certainty equivalence) if  $x_0 = E[x]$ , then  $\delta_{x_0} \preceq \mu$
- (diversification) if  $X_1 \sim X_2$  have law  $\mu$ , and  $Y = \frac{1}{2}(X_1 + X_2)$  has law  $\nu$ , then  $\nu \preceq \mu$

## Theorem

$$\mathcal{C}(\mu) = \{Y \in L^1 \mid \text{law}(Y) \preceq \text{law}(X)\}$$

# Weak convergence

## Theorem

If  $X_n \rightarrow Y$  weakly,  $\text{law}(X_n) = \mu$  and  $\text{law}(Y) = \nu$ , then  $\nu \preceq \mu$ , with equality iff  $\|X_n - Y\|_1 \rightarrow 0$

## Proof.

If  $f$  is convex and continuous:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f(X_n) dP \geq \int_{\Omega} f(Y) dP = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\nu$$

so  $\nu \preceq \mu$ . Suppose  $\mu = \nu$ . Taking  $f = |x|^p$ , we find  $\|X_n\|_p \rightarrow \|Y\|_p$ .  $\square$

## Corollary

$$\overline{\text{co}}M(\mu) = \overline{M(\mu)}^w = C(\mu)$$

Compare with relaxation theory and chattering solutions in the calculus of variations (see Ekeland-Temam)

Take some  $Z \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with law  $\nu$ . The three following problems yield the same value (Kantorovitch) called maximal correlation between  $\nu$  and  $\mu$

$$\max \{ \langle Z, X \rangle \mid X \in M(\mu) \}$$

$$\max \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} z \cdot x \, d\pi \mid \pi \in \Pi(\nu, \mu) \right\}$$

$$\max \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} z \cdot T(z) \, d\nu \mid \mu = T\# \nu \right\}$$

If  $\nu$  is ac wrt Lebesgue. We get a unique solution  $T$  so the last problem.



# Strongly exposing functionals

Setting  $X = T \circ Z$ , we find the following:

## Theorem

*Let  $Z \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with law  $\nu$ , ac wrt Lebesgue measure. Then  $Z$  strongly exposes some point  $X$  in  $C(\mu)$ , and in fact  $X \in M(\mu)$ .*

## Theorem

*Suppose  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  both are ac wrt Lebesgue measure, with  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\nu$  compact support. Then every point  $X \in M(\mu)$  can be strongly exposed in  $C(\mu)$  by some  $Z$  with law  $\nu$ .*

# Minimal subsets

Given a convex, law invariant subset  $A$  of  $L^1$ , we consider:

$$K := \{(X, X \circ \sigma) \mid X \in A, \sigma \in \Sigma\} \subset A \times A$$

## Definition

We shall say that  $A$  is minimal if:

$$A \times A = \overline{\text{co}}(K)$$

## Example

If  $A = C(\mu)$ , then  $A = \overline{\{X \circ \sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}}^w$  for any  $X$  with law  $\mu$ , so:

$$A \times A = \overline{\{(X, X \circ \sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}}$$

Is there anything else ?

## Theorem

*If a convex law-invariant set  $A$  is minimal and weakly compact, then there is some  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $A = C(\mu)$*

Since  $A$  is weakly compact, it is the closed convex hull of its set of strongly exposed points. Let  $X \in A$  be such a point and  $\mu$  its law. We claim that  $A = C(\mu)$ .

## Proof (cont'd)

By definition, there is some  $Z \in L^\infty(R^d)$  such that  $\sup_{Y \in A} -\langle Y, Z \rangle = -\langle X, Z \rangle$  and if  $X_n \in A$  is a maximizing sequence, then  $X_n \rightarrow X$  strongly in  $L^1(R^d)$ . Since  $A$  is minimal we have, for every  $Z' \in L^\infty(R^d)$ :

$$\sup_{Y \in A} \langle -Y, Z \rangle + \sup_{Y \in A} \langle -Y, Z' \rangle = \sup \{ \langle -Y, Z \rangle + \langle -Y \circ \sigma, Z' \rangle \mid Y \in A, \sigma \in \tau \}$$

Let  $(Y_n, \sigma_n)$  be a maximizing sequence. Since we have  $=$  instead of  $\geq$ , the sequence  $Y_n$  must be maximizing for  $Z$  and the sequence  $Y_n \circ \sigma_n$  for  $Z'$ . So  $Y_n \rightarrow X$  in  $L^1$  and:

$$\sup_{Y \in A} \langle -Y, Z' \rangle = \sup_{\tau} \langle -X \circ \sigma, Z' \rangle = \sup \{ \langle -\tilde{X}, Z' \rangle \mid \tilde{X} \in C(\mu) \}$$

Since  $A$  is convex and closed,  $A = C(\mu)$

A  $d$ -dimensional risk measure ( $d$ -r.m.) is a function  $\rho : L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , such that:

- $\rho(0) = 0$
- $X \geq Y \implies \rho(X) \leq \rho(Y)$
- $\rho(X + me) = \rho(X) - m$  for  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $e = (1, \dots, 1)$

It is

- *law-invariant* if  $X \sim Y$  implies that  $\rho(X) = \rho(Y)$
- *convex* if  $\rho$  is a convex function
- *strongly coherent* if positively homogeneous and:

$$\rho(X) + \rho(Y) = \sup \left\{ \rho(X + \tilde{Y}) \mid Y \sim \tilde{Y} \right\}$$

# Examples of strongly coherent risk measures

- ① Let  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_d) \in L^1(R^d)$  satisfy  $F_i \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , and  $\sum_i E[F_i] = 1$ . Define

$$\rho_F := \sup \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[ -F \cdot \tilde{X} \right] \mid \tilde{X} \sim X \right\} = \sup \left\{ \sum_i \mathbb{E} \left[ -F_i \tilde{X}_i \right] \mid \tilde{X} \sim X \right\}$$

- ② ( $p = \infty$ ) Denote by  $S^d$  the unit simplex in  $R^d$  and let  $\xi \in S^d$ , so that  $\xi_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum \xi_i = 1$ . Define

$$\rho_{\xi}(X) := \text{ess sup} -X \cdot \xi = \text{ess sup} - \sum X_i \xi_i$$

- ③ ( $p = \infty$ ) Let  $\pi$  be a probability on  $S^d$ . Set:

$$\rho_{\pi}(X) := \int_{S^d} \rho_{\xi}(X) d\pi(\xi)$$

## Theorem

$\rho$  is a strongly coherent d-r.m. if and only if there is some  $F \in L_+^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\sum_i E[F_i] = 1$ , a probability  $\pi$  on  $S^d$  and a number  $s$  with  $0 \leq s \leq 1$  such that:

$$\forall X \in L^\infty, \quad \rho(X) = s\rho_\pi(X) + (1-s)\rho_F(X)$$

This result is due to:

- Kusuoka (2001) in the case  $d = 1$
- IE, Galichon and Henry in the case  $d > 1, p < \infty$
- IE and Schachermayer in the case  $d > 1, p < \infty$

If  $\rho : L^\infty (R^d) \rightarrow R$  is a convex and law-invariant, it is lower semi-continuous wrt  $\sigma (L^\infty, L^1)$  (Jouini, Schachermayer, Touzi, 2006). It follows that  $\rho$  is coherent (homogeneous) if and only if there exists a closed, convex, law-invariant subset  $C$  of  $L^1 (R^d)$  such that.

$$\rho (X) = \sup_{F \in C} \langle F, X \rangle$$

## Lemma

*$\rho$  is strongly coherent iff  $C$  is minimal*



Suppose  $\rho = \rho_C$  and  $C \times C$  is the closed convex hull of  $K$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_C(X) + \rho_C(Y) &= \sup \{ -\langle F, X \rangle - \langle G, Y \rangle \mid (F, G) \in C \times C \} \\ &= \sup \{ -\langle F, X \rangle - \langle F \circ \tau, Y \rangle \mid F \in C, \tau \in \mathcal{T} \} \\ &= \sup \{ -\langle F, X + Y \circ \tau^{-1} \rangle \mid F \in C, \tau \in \mathcal{T} \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ -\langle F, X + \tilde{Y} \rangle \mid \tilde{Y} \sim Y \} = \rho_C(X + \tilde{Y})\end{aligned}$$

and the reverse inequality is always true