

Convex chains for Schubert varieties

VALENTINA KIRITCHENKO

(joint work with Evgeny Smirnov and Vladlen Timorin)

In [4], we constructed generalized Newton polytopes for Schubert subvarieties in the variety of complete flags in \mathbb{C}^n . Every such “polytope” is a union of faces of a Gelfand–Zetlin polytope (the latter is a well-known Newton–Okounkov body for the flag variety). These unions of faces are responsible for Demazure characters of Schubert varieties and were originally used for Schubert calculus.

The methods of [4] lead to an extension of Demazure (or divided difference) operators from representation theory and topology to the setting of convex geometry. Below I define divided difference operators acting on convex polytopes and outline some applications such as a simple inductive construction of Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes and their generalizations.

The definition is based on the following observation. Let $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$ where $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ denote the integer *coordinate parallelepiped* $\{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mid \mu_i \leq x_i \leq \nu_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, and let $\sigma(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ denote the sum of coordinates $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i$. Given a parallelepiped $\Gamma = \Pi(\mu, \nu) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ of dimension $m - 1$ (assume that $\mu_m = \nu_m$) and an integer C , there is a unique parallelepiped $\Pi = \Pi(\mu, \nu') \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\Gamma = \Pi \cap \{x_m = \mu_m\}$ (that is, $\nu'_i = \nu_i$ for $i < m$) and

$$\sum_{x \in \Pi \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} t^{\sigma(x)} = D_C \left(\sum_{x \in \Gamma \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} t^{\sigma(x)} \right), \quad (*)$$

where D_C is a Demazure-type operator on the ring $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ of Laurent polynomials in t :

$$D_C(f) := \frac{f - tf^*}{1 - t}, \quad f^* := t^C f(t^{-1}).$$

Indeed, an easy calculation (using the formula for the sum of a geometric progression) shows that $\sum_{i=1}^m (\mu_i + \nu'_i) = C$ which yields the value of ν'_m . Note that Γ is a facet of Π unless $\Pi = \Gamma$.

We now use this observation in a more general context. A *root space* of rank n is a coordinate space \mathbb{R}^d together with a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{d_n}$$

and a collection of linear functions $l_1, \dots, l_n \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ such that l_i vanishes on \mathbb{R}^{d_i} . We always assume that the summands are coordinate subspaces so that \mathbb{R}^{d_1} is spanned by the first d_1 basis vectors etc.

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex polytope in the root space. It is called a *parapolytope* if for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, the intersection of P with any parallel translate of \mathbb{R}^{d_i} is a coordinate parallelepiped. For instance, if $d = n$, that is, $d_1 = \dots = d_n = 1$, then every polytope is a parapolytope. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we now define a *divided difference operator* A_i on parapolytopes. In general, the operator A_i takes values in *convex chains* in \mathbb{R}^d (see [3] for a definition) but in many cases of interest (see examples below) these convex chains will just be single convex parapolytopes.

First, consider the case where $P \subset (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_i})$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$, i.e. $P = P(\mu, \nu)$ is a coordinate parallelepiped. Here $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$, $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d)$. Put $N_i := d_1 + \dots + d_i$ and $N_0 = 0$. Assume that $\dim(P) < d_i$. Choose the smallest $j \in [N_{i-1} + 1, N_i]$ such that $\mu_j = \nu_j$. Define $A_i(P)$ to be the coordinate parallelepiped $\Pi(\mu, \nu')$, where $\nu'_k = \nu_k$ for all $k \neq j$ and ν'_j is chosen so that

$$\sum_{k=N_{i-1}+1}^{N_i} (\mu_k + \nu'_k) = l_i(c), \quad (**)$$

that is, an analog of formula (*) holds for $\Gamma = P$, $\Pi = A_i(P)$ and $C = l_i(c)$. The definition yields a non-virtual coordinate parallelepiped if $l_i(c)$ is sufficiently large and can be extended to other values of $l_i(c)$ by linearity.

For an arbitrary paracpolytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ define $A_i(P)$ as the union of $A_i(P \cap (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_i}))$ over all $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$A_i(P) = \bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{A_i(P \cap (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_i}))\}$$

(assuming that $\dim(P \cap (c + \mathbb{R}^{d_i})) < d_i$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$). In other words, we first slice P by subspaces parallel to \mathbb{R}^{d_i} and then replace each slice with another parallelepiped according to (**). Note that P is a facet of $A_i(P)$ unless $A_i(P) = P$. It is easy to check that $A_i^2 = A_i$ (the same identity as for the classical Demazure operators).

Examples: (1) The simplest meaningful example is $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} = \{(x, y)\}$ with the functions $l_1 = y$ and $l_2 = x$. If $P = (a, b)$ is a point, then $A_1(P)$ and $A_2(P)$ are segments:

$$A_1(P) = [(a, b), (b - a, b)], \quad A_2(P) = [(a, b), (a, a - b)],$$

assuming that $\frac{1}{2}b \geq a \geq 2b$. If $b < 2a$, then $A_1(P)$ is a virtual segment. If $2b > a$, then $A_2(P)$ is virtual.

If $P = [(a, b), (a', b)]$ is a horizontal segment, then $A_2(P)$ is the trapezoid (or a skew trapezoid) with the vertices (a, b) , (a', b) , $(a, a - b)$, $(a', a' - b)$.

(2) A more interesting example is $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R} = \{(x, y, z)\}$ with the functions $l_1 = z$ and $l_2 = x + y$. If $P = [(a, b, c), (a', b, c)]$ is a segment in \mathbb{R}^2 , then $A_1(P)$ is the rectangle with the vertices (a, b, c) , (a', b, c) , $(a, c - a - a' - b, c)$, $(a', c - a - a' - b, c)$. Using this calculation and those in (1), it is easy to show that if $P = (b, c, c)$ is a point and $-b - c > b > c$, then $A_1 A_2 A_1(P)$ is the 3-dimensional Gelfand–Zetlin polytope given by the inequalities $a \geq x \geq b$, $b \geq y \geq c$ and $x \geq z \geq y$, where $a + b + c = 0$.

(3) Generalizing the last example we now construct Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes for arbitrary n via divided difference operators. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $d = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. Consider the root space $\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^1$ of rank $(n-1)$ with the functions l_i given by the formula: $l_i(x) = \sigma_{i-1}(x) + \sigma_{i+1}(x)$. Here $\sigma_i(x)$ denotes the sum of those coordinates of $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that correspond to the subspace \mathbb{R}^{d_i} (put $\sigma_0 = \sigma_n = 0$).

For every strictly dominant weight $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ (that is, $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_n$) of GL_n such that $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 0$, the Gelfand–Zetlin polytope Q_λ coincides with the polytope

$$[(A_1 \dots A_{n-1})(A_1 \dots A_{n-2}) \dots (A_1)](p),$$

where $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the point $(\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n; \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n; \dots; \lambda_n)$.

Similarly, divided difference operators for suitable root spaces allow one to construct the classical Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes for symplectic and orthogonal groups. They also yield an elementary description of more general *string polytopes* defined in [5] and might help to extend the results of [4] to arbitrary semisimple groups.

As outlined below, these convex geometric operators are well suited for inductive constructions of Newton–Okounkov polytopes for line bundles on Bott towers and on Bott–Samelson varieties (for natural choice of a geometric valuation). The former polytopes were described in [2] and the latter are currently being computed by Dave Anderson.

Bott towers. Consider a root space with $d = n$, that is, $d_1 = \dots = d_n = 1$. We have the decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \underbrace{\mathbb{R} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}}_n; \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

into coordinate lines. Assume that the linear function l_i for $i < n$ does not depend on y_1, \dots, y_i , and $l_n = y_1$. I can show that the polytope $P := A_1 \dots A_n(p)$ (for a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$) coincides with the Newton–Okounkov body for a *Bott tower* (that depends on l_1, \dots, l_n) together with a line bundle (that depends on p). For $n = 2$, a Bott tower is a Hirzebruch surface and P is a trapezoid (or a skew trapezoid) constructed similarly to the one in example (1). In general, a Bott tower is a toric variety obtained by successive projectivizations of rank two split vector bundles, and P is a multidimensional version of a trapezoid.

Bott–Samelson resolutions. Let $X = R(i_1, \dots, i_l)$ be the *Bott–Samelson variety* corresponding to any sequence $(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_l})$ of roots of the group GL_n . It can be obtained by successive projectivizations of rank two (usually non-split) vector bundles. Consider the root space $\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{d_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{d_{n-1}}$ with the functions l_i given by the formula $l_i(x) = \sigma_{i-1}(x) + \sigma_{i+1}(x)$, where d_i is the number of times the root α_i occurs in the sequence $(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_l})$. Denote by T_v the parallel translation in the root space by a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Consider the polytope

$$P = [A_{i_1} T_{v_1} A_{i_2} \dots T_{v_{l-1}} A_{i_l}](p).$$

In his talk, Dave Anderson described an algorithm for computing the Newton–Okounkov body of a line bundle on X with respect to the valuation given by the flag of subvarieties $\{\dots \supset R(i_{l-1}, i_l) \supset R(i_l)\}$. Based on his computations for $l = 3$ [1], I conjecture that this Newton–Okounkov body coincides with P for suitable choice of a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and vectors $v_j \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{i_j}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, l - 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dave Anderson, *Okounkov bodies and toric degenerations*, preprint arXiv:1001.4566v2 [math.AG]

-
- [2] Michael Grossberg and Yael Karshon, *Bott towers, complete integrability, and the extended character of representations*, Duke Math. J. **76** (1994), no. 1, 23–58.
 - [3] A.G. Khovanskii, A.V. Pukhlikov, *Finitely additive measures of virtual polytopes*, St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal **4** (1993), no.2, 337–356
 - [4] Valentina Kiritchenko, Evgeny Smirnov, Vladlen Timorin, *Schubert calculus and Gelfand–Zetlin polytopes*, preprint arXiv:1101.0278v2 [math.AG]
 - [5] Peter Littelmann, *Cones, crystals and patterns*, Transformation Groups **3** (1998), pp. 145–179