### What is a Discrete Painlevé Equation?

### Anton Dzhamay

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Northern Colorado, Greeley, CO

Based on joint work with Tomoyuki Takenawa, Tokyo University of Marine Science and Technology, Japan Adrian Stefan Carstea, NIPNE, Bucharest, Romania Galina Filipuk, University of Warsaw, Poland Alexander Stokes, University College, London, UK

Contemporary Mathematics Summer School

Dubna, Russia July 29, 2021



• The goal of my talk is to give an introduction into some geometric methods and ideas for studying discrete integrable systems.

- The goal of my talk is to give an introduction into some geometric methods and ideas for studying discrete integrable systems.
- By a discrete dynamical system we mean a mapping of some configuration space  $\varphi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ :



- The goal of my talk is to give an introduction into some geometric methods and ideas for studying discrete integrable systems.
- By a discrete dynamical system we mean a mapping of some configuration space  $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ :



We usually impose the condition that the mapping is *reversible*.

• In this way we get a trajectory  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $x_n = \varphi^{\circ n}(x_0)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is time.

- The goal of my talk is to give an introduction into some geometric methods and ideas for studying discrete integrable systems.
- By a discrete dynamical system we mean a mapping of some configuration space  $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ :



- In this way we get a trajectory  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $x_n = \varphi^{\circ n}(x_0)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is time.
- We want such system be *interesting* (i.e., *nonlinear*), but at the same time, we want it to be *integrable* (no *chaos*, conserved quantities, additional structures, explicit solutions).

- The goal of my talk is to give an introduction into some geometric methods and ideas for studying discrete integrable systems.
- By a discrete dynamical system we mean a mapping of some configuration space  $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ :



- In this way we get a trajectory  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $x_n = \varphi^{\circ n}(x_0)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is time.
- We want such system be *interesting* (i.e., *nonlinear*), but at the same time, we want it to be *integrable* (no *chaos*, conserved quantities, additional structures, explicit solutions).
- In this talk we consider two second-order examples of such systems autonomous (QRT maps) and non-autonomous (discrete Painlevé equations). We obtain (examples of) discrete Painlevé equations from (some) QRT maps using a geometric deautonomization procedure.

- The goal of my talk is to give an introduction into some geometric methods and ideas for studying discrete integrable systems.
- By a discrete dynamical system we mean a mapping of some configuration space  $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ :



- In this way we get a trajectory  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $x_n = \varphi^{\circ n}(x_0)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is time.
- We want such system be *interesting* (i.e., *nonlinear*), but at the same time, we want it to be *integrable* (no *chaos*, conserved quantities, additional structures, explicit solutions).
- In this talk we consider two second-order examples of such systems autonomous (QRT maps) and non-autonomous (discrete Painlevé equations). We obtain (examples of) discrete Painlevé equations from (some) QRT maps using a geometric deautonomization procedure.
- The main tools that we use are the *regularization* of the mapping using the *blowup* procedure, *linearization* of the mapping via the induced map on the *Picard lattice* of the resulting algebraic surface, and, in the discrete Painlevé case, *birational representations* of the *(extended) affine Weyl symmetry group* of the equation.

Painlevé equation:	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = 6y^2 + t,$	$t\in\mathbb{C}$	(P-I)
--------------------	---------------------------------	------------------	-------

Painlevé equation:	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = 6y^2 + t,$	$t\in\mathbb{C}$	(P-I)
Not a Painlevé(-type) equations:	$3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1,$	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0$	

Painlevé equation:	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = 6y^2 + t,$	$t\in\mathbb{C}$	(P-I)
Not a Painlevé(-type) equations:	$3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1,$	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0$	

Singularity Structure of Solutions

Painlevé equation:	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = 6y^2 + t,$	$t\in\mathbb{C}$	(P-I)
Not a Painlevé(-type) equations:	$3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1,$	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0$	
Singularity Structure of Solutions			
• $3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1 \implies y = \sqrt[3]{t - c_1} - m$	ovable branch point		

Painlevé equation:	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = 6y^2 + t,$	$t\in\mathbb{C}$	(P-I)
Not a Painlevé(-type) equations:	$3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1,$	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0$	
Singularity Structure of Solutions			
• $3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1 \implies y = \sqrt[3]{t - c_1}$ — movable branch point • $\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0 \implies y = \log(t - c_1) + c_2$ — movable essential singularity point			

Painlevé equation:	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = 6y^2 + t,$	$t\in\mathbb{C}$	(P-I)
Not a Painlevé(-type) equations:	$3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1,$	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0$	
Singularity Structure of Solutions			
• $3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1 \implies y = \sqrt[3]{t-c_1}$ — movable branch point • $\frac{d^2y}{dt} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0 \implies y = \log(t-c_1) + c_2$ — movable essential singularity point			
• $\frac{dy}{dt} = y^2 \implies y = -(t - c_1)^{-1}$ — movable pole			

Painlevé equation:	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = 6y^2 + t,$	$t\in\mathbb{C}$	(P-I)
Not a Painlevé(-type) equations:	$3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1,$	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0$	
Singularity Structure of Solutions			
• $3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1 \implies y = \sqrt[3]{t - c_1} - mc$	wable branch point	:	
• $\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0 \implies y = \log(t - c_1) + c_2$ — movable essential singularity point			
• $\frac{dy}{dt} = y^2 \implies y = -(t-c_1)^{-1} - r$	novable pole		

#### **Differential Painlevé Equations**

*Painlevé equations* are second-order algebraic differential equations satisfying the *Painlevé Property*: the general solution of the equation is free of movable (i.e., dependent on the constants of integration) critical points where it loses local single-valuedness (e.g., branch points like  $\sqrt{x-c}$ ) — i.e., *uniformizability* of a general solution.

Painlevé equation:	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = 6y^2 + t,$	$t\in\mathbb{C}$	(P-I)
Not a Painlevé(-type) equations:	$3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1,$	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0$	
Singularity Structure of Solutions			
• $3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1 \implies y = \sqrt[3]{t - c_1}$ — movable branch point • $\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0 \implies y = \log(t - c_1) + c_2$ — movable essential singularity point			
• $\frac{dy}{dt} = y^2 \implies y = -(t - c_1)^{-1} - r$	novable pole		

#### **Differential Painlevé Equations**

*Painlevé equations* are second-order algebraic differential equations satisfying the *Painlevé Property*: the general solution of the equation is free of movable (i.e., dependent on the constants of integration) critical points where it loses local single-valuedness (e.g., branch points like  $\sqrt{x-c}$ ) — i.e., *uniformizability* of a general solution.

• P. Painlevé, B. Gambier (1902–10) — P<sub>1</sub>,..., P<sub>VI</sub>.

Painlevé equation:	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = 6y^2 + t,$	$t\in\mathbb{C}$	(P-I)
Not a Painlevé(-type) equations:	$3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1,$	$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0$	
Singularity Structure of Solutions			
• $3\frac{dy}{dt}y^2 = 1 \implies y = \sqrt[3]{t-c_1}$ — movable branch point			
• $\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 0 \implies y = \log(t - c_1) + c_2$ — movable essential singularity point			
dy = 2 , $(t = ) -1$			

## • $\frac{dy}{dt} = y^2 \implies y = -(t - c_1)^{-1}$ — movable pole

#### **Differential Painlevé Equations**

*Painlevé equations* are second-order algebraic differential equations satisfying the *Painlevé Property*: the general solution of the equation is free of movable (i.e., dependent on the constants of integration) critical points where it loses local single-valuedness (e.g., branch points like  $\sqrt{x-c}$ ) — i.e., *uniformizability* of a general solution.

- P. Painlevé, B. Gambier (1902–10) P<sub>1</sub>,..., P<sub>VI</sub>.
- R. Fuchs, L. Schlesinger, and R. Garnier (1907–12) relationship to *Isomonodromic Deformations* of *Fuchsian systems*.

The original motivation behind the work of P. Painlevé and his students and colleagues was the search for new *nonlinear* special functions.

The original motivation behind the work of P. Painlevé and his students and colleagues was the search for new *nonlinear* special functions.

Many important functions can be characterized as solutions of *ordinary differential equations*. For example:

The original motivation behind the work of P. Painlevé and his students and colleagues was the search for new *nonlinear* special functions.

Many important functions can be characterized as solutions of *ordinary differential equations*. For example:

• Solution of y' = y is the exponential function  $y(t) = y(0)e^t$ .

The original motivation behind the work of P. Painlevé and his students and colleagues was the search for new *nonlinear* special functions.

Many important functions can be characterized as solutions of *ordinary differential equations*. For example:

- Solution of y' = y is the exponential function  $y(t) = y(0)e^t$ .
- Solution of y'' = -y can be given as a *linear combination* of the basic trigonometric functions,  $y(t) = y(0)\cos(t) + y'(0)\sin(t)$ .

The original motivation behind the work of P. Painlevé and his students and colleagues was the search for new *nonlinear* special functions.

Many important functions can be characterized as solutions of *ordinary differential equations*. For example:

- Solution of y' = y is the exponential function  $y(t) = y(0)e^t$ .
- Solution of y'' = -y can be given as a *linear combination* of the basic trigonometric functions,  $y(t) = y(0)\cos(t) + y'(0)\sin(t)$ .

Above equations are equations with *constant coefficients*. When coefficients of an ODE are *polynomial* (or, more generally, *analytic*) functions in the independent variable *t* we get such important special functions of mathematical physics as the *Gauss Hypergeometric* functions, *Kummer* functions, *Hermite* functions and *Hermite polynomials*, *Bessel* functions, *Airy* functions, and many others.

The original motivation behind the work of P. Painlevé and his students and colleagues was the search for new *nonlinear* special functions.

Many important functions can be characterized as solutions of *ordinary differential equations*. For example:

- Solution of y' = y is the exponential function  $y(t) = y(0)e^t$ .
- Solution of y'' = -y can be given as a *linear combination* of the basic trigonometric functions,  $y(t) = y(0)\cos(t) + y'(0)\sin(t)$ .

Above equations are equations with *constant coefficients*. When coefficients of an ODE are *polynomial* (or, more generally, *analytic*) functions in the independent variable *t* we get such important special functions of mathematical physics as the *Gauss Hypergeometric* functions, *Kummer* functions, *Hermite* functions and *Hermite polynomials*, *Bessel* functions, *Airy* functions, and many others.

All of the above examples are characterized by *linear* ODEs. Important features of the linear case:

The original motivation behind the work of P. Painlevé and his students and colleagues was the search for new *nonlinear* special functions.

Many important functions can be characterized as solutions of *ordinary differential equations*. For example:

- Solution of y' = y is the exponential function  $y(t) = y(0)e^t$ .
- Solution of y'' = -y can be given as a *linear combination* of the basic trigonometric functions,  $y(t) = y(0)\cos(t) + y'(0)\sin(t)$ .

Above equations are equations with *constant coefficients*. When coefficients of an ODE are *polynomial* (or, more generally, *analytic*) functions in the independent variable *t* we get such important special functions of mathematical physics as the *Gauss Hypergeometric* functions, *Kummer* functions, *Hermite* functions and *Hermite polynomials*, *Bessel* functions, *Airy* functions, and many others.

All of the above examples are characterized by *linear* ODEs. Important features of the linear case:

• The superposition principle.

The original motivation behind the work of P. Painlevé and his students and colleagues was the search for new *nonlinear* special functions.

Many important functions can be characterized as solutions of *ordinary differential equations*. For example:

- Solution of y' = y is the exponential function  $y(t) = y(0)e^t$ .
- Solution of y'' = -y can be given as a *linear combination* of the basic trigonometric functions,  $y(t) = y(0)\cos(t) + y'(0)\sin(t)$ .

Above equations are equations with *constant coefficients*. When coefficients of an ODE are *polynomial* (or, more generally, *analytic*) functions in the independent variable *t* we get such important special functions of mathematical physics as the *Gauss Hypergeometric* functions, *Kummer* functions, *Hermite* functions and *Hermite polynomials*, *Bessel* functions, *Airy* functions, and many others.

All of the above examples are characterized by *linear* ODEs. Important features of the linear case:

- The superposition principle.
- The singularity structure of solutions is determined by the *singularity structure* of the (coefficients of) *the equation*.

The original motivation behind the work of P. Painlevé and his students and colleagues was the search for new *nonlinear* special functions.

Many important functions can be characterized as solutions of *ordinary differential equations*. For example:

- Solution of y' = y is the exponential function  $y(t) = y(0)e^t$ .
- Solution of y'' = -y can be given as a *linear combination* of the basic trigonometric functions,  $y(t) = y(0)\cos(t) + y'(0)\sin(t)$ .

Above equations are equations with *constant coefficients*. When coefficients of an ODE are *polynomial* (or, more generally, *analytic*) functions in the independent variable *t* we get such important special functions of mathematical physics as the *Gauss Hypergeometric* functions, *Kummer* functions, *Hermite* functions and *Hermite polynomials*, *Bessel* functions, *Airy* functions, and many others.

All of the above examples are characterized by *linear* ODEs. Important features of the linear case:

- The superposition principle.
- The singularity structure of solutions is determined by the *singularity structure* of the (coefficients of) *the equation*.

In particular, in the nonlinear case, singularities of solutions can depend on the *IVP* — movable singularities.

The original motivation behind the work of P. Painlevé and his students and colleagues was the search for new *nonlinear* special functions.

Many important functions can be characterized as solutions of *ordinary differential equations*. For example:

- Solution of y' = y is the exponential function  $y(t) = y(0)e^t$ .
- Solution of y'' = -y can be given as a *linear combination* of the basic trigonometric functions,  $y(t) = y(0)\cos(t) + y'(0)\sin(t)$ .

Above equations are equations with *constant coefficients*. When coefficients of an ODE are *polynomial* (or, more generally, *analytic*) functions in the independent variable *t* we get such important special functions of mathematical physics as the *Gauss Hypergeometric* functions, *Kummer* functions, *Hermite* functions and *Hermite polynomials*, *Bessel* functions, *Airy* functions, and many others.

All of the above examples are characterized by *linear* ODEs. Important features of the linear case:

- The superposition principle.
- The singularity structure of solutions is determined by the *singularity structure* of the (coefficients of) *the equation*.

In particular, in the nonlinear case, singularities of solutions can depend on the *IVP* — movable singularities.

In certain sense, the Painlevé property is an attempt to single out the equations that have a meaningful notion of a general solution and the associated Riemann surface — *integrability*.

n = 1: L. Fuchs, H. Poincaré

• 
$$\left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 4y^3 - g_2y - g_3, \quad g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{C}$$
 Weierstrass  $\wp(t|g_2, g_3)$   
•  $\frac{dy}{dt} = a(t)y^2 + b(t)y + c(t),$  (Riccati equation)

n = 1: L. Fuchs, H. Poincaré•  $\left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 4y^3 - g_2y - g_3, \quad g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  Weierstrass  $\wp(t|g_2, g_3)$ •  $\frac{dy}{dt} = a(t)y^2 + b(t)y + c(t),$  (Riccati equation)

n = 2: P. Painlevé, B. Gambier — Painlevé equations and Painlevé transcendents:

$$n = 1: L. \text{ Fuchs, H. Poincaré}$$
•  $\left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 4y^3 - g_2y - g_3, \quad g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  Weierstrass  $\wp(t|g_2, g_3)$ 
•  $\frac{dy}{dt} = a(t)y^2 + b(t)y + c(t),$  (Riccati equation)

n = 2: P. Painlevé, B. Gambier — Painlevé equations and Painlevé transcendents:

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

n = 1: L. Fuchs, H. Poincaré•  $\left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 4y^3 - g_2y - g_3, \quad g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  Weierstrass  $\wp(t|g_2, g_3)$ •  $\frac{dy}{dt} = a(t)y^2 + b(t)y + c(t),$  (Riccati equation)

n = 2: P. Painlevé, B. Gambier — Painlevé equations and Painlevé transcendents:

n = 1: L. Fuchs, H. Poincaré  $\bullet \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 4y^3 - g_2y - g_3, \quad g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{Weierstrass } \wp(t|g_2, g_3)$   $\bullet \frac{dy}{dt} = a(t)y^2 + b(t)y + c(t), \quad (\text{Riccati equation})$ 

n = 2: P. Painlevé, B. Gambier — Painlevé equations and Painlevé transcendents:



n = 1: L. Fuchs, H. Poincaré•  $\left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 = 4y^3 - g_2y - g_3, \quad g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  Weierstrass  $\wp(t|g_2, g_3)$ •  $\frac{dy}{dt} = a(t)y^2 + b(t)y + c(t),$  (Riccati equation)

n = 2: P. Painlevé, B. Gambier — Painlevé equations and Painlevé transcendents:

$$(P-I) \quad \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = 6y^2 + t; \quad Painlevé equations have parameters! 
(P-II) \quad \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = 2y^3 + ty + \alpha; 
(P-III) \quad \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{y} \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{t}\frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{1}{t}(\alpha y^2 + \beta) + \gamma y^3 + \frac{\delta}{y}; 
(P-IV) \quad \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{2y} \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}y^3 + 4ty^2 + 2(t^2 - \alpha)y + \frac{\beta}{y}; 
(P-V) \quad \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = \left(\frac{1}{2y} + \frac{1}{y-1}\right) \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{t}\frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{(y-1)^2}{t^2} \left(\alpha y + \frac{\beta}{y}\right) + \gamma \frac{y}{t} + \delta \frac{y(y+1)}{y-1}; 
(P-VI) \quad \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{y-1} + \frac{1}{y-t}\right) \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t-1} + \frac{1}{y-t}\right) \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{y(y-1)(y-t)}{t^2(t-1)^2} \left(\alpha + \beta \frac{t}{y^2} + \gamma \frac{t-1}{(y-1)^2} + \delta \frac{t(t-1)}{(y-t)^2}\right).$$

 $n \geq 3$ : Still open.

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

Discrete Painlevé Equations are some certain second-order (or two-dimensional) non-autonomous nonlinear recurrence relations.
• d-P<sub>I</sub>: 
$$x_{n+1} + x_n + x_{n-1} = \frac{an+b}{x_n} + 1;$$

• d-
$$P_{I}$$
:  $x_{n+1} + x_n + x_{n-1} = \frac{an+b}{x_n} + 1;$ 

• d-
$$P_{\text{II}}$$
:  $x_{n+1} + x_{n-1} = \frac{z_n x_n + a}{1 - x_n^2}$ ;

• d-
$$P_1$$
:  $x_{n+1} + x_n + x_{n-1} = \frac{an+b}{x_n} + 1$ ;

• d-P<sub>II</sub>: 
$$x_{n+1} + x_{n-1} = \frac{z_n x_n + a}{1 - x_n^2}$$
;  
• d-P<sub>V</sub>: 
$$\begin{cases} f + \bar{f} = a_3 + \frac{a_1}{g + 1} + \frac{a_0}{sg + 1} \\ g\bar{g} = \frac{(\bar{f} + a_2 - \delta)(\bar{f} + a_2 - \delta + a_4)}{s\bar{f}(\bar{f} - a_3)} \end{cases}$$
,  $\delta = a_0 + a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4$ 

• d-P<sub>1</sub>: 
$$x_{n+1} + x_n + x_{n-1} = \frac{an+b}{x_n} + 1$$
;  
• d-P<sub>11</sub>:  $x_{n+1} + x_{n-1} = \frac{z_n x_n + a}{1 - x_n^2}$ ;  
• d-P<sub>V</sub>: 
$$\begin{cases} f + \bar{f} = a_3 + \frac{a_1}{g+1} + \frac{a_0}{sg+1} \\ g\bar{g} = \frac{(\bar{f} + a_2 - \delta)(\bar{f} + a_2 - \delta + a_4)}{s\bar{f}(\bar{f} - a_3)} , & \delta = a_0 + a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \end{cases}$$
• q-P<sub>V1</sub>: 
$$\begin{cases} \bar{g} = \frac{b_3 b_4}{g} \frac{(f - b_5)(f - b_6)}{(f - b_7)(f - b_8)} \\ \bar{f} = \frac{b_7 b_8}{f} \frac{(\bar{g} - qb_1)(\bar{g} - qb_2)}{(\bar{g} - b_3)(\bar{g} - b_4)} \end{cases}, \quad q = (b_3 b_4 b_5 b_6)/(b_1 b_2 b_7 b_8)$$

Discrete Painlevé Equations are some certain second-order (or two-dimensional) non-autonomous nonlinear recurrence relations. Here are some examples (due to Shohat, Brézin-Kazakov, Gross-Migdal, Grammaticos-Ramani-Papageorgiu-Nijhoff, Jimbo-Sakai, Sakai, many others):

• d-P<sub>1</sub>: 
$$x_{n+1} + x_n + x_{n-1} = \frac{an+b}{x_n} + 1$$
;  
• d-P<sub>11</sub>:  $x_{n+1} + x_{n-1} = \frac{z_n x_n + a}{1 - x_n^2}$ ;  
• d-P<sub>V</sub>: 
$$\begin{cases} f + \bar{f} = a_3 + \frac{a_1}{g+1} + \frac{a_0}{sg+1} \\ g\bar{g} = \frac{(\bar{f} + a_2 - \delta)(\bar{f} + a_2 - \delta + a_4)}{s\bar{f}(\bar{f} - a_3)} , & \delta = a_0 + a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \end{cases}$$
• q-P<sub>V1</sub>: 
$$\begin{cases} \bar{g} = \frac{b_3 b_4}{g} \frac{(f - b_5)(f - b_6)}{(f - b_7)(f - b_8)} \\ \bar{f} = \frac{b_7 b_8}{f} \frac{(\bar{g} - qb_1)(\bar{g} - qb_2)}{(\bar{g} - b_3)(\bar{g} - b_4)} \end{cases}, \quad q = (b_3 b_4 b_5 b_6)/(b_1 b_2 b_7 b_8)$$

As with the differential Painlevé equations, it is not obvious that a given recurrence relation is in the discrete Painlevé class. The naming convention, based on the continuous limit, is also not a very good one – ambiguous and does not cover all the cases. Correct approach is through the algebro-geometric theory due to H. Sakai.

Analogue of the Painlevé property — singularity confinement.

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

### Sakai's Classification Scheme for Discrete Painlevé Equations.

K. Okamoto initiated a geometric approach to differential Painlevé equations. Write them as non-automonous Hamiltonian Systems: q' = ∂H/∂p, p' = -∂H/∂q. Space of initial conditions: (q(t<sub>0</sub>), p(t<sub>0</sub>)) ∈ C<sup>2</sup>? Not quite — to allow meromorphic solutions, need to compactify C<sup>2</sup> to P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>, then *blowup* to separate solutions going through the same initial point, and remove some singular leaves. This constructs a *space of initial conditions* for the equation.

- K. Okamoto initiated a geometric approach to differential Painlevé equations. Write them as non-automonous Hamiltonian Systems: q' = ∂H/∂p, p' = -∂H/∂q. Space of initial conditions: (q(t<sub>0</sub>), p(t<sub>0</sub>)) ∈ C<sup>2</sup>? Not quite to allow meromorphic solutions, need to compactify C<sup>2</sup> to P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>, then *blowup* to separate solutions going through the same initial point, and remove some singular leaves. This constructs a *space of initial conditions* for the equation.
- In 2001 H. Sakai, developing the ideas of K. Okamoto in the differential case, proposed a classification scheme for Painlevé equations based on algebraic geometry. This scheme includes both discrete and differential (as a limit in a particular lattice direction) equations and explains the appearance of discrete Painlevé equations as Bäcklund transformations of the differential equations (commuting flows).

- K. Okamoto initiated a geometric approach to differential Painlevé equations. Write them as non-automonous Hamiltonian Systems: q' = ∂H/∂p, p' = -∂H/∂q. Space of initial conditions: (q(t<sub>0</sub>), p(t<sub>0</sub>)) ∈ C<sup>2</sup>? Not quite to allow meromorphic solutions, need to compactify C<sup>2</sup> to P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>, then *blowup* to separate solutions going through the same initial point, and remove some singular leaves. This constructs a *space of initial conditions* for the equation.
- In 2001 H. Sakai, developing the ideas of K. Okamoto in the differential case, proposed a classification scheme for Painlevé equations based on algebraic geometry. This scheme includes both discrete and differential (as a limit in a particular lattice direction) equations and explains the appearance of discrete Painlevé equations as Bäcklund transformations of the differential equations (commuting flows).
- To each equation corresponds a pair of orthogonal sub-lattices  $(\Pi(R), \Pi(R^{\perp}))$  the surface and the symmetry sub-lattice in the  $E_8^{(1)}$  lattice (this defines the type of the equation), and a translation element in  $\tilde{W}(R^{\perp})$  (this defines the equation itself).

- K. Okamoto initiated a geometric approach to differential Painlevé equations. Write them as non-automonous Hamiltonian Systems: q' = ∂H/∂p, p' = -∂H/∂q. Space of initial conditions: (q(t<sub>0</sub>), p(t<sub>0</sub>)) ∈ C<sup>2</sup>? Not quite to allow meromorphic solutions, need to compactify C<sup>2</sup> to P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>, then *blowup* to separate solutions going through the same initial point, and remove some singular leaves. This constructs a *space of initial conditions* for the equation.
- In 2001 H. Sakai, developing the ideas of K. Okamoto in the differential case, proposed a classification scheme for Painlevé equations based on algebraic geometry. This scheme includes both discrete and differential (as a limit in a particular lattice direction) equations and explains the appearance of discrete Painlevé equations as Bäcklund transformations of the differential equations (commuting flows).
- To each equation corresponds a pair of orthogonal sub-lattices  $(\Pi(R), \Pi(R^{\perp}))$  the surface and the symmetry sub-lattice in the  $E_8^{(1)}$  lattice (this defines the type of the equation), and a translation element in  $\tilde{W}(R^{\perp})$  (this defines the equation itself).
- The surface sub-lattice describes a particular configuration of points on P<sup>2</sup> (or P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>). Blowing them up creates a *family* of rational algebraic surfaces (generalized Halphen surfaces) parameterized by the coordinates of the points of blowup.

- K. Okamoto initiated a geometric approach to differential Painlevé equations. Write them as non-automonous Hamiltonian Systems: q' = ∂H/∂p, p' = -∂H/∂q. Space of initial conditions: (q(t<sub>0</sub>), p(t<sub>0</sub>)) ∈ C<sup>2</sup>? Not quite to allow meromorphic solutions, need to compactify C<sup>2</sup> to P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>, then *blowup* to separate solutions going through the same initial point, and remove some singular leaves. This constructs a *space of initial conditions* for the equation.
- In 2001 H. Sakai, developing the ideas of K. Okamoto in the differential case, proposed a classification scheme for Painlevé equations based on algebraic geometry. This scheme includes both discrete and differential (as a limit in a particular lattice direction) equations and explains the appearance of discrete Painlevé equations as Bäcklund transformations of the differential equations (commuting flows).
- To each equation corresponds a pair of orthogonal sub-lattices (Π(R), Π(R<sup>⊥</sup>)) the surface and the symmetry sub-lattice in the E<sub>8</sub><sup>(1)</sup> lattice (this defines the type of the equation), and a translation element in W̃(R<sup>⊥</sup>) (this defines the equation itself).
- The surface sub-lattice describes a particular configuration of points on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  (or  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ). Blowing them up creates a *family* of rational algebraic surfaces (generalized Halphen surfaces) parameterized by the coordinates of the points of blowup.
- Root vectors in the symmetry sub-lattice describe elementary (non-autonomous) symmetries of this family (*Cremona isometries*) acting as reflections on the Picard lattice of the surface (hence the affine Weyl group structure). Elements of infinite order correspond to dynamical systems on this family. Translations on the lattice are called discrete Painlevé equations, elements whose power is a translation are called projective reductions.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left( E_{8}^{(1)} \right)^{e} & \left( A_{1}^{(1)} \right)^{q} \\ \left( E_{8}^{(1)} \right)^{q} \rightarrow \left( E_{6}^{(1)} \right)^{q} \rightarrow \left( D_{5}^{(1)} \right)^{q} \rightarrow \left( A_{4}^{(1)} \right)^{q} \rightarrow \left( (A_{2} + A_{1})^{(1)} \right)^{q} \rightarrow \left( (A_{1} + A_{1})^{(1)} \right)^{q} \rightarrow \left( A_{1}^{(1)} \right)^{q} \\ \left( E_{8}^{(1)} \right)^{\delta} \rightarrow \left( E_{7}^{(1)} \right)^{\delta} \rightarrow \left( E_{6}^{(1)} \right)^{\delta} \rightarrow \left( D_{4}^{(1)} \right)^{c,\delta} \rightarrow \left( A_{3}^{(1)} \right)^{c,\delta} \rightarrow \left( 2A_{1}^{(1)} \right)^{c,\delta} \rightarrow \left( A_{1}^{(1)} \right$$

Symmetry (above) and surface (below) -type classification schemes for Painlevé equations  $\frac{q \cdot P_1}{q \cdot P_1}$ 



Our final goal is to understand the geometry behind discrete (*non-autonomous*) Painlevé equations.

Some examples of discrete Painlevé equations can then be obtained from this mapping using the deautonomization procedure.

Some examples of discrete Painlevé equations can then be obtained from this mapping using the deautonomization procedure.

We begin by briefly describing geometrically the QRT mapping (following T. Tsuda, [Tsu04]).

Some examples of discrete Painlevé equations can then be obtained from this mapping using the deautonomization procedure.

We begin by briefly describing geometrically the QRT mapping (following T. Tsuda, [Tsu04]).

Consider a *bi-quadractic* curve Γ on P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>. In an affine C<sup>2</sup>-chart Γ is given by a bi-degree (2, 2) polynomial equation

 $a_{00}x^2y^2 + a_{01}x^2y + a_{02}x^2 + a_{10}xy^2 + a_{11}xy + a_{12}x + a_{20}y^2 + a_{21}y + a_{22} = 0.$ 

Some examples of discrete Painlevé equations can then be obtained from this mapping using the deautonomization procedure.

We begin by briefly describing geometrically the QRT mapping (following T. Tsuda, [Tsu04]).

Consider a *bi-quadractic* curve Γ on P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>. In an affine C<sup>2</sup>-chart Γ is given by a bi-degree (2,2) polynomial equation

$$a_{00}x^2y^2 + a_{01}x^2y + a_{02}x^2 + a_{10}xy^2 + a_{11}xy + a_{12}x + a_{20}y^2 + a_{21}y + a_{22} = 0.$$

This equation can be written as

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} x^2 & x & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & a_{02} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y^2 \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i,j=0}^2 a_{ij} x^{2-i} y^{2-j} = 0,$$

where

$$\mathbf{x} = \langle x^2, x, 1 \rangle, \quad \mathbf{y} = \langle y^2, y, 1 \rangle, \qquad \mathbf{A} \in \mathsf{Mat}_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Some examples of discrete Painlevé equations can then be obtained from this mapping using the deautonomization procedure.

We begin by briefly describing geometrically the QRT mapping (following T. Tsuda, [Tsu04]).

Consider a *bi-quadractic* curve Γ on P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>. In an affine C<sup>2</sup>-chart Γ is given by a bi-degree (2,2) polynomial equation

$$a_{00}x^2y^2 + a_{01}x^2y + a_{02}x^2 + a_{10}xy^2 + a_{11}xy + a_{12}x + a_{20}y^2 + a_{21}y + a_{22} = 0.$$

• This equation can be written as

$$\mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} x^{2} & x & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & a_{02} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y^{2} \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{2} a_{ij} x^{2-i} y^{2-j} = 0,$$

where

$$\mathbf{x}=\langle x^2,x,1\rangle,\quad \mathbf{y}=\langle y^2,y,1\rangle,\qquad \mathbf{A}\in\mathsf{Mat}_{3\times 3}(\mathbb{C}).$$

• In general,  $\Gamma$  is an *elliptic curve* that can be rewritten in a Weierstrass normal form  $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2 x - g_3$ .

### **Bi-quadratic Curves and Involutions**

Since  $\Gamma$  has bi-degree (2, 2), we can define two *involutions*,

$$r_x:(x,y) 
ightarrow (x',y)$$
 and  $r_y:(x,y) 
ightarrow (x,y')$ 



### **Bi-quadratic Curves and Involutions**

Since  $\Gamma$  has bi-degree (2, 2), we can define two *involutions*,

$$r_x:(x,y) 
ightarrow (x',y)$$
 and  $r_y:(x,y) 
ightarrow (x,y')$ 



as well as their composition  $r_x \circ r_y : (x, y) \to (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . This composition defines a discrete dynamical system on the curve  $\Gamma$  (which is essentially a shift w.r.t. its Abelian group structure) and the main idea of the QRT map is to extend  $r_x \circ r_y$  to all of the  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

# The QRT Mapping

For that, take *two* matrices  $A, B \in Mat_{3\times 3}(\mathbb{C})$  and consider a *pencil* (i.e., a one-dimensional family) of such curves

$$\mathsf{\Gamma}_{[\alpha:\beta]}: \qquad \alpha \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} + \beta \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}, \quad [\alpha:\beta] \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$$



# The QRT Mapping

For that, take *two* matrices  $A, B \in Mat_{3\times 3}(\mathbb{C})$  and consider a *pencil* (i.e., a one-dimensional family) of such curves

$$\mathsf{\Gamma}_{[\alpha:\beta]}: \qquad \alpha \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} + \beta \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}, \quad [\alpha:\beta] \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$$



Then, given a point  $(x_*, y_*)$ , there is only one curve from a family with the parameter  $[\alpha : \beta] = [-\mathbf{x}_*^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y}_*, \mathbf{x}_*^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}_*]$ , except for the **eight** base points  $\mathbf{x}_*^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}_* = \mathbf{x}_*^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y}_* = 0$ . Resolving these points using the blowup, we get a rational elliptic surface  $\mathcal{X}$  with the *QRT* automorphism  $r_x \circ r_y$  preserving the elliptic fibration  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ , and  $\pi^{-1}([\alpha : \beta])$  is an elliptic curve except for 12 points corresponding to *singular fibers* (classified by K. Kodaira into 22 types).

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

It is possible to represent the QRT mapping as

$$r_x \circ r_y = \varphi \circ \varphi = \varphi^2, \qquad \varphi = \sigma_{x,y} \circ r_y$$

where  $\sigma_{x,y}$  is an involution

$$\sigma_{x,y}:(x,y;\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B})\mapsto(y,x;\mathbf{A}^{T},\mathbf{B}^{T}).$$

It is possible to represent the QRT mapping as

$$r_x \circ r_y = \varphi \circ \varphi = \varphi^2, \qquad \varphi = \sigma_{x,y} \circ r_y$$

where  $\sigma_{x,y}$  is an involution

$$\sigma_{x,y}:(x,y;\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B})\mapsto(y,x;\mathbf{A}^{T},\mathbf{B}^{T}).$$

Eliminating  $-\beta/\alpha$  from the equations

$$\alpha \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} + \beta \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \alpha \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{y}} + \beta \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{0},$$

solving for  $\bar{y}$ , and applying  $\sigma_{x,y}$ , we get the following simple expression for  $\varphi$ :

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{f_1(x) - f_2(x)y}{f_2(x) - f_3(x)y}, & \text{where } \langle f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x) \rangle = (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}) \times (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B}), \\ \bar{y} = x \end{cases}$$

It is possible to represent the QRT mapping as

$$r_x \circ r_y = \varphi \circ \varphi = \varphi^2, \qquad \varphi = \sigma_{x,y} \circ r_y$$

where  $\sigma_{x,y}$  is an involution

$$\sigma_{x,y}:(x,y;\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B})\mapsto(y,x;\mathbf{A}^{T},\mathbf{B}^{T}).$$

Eliminating  $-\beta/\alpha$  from the equations

$$\alpha \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} + \beta \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \alpha \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{y}} + \beta \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{0},$$

solving for  $\bar{y}$ , and applying  $\sigma_{x,y}$ , we get the following simple expression for  $\varphi$ :

$$\begin{cases} \bar{x} = \frac{f_1(x) - f_2(x)y}{f_2(x) - f_3(x)y}, & \text{where } \langle f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x) \rangle = (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}) \times (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B}), \\ \bar{y} = x \end{cases}$$

Note that, by construction, the mapping  $\varphi$  has a conserved quantity: it is the parameter  $[\alpha : \beta] \in \mathbb{P}^1$  of the pencil.

It is possible to represent the QRT mapping as

$$r_x \circ r_y = \varphi \circ \varphi = \varphi^2, \qquad \varphi = \sigma_{x,y} \circ r_y$$

where  $\sigma_{x,y}$  is an involution

$$\sigma_{x,y}:(x,y;\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B})\mapsto(y,x;\mathbf{A}^{T},\mathbf{B}^{T}).$$

Eliminating  $-\beta/\alpha$  from the equations

$$\alpha \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} + \beta \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \alpha \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{y}} + \beta \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{0},$$

solving for  $\bar{y}$ , and applying  $\sigma_{x,y}$ , we get the following simple expression for  $\varphi$ :

$$\begin{cases} \bar{x} = \frac{f_1(x) - f_2(x)y}{f_2(x) - f_3(x)y}, & \text{where } \langle f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x) \rangle = (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}) \times (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B}), \\ \bar{y} = x \end{cases}$$

Note that, by construction, the mapping  $\varphi$  has a conserved quantity: it is the parameter  $[\alpha : \beta] \in \mathbb{P}^1$  of the pencil.

This mapping  $\varphi$  is the one that we study and deautonomize for a particular choice of the matrices **A** and **B**.

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a + a^{-1} & 1 \\ a + a^{-1} & 0 & -a - a^{-1} \\ 1 & -a - a^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $a \neq 0, \pm 1$ .

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a + a^{-1} & 1 \\ a + a^{-1} & 0 & -a - a^{-1} \\ 1 & -a - a^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $a \neq 0, \pm 1$ .

Then the "half" of QRT mapping  $\varphi = \sigma_{xy} \circ r_y$  becomes

$$\varphi:(x,y)\mapsto \left(\frac{(x-a)(x-a^{-1})}{y(x+a)(x+a^{-1})},\ x\right),$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a + a^{-1} & 1 \\ a + a^{-1} & 0 & -a - a^{-1} \\ 1 & -a - a^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $a \neq 0, \pm 1$ .

Then the "half" of QRT mapping  $\varphi = \sigma_{xy} \circ r_y$  becomes

$$\varphi:(x,y)\mapsto \left(\frac{(x-a)(x-a^{-1})}{y(x+a)(x+a^{-1})},\ x\right),$$

which preserves  $k = \alpha/\beta$  of the elliptic fibration

$$\Gamma_k: k xy + (xy + a^{-1}(x + y) - 1)(xy + a(x + y) - 1) = 0.$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a + a^{-1} & 1 \\ a + a^{-1} & 0 & -a - a^{-1} \\ 1 & -a - a^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $a \neq 0, \pm 1$ .

Then the "half" of QRT mapping  $\varphi = \sigma_{xy} \circ r_y$  becomes

$$\varphi:(x,y)\mapsto \left(\frac{(x-a)(x-a^{-1})}{y(x+a)(x+a^{-1})},\ x\right),$$

which preserves  $k = \alpha/\beta$  of the elliptic fibration

$$\Gamma_k: k xy + (xy + a^{-1}(x + y) - 1)(xy + a(x + y) - 1) = 0.$$

The base points are relatively easy to see. E.g., if y = 0, x = a or  $x = a^{-1}$ , etc.:

$$(0,a), \ (0,a^{-1}), \ (a,0), \ (a^{-1},0), \ (\infty,-a), \ (\infty,-a^{-1}), \ (-a,\infty), \ (-a^{-1},\infty)$$

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a + a^{-1} & 1 \\ a + a^{-1} & 0 & -a - a^{-1} \\ 1 & -a - a^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $a \neq 0, \pm 1$ .

Then the "half" of QRT mapping  $\varphi = \sigma_{xy} \circ r_y$  becomes

$$\varphi:(x,y)\mapsto \left(\frac{(x-a)(x-a^{-1})}{y(x+a)(x+a^{-1})},\ x\right),$$

which preserves  $k = \alpha/\beta$  of the elliptic fibration

$$\Gamma_k : k xy + (xy + a^{-1}(x + y) - 1)(xy + a(x + y) - 1) = 0.$$

The base points are relatively easy to see. E.g., if y = 0, x = a or  $x = a^{-1}$ , etc.:

$$(0,a), (0,a^{-1}), (a,0), (a^{-1},0), (\infty,-a), (\infty,-a^{-1}), (-a,\infty), (-a^{-1},\infty)$$

We then *blowup* the base points to obtain the QRT surface, or the algebraic surface  $\mathcal{X}$  on which the dynamic is *regularized*. This dynamic is further *linearized* on the *Picard lattice*  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$ .

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

Let us briefly recall the blowup procedure from the algebraic geometry.

Let us briefly recall the blowup procedure from the algebraic geometry.

First we see how to blowup the origin at  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (pictured as  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). Let (a, b) be a point away from the origin. We can then describe it by cartesian coordinates, or by one coordinate and the slope of the line through the origin:

### Technical Tool: The Blowup Procedure

Let us briefly recall the blowup procedure from the algebraic geometry.

First we see how to blowup the origin at  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (pictured as  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). Let (a, b) be a point away from the origin. We can then describe it by cartesian coordinates, or by one coordinate and the slope of the line through the origin:



This observation allows us to *separate* the lines through the origin as follows.

### Technical Tool: The Blowup Procedure

Let us briefly recall the blowup procedure from the algebraic geometry.

First we see how to blowup the origin at  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (pictured as  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). Let (a, b) be a point away from the origin. We can then describe it by cartesian coordinates, or by one coordinate and the slope of the line through the origin:



This observation allows us to *separate* the lines through the origin as follows.

First, note that lines through the origin are parameterized by the projective line P<sup>1</sup> with a homogeneous coordinate ξ = [ξ<sub>0</sub> : ξ<sub>1</sub>], where we let k = ξ<sub>0</sub>/ξ<sub>1</sub> and [1 : 0] corresponds to the vertical line.

### Technical Tool: The Blowup Procedure

Let us briefly recall the blowup procedure from the algebraic geometry.

First we see how to blowup the origin at  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (pictured as  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). Let (a, b) be a point away from the origin. We can then describe it by cartesian coordinates, or by one coordinate and the slope of the line through the origin:



This observation allows us to *separate* the lines through the origin as follows.

- First, note that lines through the origin are parameterized by the projective line P<sup>1</sup> with a homogeneous coordinate ξ = [ξ<sub>0</sub> : ξ<sub>1</sub>], where we let k = ξ<sub>0</sub>/ξ<sub>1</sub> and [1 : 0] corresponds to the vertical line.
- Then consider, in the space  $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  with coordinates  $(x, y; [\xi_0 : \xi_1])$ , the set S cut out by the equation  $x\xi_0 = y\xi_1$ .
#### Technical Tool: The Blowup Procedure

Let us briefly recall the blowup procedure from the algebraic geometry.

First we see how to blowup the origin at  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (pictured as  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). Let (a, b) be a point away from the origin. We can then describe it by cartesian coordinates, or by one coordinate and the slope of the line through the origin:



This observation allows us to *separate* the lines through the origin as follows.

- First, note that lines through the origin are parameterized by the projective line P<sup>1</sup> with a homogeneous coordinate ξ = [ξ<sub>0</sub> : ξ<sub>1</sub>], where we let k = ξ<sub>0</sub>/ξ<sub>1</sub> and [1 : 0] corresponds to the vertical line.
- Then consider, in the space  $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  with coordinates  $(x, y; [\xi_0 : \xi_1])$ , the set S cut out by the equation  $x\xi_0 = y\xi_1$ .
- In view of the above, for (x, y) ≠ (0, 0), the restriction of the projection π : C<sup>2</sup> × P<sup>1</sup> → C<sup>2</sup> on S is an isomorphism, but π<sup>-1</sup>(0, 0) ≃ P<sup>1</sup>. It is called the *exceptional divisor* and is denoted by E.

Geometrically the blowup procedure "separates" lines through the *center of the blowup* by "lifting" them according to their slope, algebraically it resolves 0/0 indeterminacies of rational functions.

Geometrically the blowup procedure "separates" lines through the *center of the blowup* by "lifting" them according to their slope, algebraically it resolves 0/0 indeterminacies of rational functions. The set  $S = V(x\xi_0 - y\xi_1)$  is covered by two charts (u, v) and (U, V). For a blowup with the center at  $(x_0, y_0)$  these charts are  $(x, y, [\xi_0 : \xi_1]) = (u + x_0, uv + y_0, [u : 1])$  and  $(x, y, [\xi_0 : \xi_1]) = (UV + x_0, V + y_0, [1 : V])$ .

Geometrically the blowup procedure "separates" lines through the *center of the blowup* by "lifting" them according to their slope, algebraically it resolves 0/0 indeterminacies of rational functions.

The set  $S = V(x\xi_0 - y\xi_1)$  is covered by two charts (u, v) and (U, V). For a blowup with the center at  $(x_0, y_0)$  these charts are  $(x, y, [\xi_0 : \xi_1]) = (u + x_0, uv + y_0, [u : 1])$  and  $(x, y, [\xi_0 : \xi_1]) = (UV + x_0, V + y_0, [1 : V])$ .

Algebraically blowup is a coordinate substitution  $x = u + x_0 = UV + x_0$  and  $y = uv + y_0 = V + y_0$ .

#### Technical Tool: The Blowup Procedure

Geometrically the blowup procedure "separates" lines through the *center of the blowup* by "lifting" them according to their slope, algebraically it resolves 0/0 indeterminacies of rational functions.

The set  $S = V(x\xi_0 - y\xi_1)$  is covered by two charts (u, v) and (U, V). For a blowup with the center at  $(x_0, y_0)$  these charts are  $(x, y, [\xi_0 : \xi_1]) = (u + x_0, uv + y_0, [u : 1])$  and  $(x, y, [\xi_0 : \xi_1]) = (UV + x_0, V + y_0, [1 : V])$ .

Algebraically blowup is a coordinate substitution  $x = u + x_0 = UV + x_0$  and  $y = uv + y_0 = V + y_0$ .





### Technical Tool: The Blowup Procedure

Geometrically the blowup procedure "separates" lines through the *center of the blowup* by "lifting" them according to their slope, algebraically it resolves 0/0 indeterminacies of rational functions.

The set  $S = V(x\xi_0 - y\xi_1)$  is covered by two charts (u, v) and (U, V). For a blowup with the center at  $(x_0, y_0)$  these charts are  $(x, y, [\xi_0 : \xi_1]) = (u + x_0, uv + y_0, [u : 1])$  and  $(x, y, [\xi_0 : \xi_1]) = (UV + x_0, V + y_0, [1 : V])$ .

Algebraically blowup is a coordinate substitution  $x = u + x_0 = UV + x_0$  and  $y = uv + y_0 = V + y_0$ .



Note that we need to distinguish the *total transform*  $\pi^{-1}(L)$  and the *proper transform*  $\pi^{-1}(L-(0,0))$  that we denote by L-E. Exceptional divisor has the self-intersection  $E^2 = -1$ . Such curves are called -1-curves.

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

Schematically, we visualize the blowup as follows:

#### Technical Tool: The Blowup Procedure

Schematically, we visualize the blowup as follows:



#### Technical Tool: The Blowup Procedure

Schematically, we visualize the blowup as follows:



Note the *proper transform* notation and coordinates on *E*:

- E and  $H_x E$  intersect at (U = 0, V = 0);
- E and  $H_y E$  intersect at (u = 0, v = 0);
- if the line L had a slope 1/3, E and L E intersect at (u = 0, v = 1/3) or (U = 3, V = 0).

Let us now return to our example. We construct the surface  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{b}$  by successively 8 blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$  at the eight base points:

 $p_1(0,a), \ p_2(0,a^{-1}), \ p_3(a,0), \ p_4(a^{-1},0), \ p_5(\infty,-a), \ p_6(\infty,-a^{-1}), \ p_7(-a,\infty), \ p_8(-a^{-1},\infty).$ 

Let us now return to our example. We construct the surface  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{b}$  by successively 8 blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$  at the eight base points:

$$p_1(0,a), p_2(0,a^{-1}), p_3(a,0), p_4(a^{-1},0), p_5(\infty,-a), p_6(\infty,-a^{-1}), p_7(-a,\infty), p_8(-a^{-1},\infty).$$

Then  $\varphi$  is lifted to an automorphism on  $\mathcal{X}$ .



Let us now return to our example. We construct the surface  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{b}}$  by successively 8 blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at the eight base points:

$$p_1(0,a), p_2(0,a^{-1}), p_3(a,0), p_4(a^{-1},0), p_5(\infty,-a), p_6(\infty,-a^{-1}), p_7(-a,\infty), p_8(-a^{-1},\infty).$$

Then  $\varphi$  is lifted to an automorphism on  $\mathcal{X}$ .



Note that as a result we have successfully separated all curves passing through the base points (in other examples, some base points can collide and the procedure is more difficult).

Let us now return to our example. We construct the surface  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{b}}$  by successively 8 blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at the eight base points:

$$p_1(0,a), p_2(0,a^{-1}), p_3(a,0), p_4(a^{-1},0), p_5(\infty,-a), p_6(\infty,-a^{-1}), p_7(-a,\infty), p_8(-a^{-1},\infty).$$

Then  $\varphi$  is lifted to an automorphism on  $\mathcal{X}$ .



Note that as a result we have successfully separated all curves passing through the base points (in other examples, some base points can collide and the procedure is more difficult).

The resulting surface is called a rational elliptic surface: it is *birational* to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , through each point of the surface passes a unique curve (the *proper transform* of the (2,2)-curve in the pencil) that is generically elliptic.

Let us now return to our example. We construct the surface  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{b}}$  by successively 8 blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at the eight base points:

$$p_1(0,a), p_2(0,a^{-1}), p_3(a,0), p_4(a^{-1},0), p_5(\infty,-a), p_6(\infty,-a^{-1}), p_7(-a,\infty), p_8(-a^{-1},\infty).$$

Then  $\varphi$  is lifted to an automorphism on  $\mathcal{X}$ .



Note that as a result we have successfully separated all curves passing through the base points (in other examples, some base points can collide and the procedure is more difficult).

The resulting surface is called a rational elliptic surface: it is *birational* to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , through each point of the surface passes a unique curve (the *proper transform* of the (2,2)-curve in the pencil) that is generically elliptic.

The QRT dynamics is *integrable* since it preserves the fibration. It is *autonomous* since the points of the blowup (whose coordinates appear as coefficients of the equation) do not evolve.

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

• The Picard lattice of an algebraic surface is, in the regular case,  $Pic(\mathcal{X}) = Div(\mathcal{X})/P(\mathcal{X})$ .

- The Picard lattice of an algebraic surface is, in the regular case,  $Pic(\mathcal{X}) = Div(\mathcal{X})/P(\mathcal{X})$ .
- It is easy to see that Pic(P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>) is generated by the classes of the coordinate lines, Pic(P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>) = Span<sub>Z</sub>{H<sub>x</sub>, H<sub>y</sub>}. Here H<sub>x</sub> = [H<sub>x=a</sub>]. Note that H<sub>x=a</sub> ~ H<sub>x=b</sub> since H<sub>x=a</sub> - H<sub>x=b</sub> = (<sup>x-a</sup>/<sub>x-b</sub>) is a *principal divisor*, or a divisor of a rational function.

- The Picard lattice of an algebraic surface is, in the regular case,  $Pic(\mathcal{X}) = Div(\mathcal{X})/P(\mathcal{X})$ .
- It is easy to see that  $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  is generated by the classes of the coordinate lines,  $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{\mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{H}_y\}$ . Here  $\mathcal{H}_x = [\mathcal{H}_{x=a}]$ . Note that  $\mathcal{H}_{x=a} \sim \mathcal{H}_{x=b}$  since  $\mathcal{H}_{x=a} - \mathcal{H}_{x=b} = \left(\frac{x-a}{x-b}\right)$  is a *principal divisor*, or a divisor of a rational function.
- The Picard Lattice is equipped with an inner product known as the *intersection product*; for Pic(P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>), we have H<sub>x</sub> H<sub>x</sub> = H<sub>y</sub> H<sub>y</sub> = 0 and H<sub>x</sub> H<sub>y</sub> = 1.

- The Picard lattice of an algebraic surface is, in the regular case,  $Pic(\mathcal{X}) = Div(\mathcal{X})/P(\mathcal{X})$ .
- It is easy to see that Pic(P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>) is generated by the classes of the coordinate lines, Pic(P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>) = Span<sub>Z</sub>{H<sub>x</sub>, H<sub>y</sub>}. Here H<sub>x</sub> = [H<sub>x=a</sub>]. Note that H<sub>x=a</sub> ~ H<sub>x=b</sub> since H<sub>x=a</sub> - H<sub>x=b</sub> = (<sup>x-a</sup>/<sub>x-b</sub>) is a principal divisor, or a divisor of a rational function.
- The Picard Lattice is equipped with an inner product known as the *intersection product*; for  $Pic(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ , we have  $\mathcal{H}_x \bullet \mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{H}_y \bullet \mathcal{H}_y = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_x \bullet \mathcal{H}_y = 1$ .
- Note also that for a bi-quadratic curve  $\Gamma$ ,  $[\Gamma] = 2\mathcal{H}_x + 2\mathcal{H}_y$ .

- The Picard lattice of an algebraic surface is, in the regular case,  $Pic(\mathcal{X}) = Div(\mathcal{X})/P(\mathcal{X})$ .
- It is easy to see that Pic(P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>) is generated by the classes of the coordinate lines, Pic(P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>) = Span<sub>Z</sub>{H<sub>x</sub>, H<sub>y</sub>}. Here H<sub>x</sub> = [H<sub>x=a</sub>]. Note that H<sub>x=a</sub> ~ H<sub>x=b</sub> since H<sub>x=a</sub> - H<sub>x=b</sub> = (<sup>x-a</sup>/<sub>x-b</sub>) is a principal divisor, or a divisor of a rational function.
- The Picard Lattice is equipped with an inner product known as the *intersection product*; for Pic(P<sup>1</sup> × P<sup>1</sup>), we have H<sub>x</sub> H<sub>x</sub> = H<sub>y</sub> H<sub>y</sub> = 0 and H<sub>x</sub> H<sub>y</sub> = 1.
- Note also that for a bi-quadratic curve  $\Gamma, \ [\Gamma] = 2\mathcal{H}_x + 2\mathcal{H}_y.$
- For us, of particular importance is a special element of Pic(X) known as the *anti-canonical divisor class* -K<sub>X</sub>. It is dual to the class K<sub>X</sub> = [(ω)] of the top differential form ω.

- The Picard lattice of an algebraic surface is, in the regular case,  $Pic(\mathcal{X}) = Div(\mathcal{X})/P(\mathcal{X})$ .
- It is easy to see that Pic(ℙ<sup>1</sup> × ℙ<sup>1</sup>) is generated by the classes of the coordinate lines, Pic(ℙ<sup>1</sup> × ℙ<sup>1</sup>) = Span<sub>ℤ</sub>{H<sub>x</sub>, H<sub>y</sub>}. Here H<sub>x</sub> = [H<sub>x=a</sub>]. Note that H<sub>x=a</sub> ~ H<sub>x=b</sub> since H<sub>x=a</sub> - H<sub>x=b</sub> = (x-a/x-b) is a principal divisor, or a divisor of a rational function.
- The Picard Lattice is equipped with an inner product known as the *intersection product*; for Pic(ℙ<sup>1</sup> × ℙ<sup>1</sup>), we have H<sub>x</sub> H<sub>x</sub> = H<sub>y</sub> H<sub>y</sub> = 0 and H<sub>x</sub> H<sub>y</sub> = 1.
- Note also that for a bi-quadratic curve  $\Gamma, \ [\Gamma] = 2\mathcal{H}_x + 2\mathcal{H}_y.$
- For us, of particular importance is a special element of Pic(X) known as the *anti-canonical divisor class* -K<sub>X</sub>. It is dual to the class K<sub>X</sub> = [(ω)] of the top differential form ω.
- It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1} = -2\mathcal{H}_x 2\mathcal{H}_y$ :





- The Picard lattice of an algebraic surface is, in the regular case,  $Pic(\mathcal{X}) = Div(\mathcal{X})/P(\mathcal{X})$ .
- It is easy to see that Pic(ℙ<sup>1</sup> × ℙ<sup>1</sup>) is generated by the classes of the coordinate lines, Pic(ℙ<sup>1</sup> × ℙ<sup>1</sup>) = Span<sub>ℤ</sub>{H<sub>x</sub>, H<sub>y</sub>}. Here H<sub>x</sub> = [H<sub>x=a</sub>]. Note that H<sub>x=a</sub> ~ H<sub>x=b</sub> since H<sub>x=a</sub> - H<sub>x=b</sub> = (x-a/x-b) is a principal divisor, or a divisor of a rational function.
- The Picard Lattice is equipped with an inner product known as the *intersection product*; for Pic(ℙ<sup>1</sup> × ℙ<sup>1</sup>), we have H<sub>x</sub> H<sub>x</sub> = H<sub>y</sub> H<sub>y</sub> = 0 and H<sub>x</sub> H<sub>y</sub> = 1.
- Note also that for a bi-quadratic curve  $\Gamma, \ [\Gamma] = 2\mathcal{H}_x + 2\mathcal{H}_y.$
- For us, of particular importance is a special element of Pic(X) known as the *anti-canonical divisor class* -K<sub>X</sub>. It is dual to the class K<sub>X</sub> = [(ω)] of the top differential form ω.
- It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1} = -2\mathcal{H}_x 2\mathcal{H}_y$ :



• Thus, we see that all (2,2)-curves in our pencil belong to the anti-canonical divisor class,  $\Gamma_k \in (-\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1})$ . Further,  $(-\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1})^2 = 8$  gives us the number of base points.

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

• Each blowup creates an additional element in the Picard lattice given by the class of the exceptional fiber. Thus,

$$\mathsf{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathsf{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ \mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{H}_y, \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_8 \}.$$

• Each blowup creates an additional element in the Picard lattice given by the class of the exceptional fiber. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ \mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{H}_y, \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_8 \}.$$

• The intersection product for this additional classes is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_i \bullet \mathcal{E}_j = -\delta_{ij}, \qquad \mathcal{E}_i \bullet \mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{E}_i \bullet \mathcal{H}_y = \mathcal{H}_x \bullet \mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{H}_y \bullet \mathcal{H}_y = 0, \qquad \mathcal{H}_x \bullet \mathcal{H}_y = 1.$$

• Each blowup creates an additional element in the Picard lattice given by the class of the exceptional fiber. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ \mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{H}_y, \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_8 \}.$$

• The intersection product for this additional classes is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_i \bullet \mathcal{E}_j = -\delta_{ij}, \qquad \mathcal{E}_i \bullet \mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{E}_i \bullet \mathcal{H}_y = \mathcal{H}_x \bullet \mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{H}_y \bullet \mathcal{H}_y = 0, \qquad \mathcal{H}_x \bullet \mathcal{H}_y = 1.$$

• After blowups, the canonical divisor class becomes

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = -2\mathcal{H}_{x} - 2\mathcal{H}_{y} + \mathcal{E}_{1} + \dots + \mathcal{E}_{8}.$$

Thus, each curve in the fibration is in the anti-canonical divisor class;

$$\Gamma_{\lambda} \in -\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = 2\mathcal{H}_{x} + 2\mathcal{H}_{y} - \mathcal{E}_{1} - \cdots - \mathcal{E}_{8}$$

• Each blowup creates an additional element in the Picard lattice given by the class of the exceptional fiber. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ \mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{H}_y, \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_8 \}.$$

• The intersection product for this additional classes is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_i \bullet \mathcal{E}_j = -\delta_{ij}, \qquad \mathcal{E}_i \bullet \mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{E}_i \bullet \mathcal{H}_y = \mathcal{H}_x \bullet \mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{H}_y \bullet \mathcal{H}_y = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_x \bullet \mathcal{H}_y = \mathbf{1}.$$

• After blowups, the canonical divisor class becomes

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = -2\mathcal{H}_{x} - 2\mathcal{H}_{y} + \mathcal{E}_{1} + \cdots + \mathcal{E}_{8}.$$

Thus, each curve in the fibration is in the anti-canonical divisor class;

$$\Gamma_{\lambda} \in -\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = 2\mathcal{H}_{x} + 2\mathcal{H}_{y} - \mathcal{E}_{1} - \cdots - \mathcal{E}_{8}.$$

 Our mapping then induces a linear mapping on the Picard lattice that preserves the fibration (ad hence both the canonical and the anti-canonical divisor classes). This linear map captures a lot of information about our dynamics (as we will see, essentially everything in the discrete Painlevé case).

The push-forward and the pull-back actions of  $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}(=\bar{\mathcal{X}})$  on  $\mathsf{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  are:

The push-forward and the pull-back actions of  $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}(=\bar{\mathcal{X}})$  on  $\mathsf{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  are:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_{x}\mapsto \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y}, & \mathcal{H}_{y}\mapsto \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{x}+2\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y}-\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{1}-\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{2}-\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{5}-\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{6},\\ \varphi_{*}: & \mathcal{E}_{1}\mapsto \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{4}, & \mathcal{E}_{2}\mapsto \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{3}, & \mathcal{E}_{3}\mapsto \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y}-\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{1}, & \mathcal{E}_{4}\mapsto \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y}-\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{2},\\ & \mathcal{E}_{5}\mapsto \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{8}, & \mathcal{E}_{6}\mapsto \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{7}, & \mathcal{E}_{7}\mapsto \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y}-\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{5}, & \mathcal{E}_{8}\mapsto \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y}-\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{6} \end{array}$$

The push-forward and the pull-back actions of  $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} (= \overline{\mathcal{X}})$  on  $\mathsf{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  are:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_{x} \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{y} \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{x} + 2\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{1} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{2} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{5} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{6}, \\ \varphi_{*}: \quad \mathcal{E}_{1} \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{4}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{2} \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{3}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{3} \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{1}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{4} \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{2}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{5} \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{8}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{6} \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{7}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{7} \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{5}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{8} \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{6} \\ \hline \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{x} \mapsto 2\mathcal{H}_{x} + \mathcal{H}_{y} - \mathcal{E}_{3} - \mathcal{E}_{4} - \mathcal{E}_{7} - \mathcal{E}_{8}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{y} \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{x} \\ \varphi^{*}: \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{1} \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{x} - \mathcal{E}_{3}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{2} \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{x} - \mathcal{E}_{4}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{3} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{2}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{4} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{1} \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{5} \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{x} - \mathcal{E}_{7}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{6} \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{x} - \mathcal{E}_{8}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{7} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{6}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{8} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{5}. \end{array}$$

The push-forward and the pull-back actions of  $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}(=\bar{\mathcal{X}})$  on  $\mathsf{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  are:



Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

Putting the curves  $\Gamma(x, y; k)$  in the Weierstrass normal form and computing the elliptic discriminant, we can compute the singular fibers of our elliptic fibration. In our example, we see that the singular fibers appear at k = 0,  $k = \pm 4i(a + a^{-1})$ ,  $k = \pm(a - a^{-1})$ , and  $k = \infty$  and have the following types:

Putting the curves  $\Gamma(x, y; k)$  in the Weierstrass normal form and computing the elliptic discriminant, we can compute the singular fibers of our elliptic fibration. In our example, we see that the singular fibers appear at k = 0,  $k = \pm 4i(a + a^{-1})$ ,  $k = \pm(a - a^{-1})$ , and  $k = \infty$  and have the following types:



Putting the curves  $\Gamma(x, y; k)$  in the Weierstrass normal form and computing the elliptic discriminant, we can compute the singular fibers of our elliptic fibration. In our example, we see that the singular fibers appear at k = 0,  $k = \pm 4i(a + a^{-1})$ ,  $k = \pm(a - a^{-1})$ , and  $k = \infty$  and have the following types:



We emphasize that *different* types of singular fibers are present in this autonomous dynamical system. Each type gives a deautonomization to different discrete Painlevé equation.

Putting the curves  $\Gamma(x, y; k)$  in the Weierstrass normal form and computing the elliptic discriminant, we can compute the singular fibers of our elliptic fibration. In our example, we see that the singular fibers appear at k = 0,  $k = \pm 4i(a + a^{-1})$ ,  $k = \pm(a - a^{-1})$ , and  $k = \infty$  and have the following types:



We emphasize that *different* types of singular fibers are present in this autonomous dynamical system. Each type gives a deautonomization to different discrete Painlevé equation.

In particular,  $k = \infty$  is xy = 0 of  $q - P_{VI}$  of Jimbo-Sakai.

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

Discrete Painlevé Equations

#### What is Geometric Deautonomization?

Base points in the QRT map are very special, since they belong to a pencil of bi-quadratic curves. In general, there is only one (2, 2)-curve through generic eight points.

Base points in the QRT map are very special, since they belong to a pencil of bi-quadratic curves. In general, there is only one (2, 2)-curve through generic eight points.

"Shifting" the points off along a particular fiber, but at the same time preserving the action of the mapping on a particular fiber, creates a family of surfaces obtained by blowing up eight points on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . That fiber is preserved and it is the unique *anti-canonical divisor*  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}$  of the family. The intersection configuration of the irreducible components of  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}$  is described by an *affine Dynkin diagram*, its type is the surface type of the equation. Blowup points can now move along this fixed fiber, so the mapping is non-autonomous.


The elliptic QRT fibration.







We now show how to recover geometry from an equation using the famous  $q-P_{VI}$  example originally obtained by M. Jimbo and H. Sakai in 1995, [**JS96**].

We now show how to recover geometry from an equation using the famous  $q-P_{VI}$  example originally obtained by M. Jimbo and H. Sakai in 1995, [**JS96**].

We can easily recognize it as an  $A_3^{(1)}$ -deautonomization of our QRT example. It is a birational map  $\psi$  from a complex plane  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with coordinates (f, g) to a complex plane with coordinates  $(\bar{f}, \bar{g})$  given by

We now show how to recover geometry from an equation using the famous q- $P_{VI}$  example originally obtained by M. Jimbo and H. Sakai in 1995, [**JS96**].

We can easily recognize it as an  $A_3^{(1)}$ -deautonomization of our QRT example. It is a birational map  $\psi$  from a complex plane  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with coordinates (f, g) to a complex plane with coordinates  $(\bar{f}, \bar{g})$  given by

$$\varphi: \begin{cases} \bar{x} = \frac{(x-a)(x-a^{-1})}{y(x+a)(x+a^{-1})} & \varphi^2: \\ \bar{y} = x \end{cases} \begin{cases} \bar{x} = \frac{(\bar{x}-\bar{a})(\bar{x}-\bar{a}^{-1})}{\bar{y}(\bar{x}+\bar{a})(\bar{x}+\bar{a}^{-1})} & \psi: \\ \bar{y} = \frac{(x-a)(x-a^{-1})}{y(x+a)(x+a^{-1})} & \psi: \end{cases} \begin{cases} \bar{g} = \frac{b_3b_4}{g} \frac{(f-b_5)(f-b_6)}{(f-b_7)(f-b_8)} & \psi: \\ \bar{f} = \frac{b_7b_8}{f} \frac{(\bar{g}-qb_1)(\bar{g}-qb_2)}{(\bar{g}-b_3)(\bar{g}-b_4)} & \psi: \end{cases}$$

where  $b_1, \ldots, b_8$  are some parameters and  $q = (b_3 b_4 b_5 b_6)/(b_1 b_2 b_7 b_8)$ .

We now show how to recover geometry from an equation using the famous q- $P_{VI}$  example originally obtained by M. Jimbo and H. Sakai in 1995, [**JS96**].

We can easily recognize it as an  $A_3^{(1)}$ -deautonomization of our QRT example. It is a birational map  $\psi$  from a complex plane  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with coordinates (f, g) to a complex plane with coordinates  $(\bar{f}, \bar{g})$  given by

$$\varphi: \begin{cases} \bar{x} = \frac{(x-a)(x-a^{-1})}{y(x+a)(x+a^{-1})} & \varphi^2: \\ \bar{y} = x \end{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x} = \frac{(\bar{x} - \bar{a})(\bar{x} - \bar{a}^{-1})}{\bar{y}(\bar{x} + \bar{a})(\bar{x} + \bar{a}^{-1})} & \psi: \\ \bar{y} = \frac{(x-a)(x-a^{-1})}{y(x+a)(x+a^{-1})} & \psi: \end{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{g} = \frac{b_3b_4}{g} \frac{(f-b_5)(f-b_6)}{(f-b_7)(f-b_8)} & \psi: \\ \bar{f} = \frac{b_7b_8}{f} \frac{(\bar{g} - qb_1)(\bar{g} - qb_2)}{(\bar{g} - b_3)(\bar{g} - b_4)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $b_1, \ldots, b_8$  are some parameters and  $q = (b_3 b_4 b_5 b_6)/(b_1 b_2 b_7 b_8)$ .

It can also be thought of as a non-autonomous automorphism of the field  $\mathbb{C}(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6, b_7, b_8; f, g)$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f, g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_1 & \bar{b}_2 & \bar{b}_3 & \bar{b}_4 \\ \bar{b}_5 & \bar{b}_6 & \bar{b}_7 & \bar{b}_8 \end{bmatrix}; \bar{f}, \bar{g} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\bar{b}_1 = qb_1$ ,  $\bar{b}_2 = qb_2$ ,  $\bar{b}_3 = b_3$ ,  $\bar{b}_4 = b_4$ ,  $\bar{b}_5 = qb_5$ ,  $\bar{b}_6 = qb_6$ ,  $\bar{b}_7 = b_7$ ,  $\bar{b}_8 = b_8$ , and the map  $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) = \varphi(f, g)$  is as above.

We now show how to recover geometry from an equation using the famous  $q-P_{VI}$  example originally obtained by M. Jimbo and H. Sakai in 1995, [**JS96**].

We can easily recognize it as an  $A_3^{(1)}$ -deautonomization of our QRT example. It is a birational map  $\psi$  from a complex plane  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with coordinates (f, g) to a complex plane with coordinates  $(\bar{f}, \bar{g})$  given by

$$\varphi: \begin{cases} \bar{x} = \frac{(x-a)(x-a^{-1})}{y(x+a)(x+a^{-1})} & \varphi^2: \\ \bar{y} = x \end{cases} \begin{cases} \bar{x} = \frac{(\bar{x}-\bar{a})(\bar{x}-\bar{a}^{-1})}{\bar{y}(\bar{x}+\bar{a})(\bar{x}+\bar{a}^{-1})} & \psi: \\ \bar{y} = \frac{(x-a)(x-a^{-1})}{y(x+a)(x+a^{-1})} & \psi: \end{cases} \begin{cases} \bar{g} = \frac{b_3b_4}{g} \frac{(f-b_5)(f-b_6)}{(f-b_7)(f-b_8)} & \psi: \\ \bar{f} = \frac{b_7b_8}{f} \frac{(\bar{g}-qb_1)(\bar{g}-qb_2)}{(\bar{g}-b_3)(\bar{g}-b_4)} & \psi: \end{cases}$$

where  $b_1, \ldots, b_8$  are some parameters and  $q = (b_3 b_4 b_5 b_6)/(b_1 b_2 b_7 b_8)$ .

It can also be thought of as a non-autonomous automorphism of the field  $\mathbb{C}(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6, b_7, b_8; f, g)$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f,g \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_1 & \bar{b}_2 & \bar{b}_3 & \bar{b}_4 \\ \bar{b}_5 & \bar{b}_6 & \bar{b}_7 & \bar{b}_8 \end{pmatrix}; \bar{f}, \bar{g} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\bar{b}_1 = qb_1$ ,  $\bar{b}_2 = qb_2$ ,  $\bar{b}_3 = b_3$ ,  $\bar{b}_4 = b_4$ ,  $\bar{b}_5 = qb_5$ ,  $\bar{b}_6 = qb_6$ ,  $\bar{b}_7 = b_7$ ,  $\bar{b}_8 = b_8$ , and the map  $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) = \varphi(f, g)$  is as above.

Possible problems:  $\bar{g}(b_5, b) = 0$ ,  $\bar{g}(a, 0) = \infty$  (*compactify* from  $\mathbb{C}^2$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ). Real issue is at  $\bar{g}(b_5, 0)$  — this is an indeterminate or base point.

We now show how to recover geometry from an equation using the famous q- $P_{VI}$  example originally obtained by M. Jimbo and H. Sakai in 1995, [**JS96**].

We can easily recognize it as an  $A_3^{(1)}$ -deautonomization of our QRT example. It is a birational map  $\psi$  from a complex plane  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with coordinates (f, g) to a complex plane with coordinates  $(\bar{f}, \bar{g})$  given by

$$\varphi: \begin{cases} \bar{x} = \frac{(x-a)(x-a^{-1})}{y(x+a)(x+a^{-1})} & \varphi^2: \\ \bar{y} = x \end{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x} = \frac{(\bar{x} - \bar{a})(\bar{x} - \bar{a}^{-1})}{\bar{y}(\bar{x} + \bar{a})(\bar{x} + \bar{a}^{-1})} & \psi: \\ \bar{y} = \frac{(x-a)(x-a^{-1})}{y(x+a)(x+a^{-1})} & \psi: \end{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{g} = \frac{b_3b_4}{g} \frac{(f-b_5)(f-b_6)}{(f-b_7)(f-b_8)} \\ \bar{f} = \frac{b_7b_8}{f} \frac{(\bar{g} - qb_1)(\bar{g} - qb_2)}{(\bar{g} - b_3)(\bar{g} - b_4)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $b_1, \ldots, b_8$  are some parameters and  $q = (b_3 b_4 b_5 b_6)/(b_1 b_2 b_7 b_8)$ .

It can also be thought of as a non-autonomous automorphism of the field  $\mathbb{C}(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6, b_7, b_8; f, g)$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_1 & \bar{b}_2 & \bar{b}_3 & \bar{b}_4 \\ \bar{b}_5 & \bar{b}_6 & \bar{b}_7 & \bar{b}_8 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\bar{b}_1 = qb_1$ ,  $\bar{b}_2 = qb_2$ ,  $\bar{b}_3 = b_3$ ,  $\bar{b}_4 = b_4$ ,  $\bar{b}_5 = qb_5$ ,  $\bar{b}_6 = qb_6$ ,  $\bar{b}_7 = b_7$ ,  $\bar{b}_8 = b_8$ , and the map  $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) = \varphi(f, g)$  is as above.

Possible problems:  $\bar{g}(b_5, b) = 0$ ,  $\bar{g}(a, 0) = \infty$  (*compactify* from  $\mathbb{C}^2$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ). Real issue is at  $\bar{g}(b_5, 0)$  — this is an indeterminate or base point.

We again need to resolve the indeterminate points using the *blowup procedure*. This is how the space of initial conditions is constructed.

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

#### Okamoto Space of Initial Conditions for q-P<sub>VI</sub>

The q- $P_{\mathsf{VI}}$  map naturally decomposes as  $\psi_1: (f,g) \mapsto (f,\bar{g})$  and  $\psi_2: (f,\bar{g}) \mapsto (\bar{f},\bar{g})$ :

The q- $P_{\mathsf{VI}}$  map naturally decomposes as  $\psi_1: (f,g) \mapsto (f,\bar{g})$  and  $\psi_2: (f,\bar{g}) \mapsto (\bar{f},\bar{g})$ :

$$\psi_1: \quad \bar{g} = \frac{b_3 b_4}{g} \frac{(f - b_5)(f - b_6)}{(f - b_7)(f - b_8)} \qquad \psi_2: \quad \bar{f} = \frac{b_7 b_8}{f} \frac{(\bar{g} - qb_1)(\bar{g} - qb_2)}{(\bar{g} - b_3)(\bar{g} - b_4)}$$

The q- $P_{VI}$  map naturally decomposes as  $\psi_1 : (f,g) \mapsto (f,\bar{g})$  and  $\psi_2 : (f,\bar{g}) \mapsto (\bar{f},\bar{g})$ :

$$\psi_1: \quad \bar{g} = \frac{b_3 b_4}{g} \frac{(f - b_5)(f - b_6)}{(f - b_7)(f - b_8)} \qquad \psi_2: \quad \bar{f} = \frac{b_7 b_8}{f} \frac{(\bar{g} - qb_1)(\bar{g} - qb_2)}{(\bar{g} - b_3)(\bar{g} - b_4)}$$

The indeterminate points of  $\psi_1$  in the coordinates (f,g) are  $p_5(b_5,0)$ ,  $p_6(b_6,0)$ ,  $p_7(b_7,\infty)$ ,  $p_8(b_8,\infty)$ .

The q- $P_{\mathsf{VI}}$  map naturally decomposes as  $\psi_1 : (f,g) \mapsto (f,\bar{g})$  and  $\psi_2 : (f,\bar{g}) \mapsto (\bar{f},\bar{g})$ :

$$\psi_1: \quad \bar{g} = \frac{b_3 b_4}{g} \frac{(f - b_5)(f - b_6)}{(f - b_7)(f - b_8)} \qquad \psi_2: \quad \bar{f} = \frac{b_7 b_8}{f} \frac{(\bar{g} - qb_1)(\bar{g} - qb_2)}{(\bar{g} - b_3)(\bar{g} - b_4)}$$

The indeterminate points of  $\psi_1$  in the coordinates (f,g) are  $p_5(b_5,0)$ ,  $p_6(b_6,0)$ ,  $p_7(b_7,\infty)$ ,  $p_8(b_8,\infty)$ .

The indeterminate points of the map  $\psi_2$  in the coordinates  $(f, \bar{g})$  are  $(0, qb_1)$ ,  $(0, qb_2)$ ,  $(\infty, b_3)$ ,  $(\infty, b_4)$ . Applying  $\psi_1^{-1}$  we then get the corresponding points in the coordinates (f, g):  $p_1(0, b_1)$ ,  $p_2(0, b_2)$ ,  $p_3(\infty, b_3)$ ,  $p_4(\infty, b_4)$ .

The q- $P_{VI}$  map naturally decomposes as  $\psi_1 : (f,g) \mapsto (f,\bar{g})$  and  $\psi_2 : (f,\bar{g}) \mapsto (\bar{f},\bar{g})$ :

$$\psi_1: \quad \bar{g} = \frac{b_3 b_4}{g} \frac{(f - b_5)(f - b_6)}{(f - b_7)(f - b_8)} \qquad \psi_2: \quad \bar{f} = \frac{b_7 b_8}{f} \frac{(\bar{g} - qb_1)(\bar{g} - qb_2)}{(\bar{g} - b_3)(\bar{g} - b_4)}$$

The indeterminate points of  $\psi_1$  in the coordinates (f,g) are  $p_5(b_5,0)$ ,  $p_6(b_6,0)$ ,  $p_7(b_7,\infty)$ ,  $p_8(b_8,\infty)$ .

The indeterminate points of the map  $\psi_2$  in the coordinates  $(f, \bar{g})$  are  $(0, qb_1)$ ,  $(0, qb_2)$ ,  $(\infty, b_3)$ ,  $(\infty, b_4)$ . Applying  $\psi_1^{-1}$  we then get the corresponding points in the coordinates (f, g):  $p_1(0, b_1)$ ,  $p_2(0, b_2)$ ,  $p_3(\infty, b_3)$ ,  $p_4(\infty, b_4)$ .

Resolve it using the blowup procedure (turns out there are no more indeterminate points):



Okamoto Space of Initial Conditions  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{b}}$  for q- $P_{VI}$ 

In general, blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at eight points results in a surface  $\mathcal X$  with the anti-canonical divisor class

$$-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = 2\mathcal{H}_{f} + 2\mathcal{H}_{g} - \mathcal{E}_{1} - \mathcal{E}_{2} - \mathcal{E}_{3} - \mathcal{E}_{4} - \mathcal{E}_{5} - \mathcal{E}_{6} - \mathcal{E}_{7} - \mathcal{E}_{8}$$

Its orthogonal complement in the Picard lattice  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  has the affine type  $E_8^{(1)}$ 



In general, blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at eight points results in a surface  $\mathcal X$  with the anti-canonical divisor class

$$-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = 2\mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8$$

Its orthogonal complement in the Picard lattice  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  has the affine type  $E_8^{(1)}$ 



We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a generalized Halphen surface of index zero if it has a unique anti-canonical divisor of canonical type:  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} \bullet \mathcal{D}_i = 0$  for any irreducible component  $\mathcal{D}_i$  of  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}$ .

In general, blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at eight points results in a surface  $\mathcal{X}$  with the anti-canonical divisor class

$$-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = 2\mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8$$

Its orthogonal complement in the Picard lattice  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  has the affine type  $E_8^{(1)}$ 



We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a generalized Halphen surface of index zero if it has a unique anti-canonical divisor of canonical type:  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} \bullet \mathcal{D}_i = 0$  for any irreducible component  $\mathcal{D}_i$  of  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}$ . The orthogonal complement  $(-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}})^{\perp} \triangleleft \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  has two important complementary sub-lattices:

• The surface sub-lattice  $\Pi(R) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ \mathcal{D}_i \};$ 

In general, blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at eight points results in a surface  $\mathcal{X}$  with the anti-canonical divisor class

$$-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = 2\mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8$$

Its orthogonal complement in the Picard lattice  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  has the affine type  $E_8^{(1)}$ 



We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a generalized Halphen surface of index zero if it has a unique anti-canonical divisor of canonical type:  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} \bullet \mathcal{D}_i = 0$  for any irreducible component  $\mathcal{D}_i$  of  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}$ . The orthogonal complement  $(-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}})^{\perp} \triangleleft \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  has two important complementary sub-lattices:

• The surface sub-lattice  $\Pi(R) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ \mathcal{D}_i \};$ 

The symmetry sub-lattice Π(R<sup>⊥</sup>) = Span<sub>Z</sub>{α<sub>i</sub>}, which is the orthogonal complement of R (thus, reflections w<sub>i</sub> in the roots α<sub>i</sub> preserve the type of the surface and the intersection form, i.e., they are Cremona isometries).

In general, blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at eight points results in a surface  $\mathcal{X}$  with the anti-canonical divisor class

$$-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = 2\mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8$$

Its orthogonal complement in the Picard lattice  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  has the affine type  $E_8^{(1)}$ 



We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a generalized Halphen surface of index zero if it has a unique anti-canonical divisor of canonical type:  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} \bullet \mathcal{D}_i = 0$  for any irreducible component  $\mathcal{D}_i$  of  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}$ . The orthogonal complement  $(-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}})^{\perp} \triangleleft \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  has two important complementary sub-lattices:

- The surface sub-lattice  $\Pi(R) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ \mathcal{D}_i \};$
- The symmetry sub-lattice Π(R<sup>⊥</sup>) = Span<sub>Z</sub>{α<sub>i</sub>}, which is the orthogonal complement of R (thus, reflections w<sub>i</sub> in the roots α<sub>i</sub> preserve the type of the surface and the intersection form, i.e., they are Cremona isometries).
- Configurations of  $D_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  are also described by the affine Dynkin diagrams, automorphisms of these diagrams are also symmetries of the surface (also *Cremona isometries*).

In general, blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at eight points results in a surface  $\mathcal{X}$  with the anti-canonical divisor class

$$-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = 2\mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8$$

Its orthogonal complement in the Picard lattice  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  has the affine type  $E_8^{(1)}$ 



We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a generalized Halphen surface of index zero if it has a unique anti-canonical divisor of canonical type:  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} \bullet \mathcal{D}_i = 0$  for any irreducible component  $\mathcal{D}_i$  of  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}$ . The orthogonal complement  $(-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}})^{\perp} \triangleleft \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  has two important complementary sub-lattices:

- The surface sub-lattice  $\Pi(R) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ \mathcal{D}_i \};$
- The symmetry sub-lattice Π(R<sup>⊥</sup>) = Span<sub>Z</sub>{α<sub>i</sub>}, which is the orthogonal complement of R (thus, reflections w<sub>i</sub> in the roots α<sub>i</sub> preserve the type of the surface and the intersection form, i.e., they are Cremona isometries).
- Configurations of D<sub>i</sub> and α<sub>j</sub> are also described by the affine Dynkin diagrams, automorphisms
  of these diagrams are also symmetries of the surface (also *Cremona isometries*).
- $W = \langle \alpha_i | \cdots \rangle$  and  $\widetilde{W} = \langle w_i, \sigma_j | \cdots \rangle$  are the affine Weyl group and the extended affine Weyl group of symmetries of our surface;

In general, blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  at eight points results in a surface  $\mathcal{X}$  with the anti-canonical divisor class

$$-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = 2\mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8$$

Its orthogonal complement in the Picard lattice  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  has the affine type  $E_8^{(1)}$ 



We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a generalized Halphen surface of index zero if it has a unique anti-canonical divisor of canonical type:  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} \bullet \mathcal{D}_i = 0$  for any irreducible component  $\mathcal{D}_i$  of  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}$ . The orthogonal complement  $(-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}})^{\perp} \triangleleft \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  has two important complementary sub-lattices:

- The surface sub-lattice  $\Pi(R) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ \mathcal{D}_i \};$
- The symmetry sub-lattice Π(R<sup>⊥</sup>) = Span<sub>Z</sub>{α<sub>i</sub>}, which is the orthogonal complement of R (thus, reflections w<sub>i</sub> in the roots α<sub>i</sub> preserve the type of the surface and the intersection form, i.e., they are Cremona isometries).
- Configurations of D<sub>i</sub> and α<sub>j</sub> are also described by the affine Dynkin diagrams, automorphisms
  of these diagrams are also symmetries of the surface (also *Cremona isometries*).
- $W = \langle \alpha_i | \cdots \rangle$  and  $\widetilde{W} = \langle w_i, \sigma_j | \cdots \rangle$  are the *affine Weyl group* and the *extended affine Weyl group* of symmetries of our surface;
- Note that  $\Pi(R) \cap \Pi(R^{\perp}) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}), \ -\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = \sum_{i} m_{i}\mathcal{D}_{i} = \sum_{j} n_{j}\alpha_{j}.$

In the q- $P_{VI}$  case we have the following root sub-systems

In the q- $P_{VI}$  case we have the following root sub-systems

• Irreducible components of the anti-canonical divisor (the *surface*):



In the  $q-P_{VI}$  case we have the following root sub-systems

• Irreducible components of the anti-canonical divisor (the *surface*):



• Orthogonal sub-lattice (the symmetries):



In the  $q-P_{VI}$  case we have the following root sub-systems

• Irreducible components of the anti-canonical divisor (the *surface*):



• Orthogonal sub-lattice (the symmetries):



• The anti-canonical divisor decomposes as

$$-K_{\mathcal{X}} = 2\mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \sum_{i=1}^8 \mathcal{E}_i = D_0 + D_1 + D_2 + D_3 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5.$$

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

# The Extended Affine Weyl Symmetry Group $\widetilde{W}\left(D_{5}^{(1)} ight)$

First we need to to describe the realization of  $\widetilde{W}\left(D_5^{(1)}\right)$  in terms of elementary bilinear maps.

# The Extended Affine Weyl Symmetry Group $\widetilde{W}\left(D_5^{(1)} ight)$

First we need to to describe the realization of  $\widetilde{W}\left(D_5^{(1)}\right)$  in terms of elementary bilinear maps.

$$\widetilde{W}\left(D_{5}^{(1)}
ight) = \operatorname{Aut}(D_{5}^{(1)}) \ltimes W(D_{5}^{(1)})$$

The full extended Weyl symmetry group  $\widetilde{W}\left(D_5^{(1)}
ight)$  is a *semi-direct product* of

### The Extended Affine Weyl Symmetry Group $\widetilde{W}\left(D_{5}^{(1)} ight)$

First we need to to describe the realization of  $\widetilde{W}\left(D_5^{(1)}\right)$  in terms of elementary bilinear maps.

$$\widetilde{W}\left(D_{5}^{(1)}
ight) = \operatorname{Aut}(D_{5}^{(1)}) \ltimes W(D_{5}^{(1)})$$

The full extended Weyl symmetry group  $\widetilde{W}\left(D_5^{(1)}\right)$  is a *semi-direct product* of

• The affine Weyl symmetry group represented by reflections  $w_i = w_{\alpha_i}$ 

$$w_i(\mathcal{C}) = w_{\alpha_i}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} - 2 \frac{\mathcal{C} \bullet \alpha_i}{\alpha_i \bullet \alpha_i} \alpha_i = \mathcal{C} + (\mathcal{C} \bullet \alpha_i) \alpha_i, \qquad \mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}),$$

in roots  $\alpha_i$  on Pic( $\mathcal{X}$ ), its group structure is given by

$$W(D_5^{(1)}) = \left\langle w_0, \dots, w_6 \middle| \begin{array}{c} w_i^2 = e \\ w_i \circ w_j = w_j \circ w_i \\ w_i \circ w_j \circ w_i = w_j \circ w_i \circ w_j \end{array} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 \end{array} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{array} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_5 \end{array} \right\rangle$$

# The Extended Affine Weyl Symmetry Group $\widetilde{W}\left(D_{5}^{(1)} ight)$

First we need to to describe the realization of  $\widetilde{W}\left(D_5^{(1)}\right)$  in terms of elementary bilinear maps.

$$\widetilde{W}\left(D_5^{(1)}
ight) = \operatorname{Aut}(D_5^{(1)}) \ltimes W(D_5^{(1)})$$

The full extended Weyl symmetry group  $\widetilde{W}\left(D_5^{(1)}\right)$  is a semi-direct product of

• The affine Weyl symmetry group represented by reflections  $w_i = w_{\alpha_i}$ 

$$w_i(\mathcal{C}) = w_{\alpha_i}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} - 2 \frac{\mathcal{C} \bullet \alpha_i}{\alpha_i \bullet \alpha_i} \alpha_i = \mathcal{C} + (\mathcal{C} \bullet \alpha_i) \alpha_i, \qquad \mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}),$$

in roots  $\alpha_i$  on Pic( $\mathcal{X}$ ), its group structure is given by

$$W(D_5^{(1)}) = \left\langle w_0, \dots, w_6 \middle| \begin{array}{c} w_i^2 = e \\ w_i \circ w_j = w_j \circ w_i \\ w_i \circ w_j \circ w_i = w_j \circ w_i \circ w_j \end{array} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_1 \end{array} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_5 \\ \alpha_5$$

• The finite group of Dynkin diagram automorphisms

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Aut}}\left(D_5^{(1)}
ight)\simeq\operatorname{\mathsf{Aut}}\left(A_3^{(1)}
ight)\simeq\mathbb{D}_4=\operatorname{\mathsf{The}}$$
 Dihedral Group,

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

#### Theorem

Reflections  $w_i$  on  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  are induced by the following elementary birational mappings, also denoted by  $w_i$ , on the family  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{b}}$ . To ensure the group structure, we require that each map fixes  $b_4$  and  $\chi(\delta)$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_0}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_{13}}{b_4} & \frac{b_{23}}{b_4} & b_3 & \frac{b_{33}}{b_4}; f, \frac{b_3}{b_4}g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_1}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_2 & b_1 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_2}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_{33}}{b_1} & \frac{b_{23}}{b_1} & b_3 & \frac{b_{34}}{b_1}; f(\frac{g-b_3}{g-b_1}), \frac{b_3}{b_1}g \\ \frac{b_{35}}{b_1} & \frac{b_{36}}{b_1} & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_2}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_{17}}{b_5} & \frac{b_{27}}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ \frac{b_{27}}{b_5} & b_7 & \frac{b_{17}}{b_5} & b_7 & \frac{b_{17}}{b_5} & f, g \begin{pmatrix} f-b_7 \\ (f-b_5) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_4}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_6 & b_5 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_5}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_6 & b_5 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_5}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_6 & b_5 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_5}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_5}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_5}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_5}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_5}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_5}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_5}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_5}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_5}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4; f, g \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8; f, g \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

Consider now coordinate description of the elementary birational maps. We have the general formula  $w_i(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} + (\alpha_i \bullet \mathcal{C})\alpha_i$ . We get:

Consider now coordinate description of the elementary birational maps. We have the general formula  $w_i(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} + (\alpha_i \bullet \mathcal{C})\alpha_i$ . We get:

• Since  $\alpha_0 = \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4$ ,  $w_0(\mathcal{E}_3) = \mathcal{E}_4$ ,  $w_i(\mathcal{E}_4) = \mathcal{E}_3$ , and other basis elements of  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  are fixed,

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_4 & b_3 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_{13}}{b_4} & \frac{b_{23}}{b_4} & b_3 & \frac{b_{33}}{b_4} \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we had to adjust the free scaling parameter to ensure  $b_3$  is fixed. Maps  $w_1$ ,  $w_4$ , and  $w_5$  are similar.

Consider now coordinate description of the elementary birational maps. We have the general formula  $w_i(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} + (\alpha_i \bullet \mathcal{C})\alpha_i$ . We get:

• Since  $\alpha_0 = \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4$ ,  $w_0(\mathcal{E}_3) = \mathcal{E}_4$ ,  $w_i(\mathcal{E}_4) = \mathcal{E}_3$ , and other basis elements of Pic( $\mathcal{X}$ ) are fixed,

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{} f, g \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_4 & b_3 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{} f, g \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \underline{b_{13}} & \underline{b_{23}} & b_3 & \underline{b_{33}} \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we had to adjust the free scaling parameter to ensure  $b_3$  is fixed. Maps  $w_1$ ,  $w_4$ , and  $w_5$  are similar.

• The case  $\alpha_2 = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3$  is more interesting. We get  $w_2(\mathcal{E}_1) = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3$ ,  $w_2(\mathcal{E}_3) = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1$ , and  $w_2(\mathcal{H}_f) = \mathcal{H}_{\bar{f}} = \mathcal{H}_f + \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3$ .

Consider now coordinate description of the elementary birational maps. We have the general formula  $w_i(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} + (\alpha_i \bullet \mathcal{C})\alpha_i$ . We get:

• Since  $\alpha_0 = \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4$ ,  $w_0(\mathcal{E}_3) = \mathcal{E}_4$ ,  $w_i(\mathcal{E}_4) = \mathcal{E}_3$ , and other basis elements of Pic( $\mathcal{X}$ ) are fixed,

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{} f, g \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_4 & b_3 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{} f, g \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_{13}}{b_4} & \frac{b_{23}}{b_4} & b_3 & \frac{b_{33}}{b_4} \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we had to adjust the free scaling parameter to ensure  $b_3$  is fixed. Maps  $w_1$ ,  $w_4$ , and  $w_5$  are similar.

• The case  $\alpha_2 = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3$  is more interesting. We get  $w_2(\mathcal{E}_1) = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3$ ,  $w_2(\mathcal{E}_3) = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1$ , and  $w_2(\mathcal{H}_f) = \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{f}} = \mathcal{H}_f + \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3$ .

The last equation means that  $\overline{f}$  is a coordinate on the following pencil of (1, 1)-curves passing through the points  $p_1(0, b_1)$  and  $p_3(\infty, b_3)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}_{\bar{f}}| &= |\mathcal{H}_{\bar{f}} = \mathcal{H}_{f} + \mathcal{H}_{g} - \mathcal{E}_{1} - \mathcal{E}_{3}| \\ &= \{Afg + Bf + Cg + D = 0 \mid Cb_{1} + D = 0, Ab_{3} + B = 0\} \\ &= \{Af(g - b_{3}) + C(g - b_{1}) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now coordinate description of the elementary birational maps. We have the general formula  $w_i(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} + (\alpha_i \bullet \mathcal{C})\alpha_i$ . We get:

• Since  $\alpha_0 = \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4$ ,  $w_0(\mathcal{E}_3) = \mathcal{E}_4$ ,  $w_i(\mathcal{E}_4) = \mathcal{E}_3$ , and other basis elements of Pic( $\mathcal{X}$ ) are fixed,

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_4 & b_3 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \cdot f, g \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_{13}}{b_4} & \frac{b_{23}}{b_4} & b_3 & \frac{b_{33}}{b_4} \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where we had to adjust the free scaling parameter to ensure  $b_3$  is fixed. Maps  $w_1$ ,  $w_4$ , and  $w_5$  are similar.

• The case  $\alpha_2 = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3$  is more interesting. We get  $w_2(\mathcal{E}_1) = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3$ ,  $w_2(\mathcal{E}_3) = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1$ , and  $w_2(\mathcal{H}_f) = \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{f}} = \mathcal{H}_f + \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3$ .

The last equation means that  $\overline{f}$  is a coordinate on the following pencil of (1, 1)-curves passing through the points  $p_1(0, b_1)$  and  $p_3(\infty, b_3)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{f}}| &= |\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{f}} = \mathcal{H}_{f} + \mathcal{H}_{g} - \mathcal{E}_{1} - \mathcal{E}_{3}| \\ &= \{Afg + Bf + Cg + D = 0 \mid Cb_{1} + D = 0, Ab_{3} + B = 0\} \\ &= \{Af(g - b_{3}) + C(g - b_{1}) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, a projective coordinate on this pencil is  $\overline{f} = [-C : A] = [f(g - b_3) : (g - b_1)]$ . Recall that the coordinates of our blowup points (parametrization!) points are  $p_1(0, b_1)$ ,  $p_2(0, b_2)$ ,  $p_3(\infty, b_3)$ ,  $p_4(\infty, b_4)$ ,  $p_5(b_5, 0)$ ,  $p_6(b_6, 0)$ ,  $p_7(b_7, \infty)$ ,  $p_8(b_8, \infty)$ . Since  $w_2(\mathcal{E}_2) = \overline{\mathcal{E}}_2$ , we want  $\overline{f}(0, b_2) = 0$ . Thus,  $\overline{f} = f(g - b_3)/(g - b_1)$ .
# Sketch of the Proof

• So we get  $\overline{f} = f(g - b_3)/(g - b_1)$ ,  $\overline{g} = g$ . Since  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_1 = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3$ , which is given by  $g = b_3$ , we get  $(\overline{f}, \overline{g})(\overline{p}_1) = (0, \overline{b}_1) = (0, b_3)$ . As another example, since  $w_2(\mathcal{E}_5) = \mathcal{E}_5$ ,  $(\overline{f}, \overline{g})(\overline{p}_5) = (\overline{f}(b_5, 0), \overline{g}(b_5, 0)) = (b_5b_3/b_1, 0)$ , and so on. We finally get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f, g \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{w_2} \begin{pmatrix} b_3 & b_2 & b_1 & b_4 \\ \frac{b_3 b_5}{b_1} & \frac{b_3 b_6}{b_1} & b_7 & b_8 \end{bmatrix}; f \frac{(g - b_3)}{(g - b_1)}, g \end{pmatrix}.$$

The remaining cases are similar.

## Sketch of the Proof

• So we get  $\overline{f} = f(g - b_3)/(g - b_1)$ ,  $\overline{g} = g$ . Since  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_1 = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3$ , which is given by  $g = b_3$ , we get  $(\overline{f}, \overline{g})(\overline{p}_1) = (0, \overline{b}_1) = (0, b_3)$ . As another example, since  $w_2(\mathcal{E}_5) = \mathcal{E}_5$ ,  $(\overline{f}, \overline{g})(\overline{p}_5) = (\overline{f}(b_5, 0), \overline{g}(b_5, 0)) = (b_5b_3/b_1, 0)$ , and so on. We finally get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{w_2} \begin{pmatrix} b_3 & b_2 & b_1 & b_4 \\ \frac{b_3 b_5}{b_1} & \frac{b_3 b_6}{b_1} & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f \frac{(g - b_3)}{(g - b_1)}, g \end{pmatrix}.$$

The remaining cases are similar.

 In the similar way, we can compute the birational mappings corresponding to the permutations σ<sub>j</sub>:

#### Sketch of the Proof

• So we get  $\overline{f} = f(g - b_3)/(g - b_1)$ ,  $\overline{g} = g$ . Since  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_1 = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3$ , which is given by  $g = b_3$ , we get  $(\overline{f}, \overline{g})(\overline{p}_1) = (0, \overline{b}_1) = (0, b_3)$ . As another example, since  $w_2(\mathcal{E}_5) = \mathcal{E}_5$ ,  $(\overline{f}, \overline{g})(\overline{p}_5) = (\overline{f}(b_5, 0), \overline{g}(b_5, 0)) = (b_5b_3/b_1, 0)$ , and so on. We finally get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f, g \xrightarrow{W_2} \begin{pmatrix} b_3 & b_2 & b_1 & b_4 \\ \frac{b_3 b_5}{b_1} & \frac{b_3 b_6}{b_1} & b_7 & b_8 \end{bmatrix}; f \frac{(g - b_3)}{(g - b_1)}, g \end{pmatrix}.$$

The remaining cases are similar.

 In the similar way, we can compute the birational mappings corresponding to the permutations σ<sub>j</sub>:

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f, g \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} \begin{pmatrix} b_3 b_4 & b_3 b_4 & b_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_4 & b_3 \\ b_7 & b_8 & b_5 & b_6 \end{pmatrix}; f, \frac{b_3 b_4}{g} \end{pmatrix},$$

• So we get  $\overline{f} = f(g - b_3)/(g - b_1)$ ,  $\overline{g} = g$ . Since  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_1 = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3$ , which is given by  $g = b_3$ , we get  $(\overline{f}, \overline{g})(\overline{p}_1) = (0, \overline{b}_1) = (0, b_3)$ . As another example, since  $w_2(\mathcal{E}_5) = \mathcal{E}_5$ ,  $(\overline{f}, \overline{g})(\overline{p}_5) = (\overline{f}(b_5, 0), \overline{g}(b_5, 0)) = (b_5b_3/b_1, 0)$ , and so on. We finally get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f, g \xrightarrow{W_2} \begin{pmatrix} b_3 & b_2 & b_1 & b_4 \\ \frac{b_3 b_5}{b_1} & \frac{b_3 b_6}{b_1} & b_7 & b_8 \end{bmatrix}; f \frac{(g - b_3)}{(g - b_1)}, g \end{pmatrix}.$$

The remaining cases are similar.

 In the similar way, we can compute the birational mappings corresponding to the permutations σ<sub>j</sub>:

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\sigma_1}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_3 b_4}{b_1} & \frac{b_3 b_4}{b_2} & b_4 & b_3 \\ b_7 & b_8 & b_5 & b_6 \end{pmatrix}; f, \frac{b_3 b_4}{g} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f, g \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\sigma_2}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_3 & b_4 & b_1 & b_2 & b_7 b_8 \\ \frac{b_7 b_8}{b_5} & \frac{b_7 b_8}{b_6} & b_8 & b_7 & \frac{b_7 b_8}{f}, g \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can compute the action of  $\psi_* : \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{b}}) \to \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\mathbf{b}}})$ :

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_f &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4, \\ \bar{\mathcal{H}}_g &= 2\mathcal{H}_f + 5\mathcal{H}_g - 2\mathcal{E}_1 - 2\mathcal{E}_2 - 2\mathcal{E}_3 - 2\mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_1 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_2, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_5 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_6, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_2 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_6 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_3 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_4, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_7 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_8, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_4 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_8 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_7. \end{split}$$

We can compute the action of  $\psi_* : \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{b}}) \to \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\mathbf{b}}})$ :

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_f &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4, \\ \bar{\mathcal{H}}_g &= 2\mathcal{H}_f + 5\mathcal{H}_g - 2\mathcal{E}_1 - 2\mathcal{E}_2 - 2\mathcal{E}_3 - 2\mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_1 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_2, \qquad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_5 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_6, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_2 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1, \qquad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_6 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_3 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_4, \qquad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_7 = \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_8, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_4 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3, \qquad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_8 = \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_7. \end{split}$$

Induced actions on the lattices  $\Pi(R)$  and  $\Pi(R \perp)$  are

•  $\psi_* = (\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_2)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_3) = \sigma_0 \sigma_1$  on the *surface* lattice;

We can compute the action of  $\psi_* : \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{b}}) \to \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\mathbf{b}}})$ :

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_f &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4, \\ \bar{\mathcal{H}}_g &= 2\mathcal{H}_f + 5\mathcal{H}_g - 2\mathcal{E}_1 - 2\mathcal{E}_2 - 2\mathcal{E}_3 - 2\mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_1 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_2, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_5 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_6, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_2 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_6 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_3 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_4, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_7 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_8, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_4 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_8 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_7. \end{split}$$

Induced actions on the lattices  $\Pi(R)$  and  $\Pi(R \perp)$  are

- $\psi_* = (\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_2)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_3) = \sigma_0 \sigma_1$  on the surface lattice;
- $\psi_*: \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5) \mapsto \alpha + \langle 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0 \rangle (-K_{\mathcal{X}})$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3 \mapsto 2\mathcal{H}_f + 3\mathcal{H}_g - 2\mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - 2\mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8 \\ &= \alpha_2 + (-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5. \end{aligned}$$

We can compute the action of  $\psi_* : \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{b}}) \to \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{\overline{b}}})$ :

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_f &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4, \\ \bar{\mathcal{H}}_g &= 2\mathcal{H}_f + 5\mathcal{H}_g - 2\mathcal{E}_1 - 2\mathcal{E}_2 - 2\mathcal{E}_3 - 2\mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_1 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_2, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_5 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_6, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_2 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_6 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_3 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_4, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_7 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_8, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_4 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_8 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_7. \end{split}$$

Induced actions on the lattices  $\Pi(R)$  and  $\Pi(R \perp)$  are

• 
$$\psi_* = (\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_2)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_3) = \sigma_0 \sigma_1$$
 on the surface lattice;  
•  $\psi_* : \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5) \mapsto \alpha + \langle 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0 \rangle (-K_{\chi})$ , i.e.,  
 $\alpha_2 = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3 \mapsto 2\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon} + 3\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} - 2\mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - 2\mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_5$ 

$$= \alpha_2 + (-K_{\chi}) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5.$$

**Definition**: A discrete Painlevé equation is a discrete dynamical system on the family  $\mathcal{X}_b$  induced by a translation in the  $\Pi(R^{\perp})$  affine symmetry sub-lattice of the corresponding surface.

C.

We can compute the action of  $\psi_* : \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{b}}) \to \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{\overline{b}}})$ :

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_f &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4, \\ \bar{\mathcal{H}}_g &= 2\mathcal{H}_f + 5\mathcal{H}_g - 2\mathcal{E}_1 - 2\mathcal{E}_2 - 2\mathcal{E}_3 - 2\mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_1 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_2, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_5 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_6, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_2 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_6 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_3 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_4, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_7 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_8, \\ \bar{\mathcal{E}}_4 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3, \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_8 &= \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_7. \end{split}$$

Induced actions on the lattices  $\Pi(R)$  and  $\Pi(R \perp)$  are

- $\psi_* = (\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_2)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_3) = \sigma_0 \sigma_1$  on the surface lattice;
- $\psi_*: \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5) \mapsto \alpha + \langle 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0 \rangle (-K_{\mathcal{X}})$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2 &= \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3 \mapsto 2\mathcal{H}_f + 3\mathcal{H}_g - 2\mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - 2\mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8 \\ &= \alpha_2 + (-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition**: A discrete Painlevé equation is a discrete dynamical system on the family  $\mathcal{X}_b$  induced by a translation in the  $\Pi(R^{\perp})$  affine symmetry sub-lattice of the corresponding surface.

**Questions**: How, given a translation direction, obtain the corresponding *discrete Painlevé equation*? How to identify whether the two directions give the equivalent equations? Which equations are the simplest?

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

Suppose we are now given the generalized Halphen surface of type  $A_3^{(1)}$ . It can be parameterized as above and we can define the corresponding roots  $\alpha_i$  and  $D_i$ . How, given the translation

 $\mathsf{q}\text{-}\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}}: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle,$ 

write down the corresponding discrete Painlevé equation?

Suppose we are now given the generalized Halphen surface of type  $A_3^{(1)}$ . It can be parameterized as above and we can define the corresponding roots  $\alpha_i$  and  $D_i$ . How, given the translation

 $\mathsf{q}\text{-}\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}}: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle,$ 

write down the corresponding discrete Painlevé equation?

Step 1: Represent the translation as a composition of the generators w<sub>i</sub> and σ<sub>j</sub> from the extended affine Weyl group W(D<sub>5</sub><sup>(1)</sup>);

Suppose we are now given the generalized Halphen surface of type  $A_3^{(1)}$ . It can be parameterized as above and we can define the corresponding roots  $\alpha_i$  and  $D_i$ . How, given the translation

 $\mathsf{q}-\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}}: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle,$ 

write down the corresponding discrete Painlevé equation?

- Step 1: Represent the translation as a composition of the generators  $w_i$  and  $\sigma_j$  from the extended affine Weyl group  $\widetilde{W}(D_5^{(1)})$ ;
- Step 2: Find the coordinate description for each elementary birational transformation of the Okamoto surface  $\mathcal{X}$  corresponding to the generators of our affine Weyl group;

Suppose we are now given the generalized Halphen surface of type  $A_3^{(1)}$ . It can be parameterized as above and we can define the corresponding roots  $\alpha_i$  and  $D_i$ . How, given the translation

 $\mathsf{q}-\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}}: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle,$ 

write down the corresponding discrete Painlevé equation?

- Step 1: Represent the translation as a composition of the generators w<sub>i</sub> and σ<sub>j</sub> from the extended affine Weyl group W(D<sub>5</sub><sup>(1)</sup>);
- Step 2: Find the coordinate description for each elementary birational transformation of the Okamoto surface  $\mathcal{X}$  corresponding to the generators of our affine Weyl group;
- Step 3: Find the coordinate description of the composition obtained in Step 1.

Suppose we are now given the generalized Halphen surface of type  $A_3^{(1)}$ . It can be parameterized as above and we can define the corresponding roots  $\alpha_i$  and  $D_i$ . How, given the translation

 $\mathsf{q}-\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}}: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle,$ 

write down the corresponding discrete Painlevé equation?

- Step 1: Represent the translation as a composition of the generators w<sub>i</sub> and σ<sub>j</sub> from the extended affine Weyl group W(D<sub>5</sub><sup>(1)</sup>);
- Step 2: Find the coordinate description for each elementary birational transformation of the Okamoto surface  $\mathcal{X}$  corresponding to the generators of our affine Weyl group;
- Step 3: Find the coordinate description of the composition obtained in Step 1.

For **Step 1**, we use the following Lemma:

Suppose we are now given the generalized Halphen surface of type  $A_3^{(1)}$ . It can be parameterized as above and we can define the corresponding roots  $\alpha_i$  and  $D_i$ . How, given the translation

 $\mathsf{q}-\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}}: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle,$ 

write down the corresponding discrete Painlevé equation?

- Step 1: Represent the translation as a composition of the generators w<sub>i</sub> and σ<sub>j</sub> from the extended affine Weyl group W(D<sub>5</sub><sup>(1)</sup>);
- Step 2: Find the coordinate description for each elementary birational transformation of the Okamoto surface  $\mathcal{X}$  corresponding to the generators of our affine Weyl group;
- Step 3: Find the coordinate description of the composition obtained in Step 1.

For **Step 1**, we use the following Lemma:

Reduction Lemma (V. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, Lemma 3.11) If  $w(\alpha_i) < 0$ , then

$$I(w \circ w_i) < I(w),$$

where I(w) is length of  $w \in W$ , and  $\alpha_i$  is a simple root.

So we get

So we get

 $\mathsf{q}-\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}}: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle$ 

So we get

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{VI}} : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \end{array}$ 

 $-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle$ 

So we get

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{q}\text{-}P_{\mathsf{VI}}: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ &\mathsf{q}\text{-}P_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ \mathsf{w}_3: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \end{split}$$

$$-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle$$

 $\mathsf{q}\text{-}\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle$ 

So we get

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{q}\text{-}P_{\mathsf{VI}}: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}\text{-}P_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \end{array}$$

$$-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \rangle \end{array}$ 

So we get

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{q}\text{-}P_{\mathsf{VI}}: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ &\mathsf{q}\text{-}P_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ \mathsf{w}_3: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \end{split}$$

$$-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle$$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

 $\mathsf{q}-\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ \mathsf{w}_3 \circ \mathsf{w}_4 \circ \mathsf{w}_5 \circ \mathsf{w}_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_4 \rangle$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &\circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \end{split}$$

$$-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle$$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{q}-\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \end{array}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &\circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \end{split}$$

 $-\alpha_0-\alpha_1-2\alpha_2-\alpha_3-\alpha_5, -\alpha_0-\alpha_1-2\alpha_2-\alpha_3-\alpha_4\rangle$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{q}-\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 : \alpha \mapsto \langle -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \end{array}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &\circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \\ \end{split}$$

 $-\alpha_0-\alpha_1-2\alpha_2-\alpha_3-\alpha_5, -\alpha_0-\alpha_1-2\alpha_2-\alpha_3-\alpha_4\rangle$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{q}-\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 : \alpha \mapsto \langle -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 : \alpha \mapsto \langle -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \end{array}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &\circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \\ \end{split}$$

 $-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 : \alpha \mapsto \langle -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 : \alpha \mapsto \langle -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \end{array}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &\circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \\ \end{split}$$

 $-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 : \alpha \mapsto \langle -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 : \alpha \mapsto \langle -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0 \circ w_2 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \end{array}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &\circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \end{split}$$

 $-\alpha_0-\alpha_1-2\alpha_2-\alpha_3-\alpha_5, -\alpha_0-\alpha_1-2\alpha_2-\alpha_3-\alpha_4\rangle$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 : \alpha \mapsto \langle -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 : \alpha \mapsto \langle -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0 \circ w_2 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0 \circ w_2 \circ \sigma_2 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \end{array}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{VI}} &\circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \end{split}$$

 $-\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q}-P_{\mathsf{V}1} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 &: \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_4 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 : \alpha \mapsto \langle -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 : \alpha \mapsto \langle -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_0 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0 \circ w_2 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{V}\mathsf{I}} \circ w_3 \circ w_4 \circ w_5 \circ w_3 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_2 \circ w_1 \circ w_0 \circ w_2 \circ \sigma_2 : \alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \rangle \\ \end{array}$ 

#### Decomposition of $q-P_{VI}$ :

 $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\mathsf{VI}} = \sigma_2 \circ w_2 \circ w_0 \circ w_1 \circ w_2 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_3 \circ w_5 \circ w_4 \circ w_3$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{w_3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1 b_7}{b_5} & \frac{b_2 b_7}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_7 & b_6 & b_5 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \overset{b_4}{;} f; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f, g \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{w_3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1 b_7}{b_5} & \frac{b_2 b_7}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_7 & b_6 & b_5 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{w_4} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1 b_7}{b_5} & \frac{b_2 b_7}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_6 & b_7 & b_5 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{w}_3}{\mapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1 b_7}{b_5} & \frac{b_2 b_7}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_7 & b_6 & b_5 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{b}_4}{\stackrel{\text{c}_5}; f; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} }$$

$$\stackrel{\text{w}_4}{\mapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1 b_7}{b_5} & \frac{b_2 b_7}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_6 & b_7 & b_5 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{f}; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{w}_5}{\mapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1 b_7}{b_5} & \frac{b_2 b_7}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_6 & b_7 & b_8 & b_5 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{f}; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f, g \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{w_3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1 b_7}{b_5} & \frac{b_2 b_7}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_7 & b_6 & b_5 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{w_4} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1 b_7}{b_5} & \frac{b_2 b_7}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_6 & b_7 & b_5 & b_8 \end{pmatrix}; f; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{w_5} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1 b_7}{b_5} & \frac{b_2 b_7}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_6 & b_7 & b_8 & b_5 \end{bmatrix}; f; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{w_3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_3 b_4}{d_2} & \frac{b_3 b_4}{d_2} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_8 & b_7 & b_6 & b_5 \end{bmatrix}; f; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \begin{pmatrix} f - b_8 \\ f - b_6 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{w_3}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1 b_7}{b_5} & \frac{b_2 b_7}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_7 & b_6 & b_5 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} ; f; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{w_4}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1 b_7}{b_5} & \frac{b_2 b_7}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_6 & b_7 & b_5 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} ; f; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{w_5}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_1 b_7}{b_5} & \frac{b_2 b_7}{b_5} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_6 & b_7 & b_8 & b_5 \end{pmatrix} ; f; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{w_3}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_3 b_4}{q b_2} & \frac{b_3 b_4}{q b_1} & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_8 & b_7 & b_6 & b_5 \end{pmatrix} ; f; g \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_5)} \frac{(f - b_8)}{(f - b_6)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{\sigma_1}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} q b_2 & q b_1 & b_4 & b_3 \\ b_6 & b_5 & b_8 & b_7 \end{pmatrix} ; f; \bar{g} = \frac{b_3 b_4}{g} \frac{(f - b_7)}{(f - b_7)} \frac{(f - b_6)}{(f - b_8)} \end{pmatrix}$$

. . .

$$\cdots \stackrel{w_2}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} b_4 & qb_1 & qb_2 & b_3\\ \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & b_8 & b_7 \end{pmatrix}; f\frac{(\bar{g}-b_4)}{(\bar{g}-qb_2)}; \bar{g} \end{pmatrix}$$
$q-P_{VI} = \sigma_2 \circ w_2 \circ w_0 \circ w_1 \circ w_2 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_3 \circ w_5 \circ w_4 \circ w_3, \qquad q = (b_3 b_4 b_5 b_6)/(b_1 b_2 b_7 b_8)$ 

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{w_2} \begin{pmatrix} b_4 & qb_1 & qb_2 & b_3\\ \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & b_8 & b_7; f\frac{(\bar{g}-b_4)}{(\bar{g}-qb_2)}; \bar{g} \end{pmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{w_0} \begin{pmatrix} b_4 & qb_1 & b_3 & qb_2\\ \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & b_8 & b_7; f\frac{(\bar{g}-b_4)}{(\bar{g}-qb_2)}; \bar{g} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $q-P_{VI} = \sigma_2 \circ w_2 \circ w_0 \circ w_1 \circ w_2 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_3 \circ w_5 \circ w_4 \circ w_3, \qquad q = (b_3 b_4 b_5 b_6)/(b_1 b_2 b_7 b_8)$ 

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{w_2} \begin{pmatrix} b_4 & qb_1 & qb_2 & b_3 \\ \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & b_8 & b_7; f\left(\frac{\bar{g}-b_4}{\bar{g}-qb_2}\right); \bar{g} \end{pmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{w_0} \begin{pmatrix} b_4 & qb_1 & b_3 & qb_2 \\ \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & b_8 & b_7; f\left(\frac{\bar{g}-b_4}{\bar{g}-qb_2}\right); \bar{g} \end{pmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{w_1} \begin{pmatrix} qb_1 & b_4 & b_3 & qb_2 \\ \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & b_8 & b_7; f\left(\frac{\bar{g}-b_4}{\bar{g}-qb_2}\right); \bar{g} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $q - P_{VI} = \sigma_2 \circ w_2 \circ w_0 \circ w_1 \circ w_2 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_3 \circ w_5 \circ w_4 \circ w_3, \qquad q = (b_3 b_4 b_5 b_6) / (b_1 b_2 b_7 b_8)$ 

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{w_2} \begin{pmatrix} b_4 & qb_1 & qb_2 & b_3 \\ \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & b_8 & b_7; f\left(\frac{\bar{g}-b_4}{\bar{g}-qb_2}\right); \bar{g} \end{pmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{w_0} \begin{pmatrix} b_4 & qb_1 & b_3 & qb_2 \\ \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & b_8 & b_7; f\left(\frac{\bar{g}-b_4}{\bar{g}-qb_2}\right); \bar{g} \end{pmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{w_1} \begin{pmatrix} qb_1 & b_4 & b_3 & qb_2 \\ \frac{b_4b_6}{qb_2} & \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & b_8 & b_7; f\left(\frac{\bar{g}-b_4}{\bar{g}-qb_2}\right); \bar{g} \end{pmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{w_2} \begin{pmatrix} b_3 & b_4 & qb_1 & qb_2 \\ \frac{b_7b_8}{qb_5} & \frac{b_7b_8}{qb_6} & b_8 & b_7; f\left(\frac{\bar{g}-b_4}{\bar{g}-qb_2}\right); \bar{g} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $q - P_{VI} = \sigma_2 \circ w_2 \circ w_0 \circ w_1 \circ w_2 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_3 \circ w_5 \circ w_4 \circ w_3, \qquad q = (b_3 b_4 b_5 b_6) / (b_1 b_2 b_7 b_8)$ 

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{w_2} \begin{pmatrix} b_4 & qb_1 & qb_2 & b_3 \\ \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & b_8 & b_7 \\ ; f \frac{(\bar{g} - qb_2)}{(\bar{g} - qb_2)} ; \bar{g} \end{pmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{w_0} \begin{pmatrix} b_4 & qb_1 & b_3 & qb_2 \\ \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & b_8 & b_7 \\ ; f \frac{(\bar{g} - b_4)}{(\bar{g} - qb_2)} ; \bar{g} \end{pmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{w_1} \begin{pmatrix} qb_1 & b_4 & b_3 & qb_2 \\ \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & \frac{b_4b_5}{qb_2} & b_8 & b_7 \\ ; f \frac{(\bar{g} - b_4)}{(\bar{g} - qb_2)} ; \bar{g} \end{pmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{w_2} \begin{pmatrix} b_3 & b_4 & qb_1 & qb_2 \\ \frac{b_7b_8}{qb_5} & \frac{b_7b_8}{qb_6} & b_8 & b_7 \\ ; f \frac{(\bar{g} - b_4)}{(\bar{g} - qb_2)} (\bar{g} - qb_1) ; \bar{g} \end{pmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{\omega_2} \begin{pmatrix} qb_1 & qb_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ qb_5 & qb_6 & b_7 & b_8 \\ ; \bar{f} = \frac{b_7b_8}{f} \frac{(\bar{g} - qb_2)}{(\bar{g} - b_4)} \frac{(\bar{g} - qb_1)}{(\bar{g} - b_3)} ; \bar{g} \end{pmatrix},$$

 $q-P_{VI} = \sigma_2 \circ w_2 \circ w_0 \circ w_1 \circ w_2 \circ \sigma_1 \circ w_3 \circ w_5 \circ w_4 \circ w_3, \qquad q = (b_3 b_4 b_5 b_6)/(b_1 b_2 b_7 b_8)$ 

as it should be!

• **Observation 1:** The theory of Painlevé equations is *mathematically beautiful*. It is related to the theory of special functions and orthogonal polynomials, ordinary and partial differential equations, algebraic geometry, group and representation theory, the theory of cluster algebras, and this list goes on.

- **Observation 1:** The theory of Painlevé equations is *mathematically beautiful*. It is related to the theory of special functions and orthogonal polynomials, ordinary and partial differential equations, algebraic geometry, group and representation theory, the theory of cluster algebras, and this list goes on.
- **Observation 2:** Painlevé equations, both continuous and discrete, are very important for a wide range of *applications*. Here I want to emphasize that, in addition to developing a particular mathematical theory, it is often very important to make it available for *applications*, in an <u>effective</u> way.

- **Observation 1:** The theory of Painlevé equations is *mathematically beautiful*. It is related to the theory of special functions and orthogonal polynomials, ordinary and partial differential equations, algebraic geometry, group and representation theory, the theory of cluster algebras, and this list goes on.
- Observation 2: Painlevé equations, both continuous and discrete, are very important for a wide range of *applications*. Here I want to emphasize that, in addition to developing a particular mathematical theory, it is often very important to make it available for *applications*, in an <u>effective</u> way.
- Actually, P. Painlevé original motivation was to construct new *nonlinear* special functions (similar to the construction of the usual special functions, such as Airy or Bessel, as general solutions of *linear* ODE), as general solutions of *nonlinear* ODE. *Painlevé transcendent* (general solutions of *Painlevé differential equations*) play an increasingly important role in a wide range of nonlinear problems and applications (e.g. the *Tracy-Widom distribution*).

- **Observation 1:** The theory of Painlevé equations is *mathematically beautiful*. It is related to the theory of special functions and orthogonal polynomials, ordinary and partial differential equations, algebraic geometry, group and representation theory, the theory of cluster algebras, and this list goes on.
- Observation 2: Painlevé equations, both continuous and discrete, are very important for a wide range of *applications*. Here I want to emphasize that, in addition to developing a particular mathematical theory, it is often very important to make it available for *applications*, in an <u>effective</u> way.
- Actually, P. Painlevé original motivation was to construct new *nonlinear* special functions (similar to the construction of the usual special functions, such as Airy or Bessel, as general solutions of *linear* ODE), as general solutions of *nonlinear* ODE. *Painlevé transcendent* (general solutions of *Painlevé differential equations*) play an increasingly important role in a wide range of nonlinear problems and applications (e.g. the *Tracy-Widom distribution*).
- The theory of *discrete Painlevé equations* is much more recent, but it is already very clear that the same is true these equations appear in many important applied problems.

- **Observation 1:** The theory of Painlevé equations is *mathematically beautiful*. It is related to the theory of special functions and orthogonal polynomials, ordinary and partial differential equations, algebraic geometry, group and representation theory, the theory of cluster algebras, and this list goes on.
- **Observation 2:** Painlevé equations, both continuous and discrete, are very important for a wide range of *applications*. Here I want to emphasize that, in addition to developing a particular mathematical theory, it is often very important to make it available for *applications*, in an <u>effective</u> way.
- Actually, P. Painlevé original motivation was to construct new *nonlinear* special functions (similar to the construction of the usual special functions, such as Airy or Bessel, as general solutions of *linear* ODE), as general solutions of *nonlinear* ODE. *Painlevé transcendent* (general solutions of *Painlevé differential equations*) play an increasingly important role in a wide range of nonlinear problems and applications (e.g. the *Tracy-Widom distribution*).
- The theory of *discrete Painlevé equations* is much more recent, but it is already very clear that the same is true these equations appear in many important applied problems.
- Issue: It can be very difficult to recognize a discrete Painlevé equation. Even when there are
  reasons to expect that some discrete recurrence will fall in the discrete Painlevé framework, it
  will most likely not be written in a standard "catalogue form". This is the issue of
  coordinates the application coordinates that are natural to the applied problem can be very
  different from the good Painlevé coordinates. The necessary change of variables can be very
  difficult, if not impossible, to guess.

- **Observation 1:** The theory of Painlevé equations is *mathematically beautiful*. It is related to the theory of special functions and orthogonal polynomials, ordinary and partial differential equations, algebraic geometry, group and representation theory, the theory of cluster algebras, and this list goes on.
- **Observation 2:** Painlevé equations, both continuous and discrete, are very important for a wide range of *applications*. Here I want to emphasize that, in addition to developing a particular mathematical theory, it is often very important to make it available for *applications*, in an <u>effective</u> way.
- Actually, P. Painlevé original motivation was to construct new *nonlinear* special functions (similar to the construction of the usual special functions, such as Airy or Bessel, as general solutions of *linear* ODE), as general solutions of *nonlinear* ODE. *Painlevé transcendent* (general solutions of *Painlevé differential equations*) play an increasingly important role in a wide range of nonlinear problems and applications (e.g. the *Tracy-Widom distribution*).
- The theory of *discrete Painlevé equations* is much more recent, but it is already very clear that the same is true these equations appear in many important applied problems.
- Issue: It can be very difficult to recognize a discrete Painlevé equation. Even when there are reasons to expect that some discrete recurrence will fall in the discrete Painlevé framework, it will most likely not be written in a standard "catalogue form". This is the issue of **coordinates** the *application coordinates* that are natural to the applied problem can be very different from the good *Painlevé coordinates*. The necessary change of variables can be very difficult, if not impossible, to guess.
- Here is one such example.

#### Discrete Orthogonal Polynomials with the Hypergeometric Weight

Consider the collection  $\{p_n(x) = \gamma_n x^n + \cdots\}$  of polynomials that are orthonormal on the set  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$  with respect to the *hypergeometric weight*  $w_k$ :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_n(k) p_m(k) w_k = \delta_{m,n}, \qquad w_k = \frac{(\alpha)_k(\beta)_k}{(\gamma)_k k!} c^k, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, \ 0 < c < 1,$$

where  $(\cdot)_k$  is the usual Pochhammer symbol and  $\delta_{m,n}$  is the Kronecker delta.

#### Discrete Orthogonal Polynomials with the Hypergeometric Weight

Consider the collection  $\{p_n(x) = \gamma_n x^n + \cdots\}$  of polynomials that are orthonormal on the set  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$  with respect to the *hypergeometric weight*  $w_k$ :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_n(k) p_m(k) w_k = \delta_{m,n}, \qquad w_k = \frac{(\alpha)_k(\beta)_k}{(\gamma)_k k!} c^k, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, \ 0 < c < 1,$$

where  $(\cdot)_k$  is the usual Pochhammer symbol and  $\delta_{m,n}$  is the Kronecker delta.

This collection of polynomials is known as the discrete orthogonal polynomials with hypergeometric weights since the moments of this weight function are given in terms of the Gauss hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;c)$  and its derivatives and it has been studied in [**FVA18**].

#### Discrete Orthogonal Polynomials with the Hypergeometric Weight

Consider the collection  $\{p_n(x) = \gamma_n x^n + \cdots\}$  of polynomials that are orthonormal on the set  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$  with respect to the *hypergeometric weight*  $w_k$ :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_n(k) p_m(k) w_k = \delta_{m,n}, \qquad w_k = \frac{(\alpha)_k(\beta)_k}{(\gamma)_k k!} c^k, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, \ 0 < c < 1,$$

where  $(\cdot)_k$  is the usual Pochhammer symbol and  $\delta_{m,n}$  is the Kronecker delta.

This collection of polynomials is known as the discrete orthogonal polynomials with hypergeometric weights since the moments of this weight function are given in terms of the Gauss hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;c)$  and its derivatives and it has been studied in [**FVA18**].

These polynomials satisfy the usual three term recurrence relation

$$xp_n(x) = a_{n+1}p_{n+1}(x) + b_np_n(x) + a_np_{n-1}(x),$$

where  $a_0 = 0$ . The coefficients  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are called the *recurrence coefficients*.

The corresponding monic orthogonal polynomials  $P_n = p_n/\gamma_n$  satisfy a similar three term recurrence relation

$$xP_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) + b_n P_n(x) + a_n^2 P_{n-1}(x).$$

Following [**FVA18**], let us introduce two new variables  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  parameterizing the recurrence coefficients  $a_n^2$  and  $b_n$  as follows:

$$a_n^2 \frac{1-c}{c} = y_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_k + \frac{n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)}{1-c}, \qquad b_n = x_n + \frac{n+(n+\alpha+\beta)c-\gamma}{1-c}.$$

Following [**FVA18**], let us introduce two new variables  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  parameterizing the recurrence coefficients  $a_n^2$  and  $b_n$  as follows:

$$a_n^2 \frac{1-c}{c} = y_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_k + \frac{n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)}{1-c}, \qquad b_n = x_n + \frac{n+(n+\alpha+\beta)c-\gamma}{1-c}$$

Note that  $x_n = x(n, c; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ ,  $y_n = y(n, c; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . As functions of the continuous parameter c,  $x_n, y_n$  satisfy the differential Toda system

$$\left\{egin{array}{ll} c \, rac{d}{dc} a_n^2 &= a_n^2 (b_n - b_{n-1}), & n \geq 1, \ c \, rac{d}{dc} b_n &= a_{n+1}^2 - a_n^2, & n \geq 0. \end{array}
ight.$$

Following [**FVA18**], let us introduce two new variables  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  parameterizing the recurrence coefficients  $a_n^2$  and  $b_n$  as follows:

$$a_n^2 \frac{1-c}{c} = y_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_k + \frac{n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)}{1-c}, \qquad b_n = x_n + \frac{n+(n+\alpha+\beta)c-\gamma}{1-c}.$$

Note that  $x_n = x(n, c; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ ,  $y_n = y(n, c; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . As functions of the continuous parameter c,  $x_n, y_n$  satisfy the differential Toda system

$$\begin{cases} c \frac{d}{dc} a_n^2 = a_n^2 (b_n - b_{n-1}), & n \ge 1, \\ c \frac{d}{dc} b_n = a_{n+1}^2 - a_n^2, & n \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

This system can be reduced to the sigma-form of the sixth Painlevé equation. Namely, a simple linear change of variable transforms  $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_k$  into the solutions of the  $\sigma$ -form of the sixth Painlevé equation. Moreover, the differential equation for  $x_n$  can be directly reduced to the sixth Painlevé equation, [**HFC19**].

Following [**FVA18**], let us introduce two new variables  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  parameterizing the recurrence coefficients  $a_n^2$  and  $b_n$  as follows:

$$a_n^2 \frac{1-c}{c} = y_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_k + \frac{n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)}{1-c}, \qquad b_n = x_n + \frac{n+(n+\alpha+\beta)c-\gamma}{1-c}.$$

Note that  $x_n = x(n, c; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ ,  $y_n = y(n, c; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . As functions of the continuous parameter c,  $x_n, y_n$  satisfy the differential Toda system

$$\begin{cases} c \frac{d}{dc} a_n^2 = a_n^2 (b_n - b_{n-1}), & n \ge 1, \\ c \frac{d}{dc} b_n = a_{n+1}^2 - a_n^2, & n \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

This system can be reduced to the sigma-form of the sixth Painlevé equation. Namely, a simple linear change of variable transforms  $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_k$  into the solutions of the  $\sigma$ -form of the sixth Painlevé equation. Moreover, the differential equation for  $x_n$  can be directly reduced to the sixth Painlevé equation, [**HFC19**].

As functions of discrete parameter n,  $x_n$ ,  $y_n$  satisfy a certain *recurrence relation*; this is the relation we are interested in. In view of the above, it is not surprising that this recurrence relation is related to the standard discrete Painlevé-V equation that describes certain Bäcklund transformations of  $P_{VI}$ . In fact, via a direct computation, is is possible to shown that the discrete system is a composition of the Bäcklund transformations of the sixth Painlevé equation, [**HFC19**].

In [**FVA18**, Theorem 3.1] it was shown that  $x_n$ ,  $y_n$ , as functions of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfy the first-order system of non-linear non-autonomous difference equations

In **[FVA18**, Theorem 3.1] it was shown that  $x_n$ ,  $y_n$ , as functions of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfy the first-order system of non-linear non-autonomous difference equations

$$\begin{aligned} (y_n - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n)x_n - x_n^2)(y_{n+1} - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n + 1)x_n - x_n^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{c}(x_n - 1)(x_n - \alpha)(x_n - \beta)(x_n - \gamma), \end{aligned}$$

In [**FVA18**, Theorem 3.1] it was shown that  $x_n$ ,  $y_n$ , as functions of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfy the first-order system of non-linear non-autonomous difference equations

$$\begin{split} (y_n - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n)x_n - x_n^2)(y_{n+1} - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n + 1)x_n - x_n^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{c}(x_n - 1)(x_n - \alpha)(x_n - \beta)(x_n - \gamma), \\ (x_n + \mathfrak{Y}_n)(x_{n-1} + \mathfrak{Y}_n) \\ &= \frac{(y_n + n\alpha)(y_n + n\beta)(y_n + n\gamma - (\gamma - \alpha)(\gamma - \beta))(y_n + n - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta))}{(y_n(2n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) + n((n + \alpha + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) - \alpha\beta + \gamma))^2}, \end{split}$$

In [**FVA18**, Theorem 3.1] it was shown that  $x_n$ ,  $y_n$ , as functions of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfy the first-order system of non-linear non-autonomous difference equations

$$\begin{split} (y_n - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n)x_n - x_n^2)(y_{n+1} - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n + 1)x_n - x_n^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{c}(x_n - 1)(x_n - \alpha)(x_n - \beta)(x_n - \gamma), \\ (x_n + \mathfrak{Y}_n)(x_{n-1} + \mathfrak{Y}_n) \\ &= \frac{(y_n + n\alpha)(y_n + n\beta)(y_n + n\gamma - (\gamma - \alpha)(\gamma - \beta))(y_n + n - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta))}{(y_n(2n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) + n((n + \alpha + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) - \alpha\beta + \gamma))^2}, \end{split}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$  and 0 < c < 1 are some parameters and

$$\mathfrak{Y}_n = \frac{y_n^2 + y_n(n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)-\alpha\beta n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)}{y_n(2n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)+n((n+\alpha+\beta)(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)}.$$

In [**FVA18**, Theorem 3.1] it was shown that  $x_n$ ,  $y_n$ , as functions of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfy the first-order system of non-linear non-autonomous difference equations

$$\begin{split} (y_n - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n)x_n - x_n^2)(y_{n+1} - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n + 1)x_n - x_n^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{c}(x_n - 1)(x_n - \alpha)(x_n - \beta)(x_n - \gamma), \\ (x_n + \mathfrak{Y}_n)(x_{n-1} + \mathfrak{Y}_n) \\ &= \frac{(y_n + n\alpha)(y_n + n\beta)(y_n + n\gamma - (\gamma - \alpha)(\gamma - \beta))(y_n + n - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta))}{(y_n(2n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) + n((n + \alpha + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) - \alpha\beta + \gamma))^2}, \end{split}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$  and 0 < c < 1 are some parameters and

$$\mathfrak{Y}_n = \frac{y_n^2 + y_n(n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)-\alpha\beta n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)}{y_n(2n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)+n((n+\alpha+\beta)(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)}.$$

The initial conditions for this recurrence are given by

$$x_0 = \frac{\alpha\beta c}{\gamma} \frac{_2F_1(\alpha+1,\beta+1;\gamma+1;c)}{_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;c)} + \frac{(\alpha+\beta)c-\gamma}{c-1}, \quad y_0 = 0.$$

In [**FVA18**, Theorem 3.1] it was shown that  $x_n$ ,  $y_n$ , as functions of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfy the first-order system of non-linear non-autonomous difference equations

$$\begin{split} (y_n - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n)x_n - x_n^2)(y_{n+1} - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n + 1)x_n - x_n^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{c}(x_n - 1)(x_n - \alpha)(x_n - \beta)(x_n - \gamma), \\ (x_n + \mathfrak{Y}_n)(x_{n-1} + \mathfrak{Y}_n) \\ &= \frac{(y_n + n\alpha)(y_n + n\beta)(y_n + n\gamma - (\gamma - \alpha)(\gamma - \beta))(y_n + n - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta))}{(y_n(2n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) + n((n + \alpha + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) - \alpha\beta + \gamma))^2}, \end{split}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$  and 0 < c < 1 are some parameters and

$$\mathfrak{Y}_n = \frac{y_n^2 + y_n(n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)-\alpha\beta n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)}{y_n(2n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)+n((n+\alpha+\beta)(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)}.$$

The initial conditions for this recurrence are given by

$$x_0 = \frac{\alpha\beta c}{\gamma} \frac{_2F_1(\alpha+1,\beta+1;\gamma+1;c)}{_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;c)} + \frac{(\alpha+\beta)c-\gamma}{c-1}, \quad y_0 = 0.$$

We show that this system is equivalent to the standard  $d_P_V$  equation and provide the explicit change of variables transforming one system into the other.

#### Theorem (Main Result)

The above discrete system is equivalent to to the standard discrete Painlevé equation

$$\overline{f}f = rac{tg(g-a_4)}{(g+a_2)(g+a_1+a_2)}, \qquad g+\underline{g} = a_0+a_3+a_4+rac{a_3}{f-1}+rac{ta_0}{f-1},$$

with  $\overline{a}_0 = a_0 - 1$ ,  $\overline{a}_1 = a_1$ ,  $\overline{a}_2 = a_2 + 1$ ,  $\overline{a}_3 = a_3 - 1$ ,  $\overline{a}_4 = a_4$ , and  $a_0 + a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 1$ . This equivalence is achieved via the following change of variables:

$$\begin{aligned} x(f,g) &= \gamma - g - \frac{(n+\beta)f}{f-1}, \\ y(f,g) &= (g+\alpha+\beta+n-\gamma)(g+2\beta+2n-\gamma) - n\alpha - \frac{gt(g+\beta-\gamma)}{f} \\ &+ \frac{(n+\beta)((c-1)(2g+\alpha+3\beta+3n-2\gamma)+(\alpha+\beta+\gamma-n)+n)}{c(f-1)} + \frac{(c-1)(n+\beta)^2}{c(f-1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The inverse change of variables is given by

$$f(x,y) = \frac{t(x-\beta)(x-\gamma)}{((x-\alpha)(x-\beta) - nx - y)},$$
  
$$g(x,y) = -\frac{(x-\gamma)(((x-\alpha)(x-\beta) - nx - y) - t(x-\beta)(x-\gamma+\beta+n))}{((x-\alpha)(x-\beta) - nx - y) - t(x-\beta)(x-\gamma)}.$$

Parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and c of the weight are related to the standard Painlevé parameters (root variables) by

$$\alpha = a_1 + 1, \quad \beta = a_0 + a_1 + a_2, \quad \gamma = 1 - a_2 - a_3, \quad n = a_2 + a_4 - 1, \qquad ct = 1.$$

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

The definitive classification scheme for discrete Painlevé equations is due to H. Sakai. It is quite intricate and is given in terms of certain algebro-geometric data, such as the configuration type of singular points of the equation. These points lie on some configuration of curves that, after the blowup procedure resolving the singularities, becomes a collection of -2 curves that are irreducible components of the unique anti-canonical divisor. The intersection configuration of these components are described by a certain affine Dynkin diagram; the type of this diagram is known as the *surface type* of the equation.

The definitive classification scheme for discrete Painlevé equations is due to H. Sakai. It is quite intricate and is given in terms of certain algebro-geometric data, such as the configuration type of singular points of the equation. These points lie on some configuration of curves that, after the blowup procedure resolving the singularities, becomes a collection of -2 curves that are irreducible components of the unique anti-canonical divisor. The intersection configuration of these components are described by a certain affine Dynkin diagram; the type of this diagram is known as the *surface type* of the equation.



Surface-type classification scheme for Painlevé equations

A more traditional approach to Painlevé equations is through studying their symmetries, which gives us the *dual* symmetry-type classification scheme. It is also given in terms of affine Dynkin diagrams, although this time each diagram encodes the affine Weyl symmetry group structure of the equation (and its surface).

A more traditional approach to Painlevé equations is through studying their symmetries, which gives us the *dual* symmetry-type classification scheme. It is also given in terms of affine Dynkin diagrams, although this time each diagram encodes the affine Weyl symmetry group structure of the equation (and its surface).  $q-P_1$ 



Symmetry-type classification schemes for Painlevé equations

A more traditional approach to Painlevé equations is through studying their symmetries, which gives us the *dual* symmetry-type classification scheme. It is also given in terms of affine Dynkin diagrams, although this time each diagram encodes the affine Weyl symmetry group structure of the equation (and its surface).  $q-P_1$ 



Symmetry-type classification schemes for Painlevé equations

Each discrete Painlevé equation then corresponds to a *translation element in this extended affine Weyl group*. There are infinitely-many non-equivalent translations, and hence there are infinitely-many non-equivalent discrete Painlevé equations of each type. Still, it is often possible to focus on certain simple equations (short translations).

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

Discrete Painlevé Equations

Thus, from the applications point of view the following *identification question* (in fact, a series of questions) becomes very important.

Thus, from the applications point of view the following *identification question* (in fact, a series of questions) becomes very important. Suppose that a certain non-linear non-autonomous second-order recurrence relation appears in an applied problem. Then we can ask the following sequence of questions.

(a) Does this recurrence fit into the discrete Painlevé framework, i.e., into Sakai's scheme?

- (a) Does this recurrence fit into the discrete Painlevé framework, i.e., into Sakai's scheme?
- (b) If so, what is the surface type of this equation?

- (a) Does this recurrence fit into the discrete Painlevé framework, i.e., into Sakai's scheme?
- (b) If so, what is the surface type of this equation?
- (c) Given the type of the equation, can we match it to any of the known examples? It is well-known that there are infinitely many non-equivalent discrete Painlevé equations of each type, but some simple forms of such equations are well-known, as in the canonical reference [KNY17], see also the original paper of Sakai [Sak01]. This can give access to special solutions and may provide links with other models.

- (a) Does this recurrence fit into the discrete Painlevé framework, i.e., into Sakai's scheme?
- (b) If so, what is the surface type of this equation?
- (c) Given the type of the equation, can we match it to any of the known examples? It is well-known that there are infinitely many non-equivalent discrete Painlevé equations of each type, but some simple forms of such equations are well-known, as in the canonical reference [KNY17], see also the original paper of Sakai [Sak01]. This can give access to special solutions and may provide links with other models.
- (d) Finally, if the equation is indeed equivalent to some canonical form, how to find an explicit change of variables transforming one into the other? In particular, this part requires matchin the parameters of the applied problem with the standard discrete Painlevé parameters.
Thus, from the applications point of view the following *identification question* (in fact, a series of questions) becomes very important. Suppose that a certain non-linear non-autonomous second-order recurrence relation appears in an applied problem. Then we can ask the following sequence of questions.

- (a) Does this recurrence fit into the discrete Painlevé framework, i.e., into Sakai's scheme?
- (b) If so, what is the surface type of this equation?
- (c) Given the type of the equation, can we match it to any of the known examples? It is well-known that there are infinitely many non-equivalent discrete Painlevé equations of each type, but some simple forms of such equations are well-known, as in the canonical reference [KNY17], see also the original paper of Sakai [Sak01]. This can give access to special solutions and may provide links with other models.
- (d) Finally, if the equation is indeed equivalent to some canonical form, how to find an explicit change of variables transforming one into the other? In particular, this part requires matchin the parameters of the applied problem with the standard discrete Painlevé parameters.

The present theory of *discrete Painlevé equations* is very powerful. It allows us to create an essentially algorithmic procedure to answer this series of questions. The main tools here are algebraic: birational algebraic geometry, birational representations of affine Weyl groups, word equivalence problem in groups, etc.

Thus, from the applications point of view the following *identification question* (in fact, a series of questions) becomes very important. Suppose that a certain non-linear non-autonomous second-order recurrence relation appears in an applied problem. Then we can ask the following sequence of questions.

- (a) Does this recurrence fit into the discrete Painlevé framework, i.e., into Sakai's scheme?
- (b) If so, what is the surface type of this equation?
- (c) Given the type of the equation, can we match it to any of the known examples? It is well-known that there are infinitely many non-equivalent discrete Painlevé equations of each type, but some simple forms of such equations are well-known, as in the canonical reference [KNY17], see also the original paper of Sakai [Sak01]. This can give access to special solutions and may provide links with other models.
- (d) Finally, if the equation is indeed equivalent to some canonical form, how to find an explicit change of variables transforming one into the other? In particular, this part requires matchin the parameters of the applied problem with the standard discrete Painlevé parameters.

The present theory of *discrete Painlevé equations* is very powerful. It allows us to create an essentially algorithmic procedure to answer this series of questions. The main tools here are algebraic: birational algebraic geometry, birational representations of affine Weyl groups, word equivalence problem in groups, etc.

We now show how this procedure works in practice by considering an example related to the theory of discrete orthogonal polynomials. It concerns the computation of the recurrence coefficients of discrete orthogonal polynomials with the hypergeometric weight (joint work with G. Filipuk and A. Stokes).

Here is an outline of a proposed general process of identifying a discere dynamical system as a discrete Painlevé equation and explicitly rewriting it in some standard form. This process consists of the following steps, where we assume that we indeed have some discrete Painlevé equation, otherwise the process will terminate at some step.

Here is an outline of a proposed general process of identifying a discere dynamical system as a discrete Painlevé equation and explicitly rewriting it in some standard form. This process consists of the following steps, where we assume that we indeed have some discrete Painlevé equation, otherwise the process will terminate at some step.

#### (Step 1) Identify the singularity structure of the problem.

For that, if necessary, rewrite our recurrence equation as a system of two first-order recurrences,  $(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = \psi^{(n)}(x_n, y_n)$ . The mapping  $\psi^{(n)} : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$  should be a birational mapping that can depends on various parameters, including the iteration step *n* that we consider to be generic. Then compactify the configuration space from  $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Find the base points of the mapping and resolve them using the blowup procesure. Continue doing that until all base points for both  $\psi^{(n)}$  and  $(\psi^n)^{-1}$  are resolved (for discrete Painlevé equations this process should terminate in finitely many steps). Thus, we get an isomorphism of resulting rational algebraic surfaces,  $\psi^{(n)} : \mathcal{X}_n \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{X}_{n+1}$ . In making this computation, it is important to keep in mind that positions of base points in the domain and the points in the range. We also remark that sometimes the singularity structure can be seen before even studying the dynamics; e.g., singularities can occur as a result of a *parameterization of some moduli space* appearing in the problem, as in [DK19].

## Recall: The Discrete System

We have the first-order system of non-linear non-autonomous difference equations

$$\begin{aligned} (y_n - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n)x_n - x_n^2)(y_{n+1} - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n + 1)x_n - x_n^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{c}(x_n - 1)(x_n - \alpha)(x_n - \beta)(x_n - \gamma), \\ (x_n + \mathfrak{Y}_n)(x_{n-1} + \mathfrak{Y}_n) \\ &= \frac{(y_n + n\alpha)(y_n + n\beta)(y_n + n\gamma - (\gamma - \alpha)(\gamma - \beta))(y_n + n - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta))}{(y_n(2n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) + n((n + \alpha + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) - \alpha\beta + \gamma))^2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha,\beta,\gamma>0$  and 0 < c < 1 are some parameters and

$$\mathfrak{Y}_n = \frac{y_n^2 + y_n(n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)-\alpha\beta n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)}{y_n(2n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)+n((n+\alpha+\beta)(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)}.$$

#### Recall: The Discrete System

We have the first-order system of non-linear non-autonomous difference equations

$$\begin{aligned} (y_n - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n)x_n - x_n^2)(y_{n+1} - \alpha\beta + (\alpha + \beta + n + 1)x_n - x_n^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{c}(x_n - 1)(x_n - \alpha)(x_n - \beta)(x_n - \gamma), \\ (x_n + \mathfrak{Y}_n)(x_{n-1} + \mathfrak{Y}_n) \\ &= \frac{(y_n + n\alpha)(y_n + n\beta)(y_n + n\gamma - (\gamma - \alpha)(\gamma - \beta))(y_n + n - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta))}{(y_n(2n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) + n((n + \alpha + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) - \alpha\beta + \gamma))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha,\beta,\gamma>0$  and 0 < c < 1 are some parameters and

$$\mathfrak{Y}_n = \frac{y_n^2 + y_n(n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)-\alpha\beta n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)}{y_n(2n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)+n((n+\alpha+\beta)(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)}.$$

This recurrence also naturally breaks into two mappings, the *forward* mapping  $\psi_1^{(n)}: (x_n, y_n) \mapsto (x_n, y_{n+1})$  and the *backward* mapping  $\psi_2^{(n)}: (x_n, y_n) \mapsto (x_{n-1}, y_n)$ .

This is typical for many discrete Painlevé equations, in particular, for those that are obtained as deautonomizations of QRT mappings, [CDT17].

The first step in the identification procedure is identifying the singularity structure of the problem.

The first step in the identification procedure is identifying the singularity structure of the problem.

Consider first the forward mapping. As usual, put  $x := x_n$ ,  $\overline{x} := x_{n+1}$ ,  $y := y_n$ ,  $\overline{y} := y_{n+1}$  and omit the index *n* in the mapping notation. The map  $\psi_1 : (x, y) \mapsto (\overline{x}, \overline{y})$  then becomes

$$(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = \left(x, \frac{(x-1)(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)(x-\gamma)}{c(y-(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)+nx)} + (x-\alpha)(x-\beta) - (n+1)x\right).$$

The first step in the identification procedure is identifying the singularity structure of the problem.

Consider first the forward mapping. As usual, put  $x := x_n$ ,  $\overline{x} := x_{n+1}$ ,  $y := y_n$ ,  $\overline{y} := y_{n+1}$  and omit the index *n* in the mapping notation. The map  $\psi_1 : (x, y) \mapsto (\overline{x}, \overline{y})$  then becomes

$$(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = \left(x, \frac{(x-1)(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)(x-\gamma)}{c(y-(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)+nx)} + (x-\alpha)(x-\beta) - (n+1)x\right).$$

We immediately see the following base points (in the affine coordinates (x, y)):

$$p_1(1,(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)-n), \quad p_2(\alpha,-n\alpha), \quad p_3(\beta,-n\beta), \quad p_4(\gamma,(\gamma-\alpha)(\gamma-\beta)-n\gamma).$$

The first step in the identification procedure is identifying the singularity structure of the problem.

Consider first the forward mapping. As usual, put  $x := x_n$ ,  $\overline{x} := x_{n+1}$ ,  $y := y_n$ ,  $\overline{y} := y_{n+1}$  and omit the index *n* in the mapping notation. The map  $\psi_1 : (x, y) \mapsto (\overline{x}, \overline{y})$  then becomes

$$(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = \left(x, \frac{(x-1)(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)(x-\gamma)}{c(y-(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)+nx)} + (x-\alpha)(x-\beta) - (n+1)x\right).$$

We immediately see the following base points (in the affine coordinates (x, y)):

$$p_1(1,(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)-n), \quad p_2(\alpha,-n\alpha), \quad p_3(\beta,-n\beta), \quad p_4(\gamma,(\gamma-\alpha)(\gamma-\beta)-n\gamma).$$

Rewriting the mapping for  $\overline{y}$  in the (X, Y)-chart, X = 1/x, Y = 1/y we get

$$\overline{y} = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} Y(1-X)(1-\alpha X)(1-\beta X)(1-\gamma X) \\ + c\left(X^2 - Y(1-\alpha X)(1-\beta X) + nX\right)\left((1-\alpha X)(1-\beta X) - (n+1)X\right) \end{pmatrix}}{cX^2\left(X^2 - Y(1-\alpha X)(1-\beta X) + nX\right)},$$

and so we get a new base point  $p_5(x = \infty, y = \infty)$ . These points are the only base points on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  for the forward dynamic. Thus, if this mapping is indeed in the discrete Painlevé family, there should be (at least) three more points on exceptional divisors.

Indeed, we get the following cascade of "infinitely close" base points starting from the point  $p_5(x = \infty, y = \infty)$ :

$$p_5(X = 0, Y = 0) \leftarrow p_6\left(u_5 = X = 0, v_5 = \frac{Y}{X} = 0\right) \leftarrow p_7\left(u_6 = u_5 = 0, v_6 = \frac{v_5}{u_5} = \frac{c}{c-1}\right)$$
$$\leftarrow p_8\left(u_7 = u_6 = 0, v_7 = \frac{(c-1)v_6 - c}{(c-1)u_6} = \frac{c\left(c(\alpha + \beta + n) + n - \gamma\right)}{(c-1)^2}\right).$$

Indeed, we get the following cascade of "infinitely close" base points starting from the point  $p_5(x = \infty, y = \infty)$ :

$$p_5(X = 0, Y = 0) \leftarrow p_6\left(u_5 = X = 0, v_5 = \frac{Y}{X} = 0\right) \leftarrow p_7\left(u_6 = u_5 = 0, v_6 = \frac{v_5}{u_5} = \frac{c}{c-1}\right)$$
$$\leftarrow p_8\left(u_7 = u_6 = 0, v_7 = \frac{(c-1)v_6 - c}{(c-1)u_6} = \frac{c\left(c(\alpha + \beta + n) + n - \gamma\right)}{(c-1)^2}\right).$$

Consider now the backward mapping. We put  $x := x_n, \underline{x} = x_{n-1}, y := y_n, \underline{y} = y_{n-1}$ . The backward mapping  $\psi_2 : (x, y) \mapsto (\underline{x}, \underline{y})$  then becomes

$$(\underline{x},\underline{y}) = \left(\frac{(y_n + n\alpha)(y_n + n\beta)(y_n + n\gamma - (\gamma - \alpha)(\gamma - \beta))(y_n - n - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta))}{(x_n + \mathfrak{Y}_n)(y_n(2n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) + n((n + \alpha + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) - \alpha\beta + \gamma))^2} - \mathfrak{Y}_n, y\right),$$
  
where  $\mathfrak{Y}_n$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{Y}_n = \frac{y_n^2 + y_n(n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)-\alpha\beta n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)}{y_n(2n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)+n((n+\alpha+\beta)(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)}.$$

Indeed, we get the following cascade of "infinitely close" base points starting from the point  $p_5(x = \infty, y = \infty)$ :

$$p_5(X = 0, Y = 0) \leftarrow p_6\left(u_5 = X = 0, v_5 = \frac{Y}{X} = 0\right) \leftarrow p_7\left(u_6 = u_5 = 0, v_6 = \frac{v_5}{u_5} = \frac{c}{c-1}\right)$$
$$\leftarrow p_8\left(u_7 = u_6 = 0, v_7 = \frac{(c-1)v_6 - c}{(c-1)u_6} = \frac{c\left(c(\alpha + \beta + n) + n - \gamma\right)}{(c-1)^2}\right).$$

Consider now the backward mapping. We put  $x := x_n, \underline{x} = x_{n-1}, y := y_n, \underline{y} = y_{n-1}$ . The backward mapping  $\psi_2 : (x, y) \mapsto (\underline{x}, \underline{y})$  then becomes

$$(\underline{x},\underline{y}) = \left(\frac{(y_n + n\alpha)(y_n + n\beta)(y_n + n\gamma - (\gamma - \alpha)(\gamma - \beta))(y_n - n - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta))}{(x_n + \mathfrak{Y}_n)(y_n(2n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) + n((n + \alpha + \beta)(n + \alpha + \beta - \gamma - 1) - \alpha\beta + \gamma))^2} - \mathfrak{Y}_n, y\right),$$
  
where  $\mathfrak{Y}_n$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{Y}_n = \frac{y_n^2 + y_n(n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)-\alpha\beta n(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)}{y_n(2n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)+n((n+\alpha+\beta)(n+\alpha+\beta-\gamma-1)-\alpha\beta+\gamma)}.$$

The same standard computation shows that the only base points of the backwards dynamic are the same points  $p_1,\ldots,p_4$ 

$$p_1(1,(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)-n), \quad p_2(\alpha,-n\alpha), \quad p_3(\beta,-n\beta), \quad p_4(\gamma,(\gamma-\alpha)(\gamma-\beta)-n\gamma),$$

but the singularity cascade at  $p_5$  is not present. We then get the following picture.

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)



Here is an outline of a proposed general process of identifying a discere dynamical system as a discrete Painlevé equation and explicitly rewriting it in some standard form. This process consists of the following steps, where we assume that we indeed have some discrete Painlevé equation, otherwise the process will terminate at some step.

#### (Step 1) Identify the singularity structure of the problem.

For that, if necessary, rewrite our recurrence equation as a system of two first-order recurrences,  $(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = \psi^{(n)}(x_n, y_n)$ . The mapping  $\psi^{(n)} : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$  should be a birational mapping that can depends on various parameters, including the iteration step *n* that we consider to be generic. Then compactify the configuration space from  $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Find the base points of the mapping and resolve them using the blowup procesure. Continue doing that until all base points for both  $\psi^{(n)}$  and  $(\psi^n)^{-1}$  are resolved (for discrete Painlevé equations this process should terminate in finitely many steps). Thus, we get an isomorphism of resulting rational algebraic surfaces,  $\psi^{(n)} : \mathcal{X}_n \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{X}_{n+1}$ . In making this computation, it is important to keep in mind that positions of base points in the domain and the points in the range. We also remark that sometimes the singularity structure can be seen before even studying the dynamics; e.g., singularities can occur as a result of a *parameterization of some moduli space* appearing in the problem, as in [DK19].

#### (Step 2) Linearize the mapping on $Pic(\mathcal{X})$ .

This can be done explicitly in relatively simple cases. Sometimes, however, the evolution mapping can be too complicated even for a Computer Algebra System. In this case, it may be possible to deduce the action of the mapping on  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  from the knowledge of parameter evolution via the *Period Map*, see [DK19].

## The Identification Procedure. Step 2: The Action on $Pic(\mathcal{X})$

In parallel with resolving the base points of the mapping, we can compute the induced linear action on the Picard Lattice.

Lemma (The Forward Action)

The action of the forward dynamic  $(\psi_1)_*$ :  $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}) \to \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$  is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{x}\mapsto\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x},\qquad\qquad \mathcal{F}_{1}\mapsto\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x}-\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1},\,\mathcal{F}_{3}\mapsto\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x}-\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{3},\,\mathcal{F}_{5}\mapsto\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x}-\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{8},\,\mathcal{F}_{7}\mapsto\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x}-\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{6},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{y} \mapsto 4\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x} + \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{y} - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{12345678}, \mathcal{F}_{2} \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x} - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{4} \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x} - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{4}, \mathcal{F}_{6} \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x} - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{7}, \mathcal{F}_{8} \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x} - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{5},$$

and the evolution of base points  $\overline{p}_i = \psi_1(p_i)$  is given by

 $\overline{p}_1(1,(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)-(n+1)), \ \overline{p}_2(\alpha,-(n+1)\alpha), \ \overline{p}_3(\beta,-(n+1)\beta), \ \overline{p}_4(\gamma,(\gamma-\alpha)(\gamma-\beta)-(n+1)\gamma),$ for finite points, and for the degeneration cascade we get

$$\begin{split} \overline{p}_5(\overline{X}=0,\overline{Y}=0) \leftarrow p_6\left(\overline{u}_5=\overline{X}=0,\overline{v}_5=\frac{\overline{Y}}{\overline{X}}=0\right) \leftarrow \overline{p}_7\left(\overline{u}_6=\overline{u}_5=0,\overline{v}_6=\frac{\overline{v}_5}{\overline{u}_5}=\frac{c}{c-1}\right) \\ \leftarrow \overline{p}_8\left(\overline{u}_7=\overline{u}_6=0,\overline{v}_7=\frac{(c-1)\overline{v}_6-c}{(c-1)\overline{u}_6}=\frac{c\left(c(\alpha+\beta+n+1)+n-\gamma-1\right)}{(c-1)^2}\right). \end{split}$$

From the evolution of base points we see that  $\psi_1(n) = n + 1$ .

#### Lemma (The Backward Action)

The action of the backwards dynamic  $(\psi_2)_*$ :  $Pic(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow Pic(\mathcal{X})$  is given by

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{H}_{x} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{H}}_{x} + 2\underline{\mathcal{H}}_{y} - \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{1234}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{1} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{H}}_{y} - \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{1}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{3} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{H}}_{y} - \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{3}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{5} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{5}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{7} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{7}, \\ & \mathcal{H}_{y} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{H}}_{y}, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{F}_{2} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{H}}_{y} - \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{2}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{4} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{H}}_{y} - \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{4}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{6} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{6}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{8} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{F}}_{8}. \end{split}$$

From this we can also easily compute the evolution of base points. We get

$$\underline{\underline{p}}_1(1,(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)-n), \ \underline{\underline{p}}_2(\alpha,-n\alpha), \ \underline{\underline{p}}_3(\beta,-n\beta), \ \underline{\underline{p}}_4(\gamma,(\gamma-\alpha)(\gamma-\beta)-n\gamma), \ \underline{p}_4(\gamma,(\gamma-\alpha)(\gamma-\beta)-n\gamma), \ \underline{p}_4($$

as well as the degeneration cascade

$$\underline{\underline{p}}_{5}(\underline{X}=0,\underline{=}0) \leftarrow p_{6}\left(\underline{\underline{u}}_{5}=\underline{X}=0,\underline{\underline{v}}_{5}=\frac{\underline{Y}}{\underline{X}}=0\right) \leftarrow \underline{\underline{p}}_{7}\left(\underline{\underline{u}}_{6}=\underline{\underline{u}}_{5}=0,\underline{\underline{v}}_{6}=\frac{\underline{\underline{v}}_{5}}{\underline{\underline{u}}_{5}}=\frac{c}{c-1}\right)$$
$$\leftarrow \underline{\underline{p}}_{8}\left(\underline{\underline{u}}_{7}=\underline{\underline{u}}_{6}=0,\underline{\underline{v}}_{7}=\frac{(c-1)\underline{\underline{v}}_{6}-c}{(c-1)\underline{\underline{u}}_{6}}=\frac{c\left(c(\alpha+\beta+n)+n-\gamma-2\right)}{(c-1)^{2}}\right)$$

From the evolution of base points we see that  $\psi_2(n) = n$ .

#### Lemma (The Composed Action)

The action of the composed mapping  $\psi_*^{(n)} = \psi_* = (\psi_2)_*^{-1} \circ (\psi_1)_* : \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_n) \to \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{n+1})$  is given by

$\mathcal{H}_x \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_x + 2\overline{\mathcal{H}}_y - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1234},$	$\mathcal{H}_{y} \mapsto 4\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{x} + 5\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{y} - 3\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1234} - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{5678},$
$\mathcal{F}_1 \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_x + \overline{\mathcal{H}}_y - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{234},$	$\mathcal{F}_5 \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_x + 2\overline{\mathcal{H}}_y - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{12348}$
$\mathcal{F}_2 \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_x + \overline{\mathcal{H}}_y - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{134},$	$\mathcal{F}_6 \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_x + 2\overline{\mathcal{H}}_y - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{12347},$
$\mathcal{F}_3 \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_x + \overline{\mathcal{H}}_y - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{124},$	$\mathcal{F}_7 \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_x + 2\overline{\mathcal{H}}_y - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{12346},$
$\mathcal{F}_4 \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_x + \overline{\mathcal{H}}_y - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{123},$	$\mathcal{F}_8 \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}}_x + 2\overline{\mathcal{H}}_y - \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{12345}.$

The evolution of the base points (here  $\overline{p}_i = \psi^{(n)}(p_i)$ ) is  $\overline{p}_1(1, (1-\alpha)(1-\beta) - (n+1)), \ \overline{p}_2(\alpha, -(n+1)\alpha), \ \overline{p}_3(\beta, -(n+1)\beta), \ \overline{p}_4(\gamma, (\gamma-\alpha)(\gamma-\beta) - (n+1)\gamma),$ for finite points, and

$$\overline{p}_5(\overline{X}=0,\overline{Y}=0) \leftarrow p_6\left(\overline{u}_5=\overline{X}=0,\overline{v}_5=\frac{\overline{Y}}{\overline{X}}=0\right) \leftarrow \overline{p}_7\left(\overline{u}_6=\overline{u}_5=0,\overline{v}_6=\frac{\overline{v}_5}{\overline{u}_5}=\frac{c}{c-1}\right) \\ \leftarrow \overline{p}_8\left(\overline{u}_7=\overline{u}_6=0,\overline{v}_7=\frac{(c-1)\overline{v}_6-c}{(c-1)\overline{u}_6}=\frac{c\left(c(\alpha+\beta+n+1)+n+1-\gamma\right)}{(c-1)^2}\right)$$

for the degeneration cascade. From the evolution of base points we see that  $\psi^{(n)}(n) = n + 1$ .

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

#### (Step 3) Determine the surface type, according to Sakai's classification scheme.

For a discrete Painlevé equation, although the positions of base points may evolve, the *configuration* will stay fixed, and so the surfaces  $\{X_n\}$  will all have the same type. There should be *eight* such base points; those points will lie on some (generically unique) biquadratic curve on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  (i.e., a curve whose defining polynomial, when written in a coordinate chart, has a bi-degree (2, 2)) and the *point configuration* is defined to be the configuration of the irreducible components of this curve. Each such component should have self-intersection index -2 and is associated with a node in an *affine Dynkin diagram*, nodes are connected when the corresponding components intersect. The type of this Dynkin diagram is called the *surface type* of the equation. This description assumes that the surfaces  $\mathcal{X}_n$  are *minimal*, but can happen that after the initial blowup procedure is complete, some -1-curves would have to be blown down. This will also result in some irreducible components having higher negative self-intersection index. The blowing down procedure is quite delicate, so here we assume that the surfaces  $\mathcal{X}_n$  are indeed minimal, but see **[DST13]** and **[DK19]** for examples requiring a blowing down.

## The Identification Procedure. Step 3: Determining the Surface Type



we see that base points lie on a *reducible* (2, 2) curve  $\Gamma = V(Ys(X, Y))$ , where  $s(X, Y) = X^2 - \alpha\beta X^2 Y + (n + \alpha + \beta)XY - Y$ .

## The Identification Procedure. Step 3: Determining the Surface Type



we see that base points lie on a reducible (2,2) curve  $\Gamma = V(Ys(X, Y))$ , where  $s(X, Y) = X^2 - \alpha\beta X^2 Y + (n + \alpha + \beta)XY - Y$ .

 $\begin{aligned} & \Gamma \text{ is the pole divisor of a symplectic form } \omega = k \frac{dX \wedge dY}{s(X,Y)Y} = k \frac{dX \wedge ds}{s(s-X^2)}, \text{ and the anti-canonical} \\ & \text{divisor class } -\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = -[\omega_{\mathcal{X}}] \text{ decomposes into irreducible components as follows:} \\ & -[\omega]_{\mathcal{X}} = (2H_x + H_y - F_1 - F_2 - F_3 - F_4 - F_5 - F_6) + (H_y - F_5 - F_6) + (F_5 - F_6) + 2(F_6 - F_7) + (F_7 - F_8). \end{aligned}$ 

## The Identification Procedure. Step 3: Determining the Surface Type



we see that base points lie on a reducible (2, 2) curve  $\Gamma = V(Ys(X, Y))$ , where  $s(X, Y) = X^2 - \alpha\beta X^2 Y + (n + \alpha + \beta)XY - Y$ .  $\Gamma$  is the *pole divisor* of a symplectic form  $\omega = k \frac{dX \wedge dY}{s(X,Y)Y} = k \frac{dX \wedge ds}{s(s-X^2)}$ , and the anti-canonical divisor class  $-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = -[\omega_{\mathcal{X}}]$  decomposes into irreducible components as follows:  $-[\omega]_{\mathcal{X}} = (2H_x + H_y - F_1 - F_2 - F_3 - F_4 - F_5 - F_6) + (H_y - F_5 - F_6) + (F_5 - F_6) + 2(F_6 - F_7) + (F_7 - F_8)$ . The intersection structure of irreducible components is given by the  $D_4^{(1)}$  affine Dynkin diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} d_0 & & & & d_0 = F_5 - F_6, & & & d_3 = F_7 - F_8, \\ d_1 & & & d_1 = 2H_x + H_y - F_{123456}, & & d_4 = H_y - F_{56}. \\ d_1 & & & d_2 = F_6 - F_7, \end{array}$$

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

## Detour: The Standard d-P<sub>V</sub> Mapping. The Point Configuration

Let us briefly review discrete Painlevé equations of type d- $P\left(D_4^{(1)}/D_4^{(1)}\right)$ , and in particular, the usual d-P<sub>V</sub> equation, following the standard reference [KNY17] for choices of root bases.

## Detour: The Standard d-P<sub>V</sub> Mapping. The Point Configuration

Let us briefly review discrete Painlevé equations of type d- $P\left(D_4^{(1)}/D_4^{(1)}\right)$ , and in particular, the usual d-P<sub>V</sub> equation, following the standard reference [KNY17] for choices of root bases.

We start with the root basis of the surface sub-lattice that is given by the classes  $\delta_i = [d_i]$  of the irreducible components of the anti-canonical divisor

 $\delta = -\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = 2\mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8 = \delta_0 + \delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + \delta_3 + \delta_4.$ 

The intersection configuration of those roots is given by the Dynkin diagram of type  $D_4^{(1)}$ .



## Detour: The Standard d-P<sub>V</sub> Mapping. The Point Configuration

Let us briefly review discrete Painlevé equations of type d- $P\left(D_4^{(1)}/D_4^{(1)}\right)$ , and in particular, the usual d-P<sub>V</sub> equation, following the standard reference [**KNY17**] for choices of root bases.

We start with the root basis of the surface sub-lattice that is given by the classes  $\delta_i = [d_i]$  of the irreducible components of the anti-canonical divisor

 $\delta = -\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}} = 2\mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8 = \delta_0 + \delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + \delta_3 + \delta_4.$ 

The intersection configuration of those roots is given by the Dynkin diagram of type  $D_4^{(1)}$ .



Using the action of the  $PGL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$  gauge group (i.e., the action of a Möbius group on each of the factors of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ), we can, without loss of generality, put  $d_i$ , with  $\delta_i = [d_i]$  to be

$$d_1 = V(F) = \{f = \infty\}, \qquad d_2 = V(G) = \{g = \infty\}, \qquad d_4 = V(f) = \{f = 0\},$$

which then reduces the gauge group action to that of a three-parameter subgroup,  $(f,g) \mapsto (\lambda f, \mu g + \nu)$ .

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

# The Standard d-P<sub>V</sub> Mapping: The Point Configuration

The corresponding point configuration and the Sakai surface are as follows:



# The Standard d-P<sub>V</sub> Mapping: The Point Configuration

The corresponding point configuration and the Sakai surface are as follows:



This point configuration can be parameterized by eight parameters  $b_1, \ldots, b_8$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} p_1(\infty, b_1), & p_2(\infty, b_2), & p_3(b_3, \infty) \leftarrow p_4(b_3, \infty; g(f-b_3)=b_4), \\ p_5(0, b_5), & p_6(0, b_6), & p_7(b_7, \infty) \leftarrow p_8(b_7, \infty; g(f-b_7)=b_8). \end{array}$$

# The Standard d-P<sub>V</sub> Mapping: The Point Configuration

The corresponding point configuration and the Sakai surface are as follows:



This point configuration can be parameterized by eight parameters  $b_1, \ldots, b_8$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} p_1(\infty, b_1), & p_2(\infty, b_2), & p_3(b_3, \infty) \leftarrow p_4(b_3, \infty; g(f - b_3) = b_4), \\ p_5(0, b_5), & p_6(0, b_6), & p_7(b_7, \infty) \leftarrow p_8(b_7, \infty; g(f - b_7) = b_8). \end{array}$$

The three-parameter gauge group above acts on these configurations via

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 & f \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 & g \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \mu b_1 + \nu & \mu b_2 + \nu & \lambda b_3 & \lambda \mu b_4 & \lambda f \\ \mu b_5 + \nu & \mu b_6 + \nu & \lambda b_7 & \lambda \mu b_8 & \mu g + \nu \end{pmatrix}, \ \lambda, \mu \neq 0,$$

and so the true number of parameters is five. The correct gauge-invariant parameterization is given by the *root variables*.

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

To define the root variables we begin by choosing a root basis in the symmetry sub-lattice  $Q = \Pi(R^{\perp}) \triangleleft \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  and defining the symplectic form  $\omega$  whose polar divisor  $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$  is the configuration of -2-curves shown on on the previous figure.

## The Standard d- $P_V$ Mapping: The Period Map

To define the root variables we begin by choosing a root basis in the symmetry sub-lattice  $Q = \Pi(R^{\perp}) \triangleleft \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  and defining the symplectic form  $\omega$  whose polar divisor  $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$  is the configuration of -2-curves shown on on the previous figure.

A symplectic form  $\omega \in -\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}$  such that  $[\omega] = \delta_0 + \delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + \delta_3 + \delta_4$  can be given in local coordinate charts as

$$\omega = k \frac{df \wedge dg}{f} = -k \frac{dF \wedge dg}{F} = -k \frac{df \wedge dG}{fG^2} = k \frac{dF \wedge dG}{FG^2} = -k \frac{dU_3 \wedge dV_3}{(b_3 + U_3 V_3)V_3} = -k \frac{dU_7 \wedge dV_7}{(b_7 + U_7 V_7)V_7},$$

where F = 1/f, G = 1/g are the coordinates centered at infinity, the blowup coordinates  $(U_i, V_i)$  at the points  $p_i$ , i = 3, 7, are given by  $f = b_i + U_i V_i$  and  $g = V_i$ , and k is some non-zero proportionality constant that we normalize later.

## The Standard d-P<sub>V</sub> Mapping: The Period Map

To define the root variables we begin by choosing a root basis in the symmetry sub-lattice  $Q = \Pi(R^{\perp}) \triangleleft \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  and defining the symplectic form  $\omega$  whose polar divisor  $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$  is the configuration of -2-curves shown on on the previous figure.

A symplectic form  $\omega \in -\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}}$  such that  $[\omega] = \delta_0 + \delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + \delta_3 + \delta_4$  can be given in local coordinate charts as

$$\omega = k \frac{df \wedge dg}{f} = -k \frac{dF \wedge dg}{F} = -k \frac{df \wedge dG}{fG^2} = k \frac{dF \wedge dG}{FG^2} = -k \frac{dU_3 \wedge dV_3}{(b_3 + U_3 V_3)V_3} = -k \frac{dU_7 \wedge dV_7}{(b_7 + U_7 V_7)V_7},$$

where F = 1/f, G = 1/g are the coordinates centered at infinity, the blowup coordinates  $(U_i, V_i)$  at the points  $p_i$ , i = 3, 7, are given by  $f = b_i + U_i V_i$  and  $g = V_i$ , and k is some non-zero proportionality constant that we normalize later.

We take the following symmetry root basis, following [KNY17]:



(i) The residues of the symplectic form  $\omega$  along the irreducible components of the polar divisor is given by

$$\operatorname{res}_{d_0}\omega=k\frac{dU_3}{b_3}, \ \operatorname{res}_{d_1}\omega=-kdg, \ \operatorname{res}_{d_2}\omega=0, \ \operatorname{res}_{d_3}\omega=k\frac{dU_7}{b_7}, \ \operatorname{res}_{d_4}\omega=kdg$$

(i) The residues of the symplectic form  $\omega$  along the irreducible components of the polar divisor is given by

$$\operatorname{res}_{d_0}\omega=k\frac{dU_3}{b_3}, \ \operatorname{res}_{d_1}\omega=-kdg, \ \operatorname{res}_{d_2}\omega=0, \ \operatorname{res}_{d_3}\omega=k\frac{dU_7}{b_7}, \ \operatorname{res}_{d_4}\omega=kdg$$

(ii) The root variables  $a_i$  are given by

$$a_0 = -k \frac{b_4}{b_3}, \ a_1 = k(b_2 - b_1), \ a_2 = k(b_1 - b_5), \ a_3 = -k \frac{b_8}{b_7}, \ a_4 = k(b_5 - b_6).$$

(i) The residues of the symplectic form  $\omega$  along the irreducible components of the polar divisor is given by

$$\operatorname{res}_{d_0}\omega=k\frac{dU_3}{b_3}, \ \operatorname{res}_{d_1}\omega=-kdg, \ \operatorname{res}_{d_2}\omega=0, \ \operatorname{res}_{d_3}\omega=k\frac{dU_7}{b_7}, \ \operatorname{res}_{d_4}\omega=kdg$$

(ii) The root variables  $a_i$  are given by

$$a_0 = -k \frac{b_4}{b_3}, \ a_1 = k(b_2 - b_1), \ a_2 = k(b_1 - b_5), \ a_3 = -k \frac{b_8}{b_7}, \ a_4 = k(b_5 - b_6).$$

It is convenient to take k = -1. We can then use the gauge action to normalize  $b_5 = 0$ ,  $b_7 = 1$ , and  $\chi(\delta) = a_0 + a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 1$ . In view of the relation of this example to  $P_{VI}$ , it is also convenient to denote  $b_3$  by t.

(i) The residues of the symplectic form  $\omega$  along the irreducible components of the polar divisor is given by

$$\operatorname{res}_{d_0}\omega=k\frac{dU_3}{b_3},\ \operatorname{res}_{d_1}\omega=-kdg,\ \operatorname{res}_{d_2}\omega=0,\ \operatorname{res}_{d_3}\omega=k\frac{dU_7}{b_7},\ \operatorname{res}_{d_4}\omega=kdg$$

(ii) The root variables  $a_i$  are given by

$$a_0 = -k \frac{b_4}{b_3}, \ a_1 = k(b_2 - b_1), \ a_2 = k(b_1 - b_5), \ a_3 = -k \frac{b_6}{b_7}, \ a_4 = k(b_5 - b_6).$$

It is convenient to take k = -1. We can then use the gauge action to normalize  $b_5 = 0$ ,  $b_7 = 1$ , and  $\chi(\delta) = a_0 + a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 1$ . In view of the relation of this example to  $P_{VI}$ , it is also convenient to denote  $b_3$  by t. Then we get the following parameterization of the point configuration in terms of root variables:

$$b_1=-a_2, \ b_2=-a_1-a_2, \ b_3=t, \ b_4=ta_0, \ b_5=0, \ b_6=a_4, \ b_7=1, \ b_8=a_3.$$

(i) The residues of the symplectic form  $\omega$  along the irreducible components of the polar divisor is given by

$$\operatorname{res}_{d_0}\omega=k\frac{dU_3}{b_3},\ \operatorname{res}_{d_1}\omega=-kdg,\ \operatorname{res}_{d_2}\omega=0,\ \operatorname{res}_{d_3}\omega=k\frac{dU_7}{b_7},\ \operatorname{res}_{d_4}\omega=kdg$$

(ii) The root variables  $a_i$  are given by

$$a_0 = -k \frac{b_4}{b_3}, \ a_1 = k(b_2 - b_1), \ a_2 = k(b_1 - b_5), \ a_3 = -k \frac{b_8}{b_7}, \ a_4 = k(b_5 - b_6).$$

It is convenient to take k = -1. We can then use the gauge action to normalize  $b_5 = 0$ ,  $b_7 = 1$ , and  $\chi(\delta) = a_0 + a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 1$ . In view of the relation of this example to  $P_{VI}$ , it is also convenient to denote  $b_3$  by t. Then we get the following parameterization of the point configuration in terms of root variables:

$$b_1 = -a_2, \ b_2 = -a_1 - a_2, \ b_3 = t, \ b_4 = ta_0, \ b_5 = 0, \ b_6 = a_4, \ b_7 = 1, \ b_8 = a_3.$$

The proof of this Lemma is a standard computation.

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)
## The Standard d-P<sub>V</sub> Mapping: The Symmetry Group

The symmetry group of  $D_4^{(1)}$  surface family is the extended affine Weyl symmetry group  $\widetilde{W}\left(D_4^{(1)}\right) = \operatorname{Aut}\left(D_4^{(1)}\right) \ltimes W\left(D_4^{(1)}\right)$ , which is a *semi-direct product* of the usual affine Weyl group  $W\left(D_4^{(1)}\right)$  and the group of Dynkin diagram automorphisms  $\operatorname{Aut}\left(D_4^{(1)}\right)$ .

## The Standard d-P<sub>V</sub> Mapping: The Symmetry Group

The symmetry group of  $D_4^{(1)}$  surface family is the extended affine Weyl symmetry group  $\widetilde{W}\left(D_4^{(1)}\right) = \operatorname{Aut}\left(D_4^{(1)}\right) \ltimes W\left(D_4^{(1)}\right)$ , which is a *semi-direct product* of the usual affine Weyl group  $W\left(D_4^{(1)}\right)$  and the group of Dynkin diagram automorphisms  $\operatorname{Aut}\left(D_4^{(1)}\right)$ .

The affine Weyl group  $W(D_4^{(1)})$  is defined in terms of generators  $w_i = w_{\alpha_i}$  and relations that are encoded by the affine Dynkin diagram  $D_4^{(1)}$ ,

$$W\left(D_{4}^{(1)}\right) = W\left(\begin{array}{c}\alpha_{0} & & & \\ \alpha_{0} & & & \\ \alpha_{1} & & & \\ \alpha_{1} & & & \\ \end{array}\right) = \left\langle w_{0}, \dots, w_{4} \\ w_{i}^{2} = e, \quad w_{i} \circ w_{j} = w_{j} \circ w_{i} \quad \text{when } \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ \alpha_{i} & \alpha_{j} \\ & & \\ w_{i} \circ w_{j} \circ w_{i} = w_{j} \circ w_{i} \circ w_{j} \text{ when } \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \alpha_{i} & \alpha_{j} \\ & & \\ \alpha_{i} & \alpha_{j} \end{array}\right\rangle.$$

# The Standard d-P<sub>V</sub> Mapping: The Symmetry Group

The symmetry group of  $D_4^{(1)}$  surface family is the extended affine Weyl symmetry group  $\widetilde{W}\left(D_4^{(1)}\right) = \operatorname{Aut}\left(D_4^{(1)}\right) \ltimes W\left(D_4^{(1)}\right)$ , which is a *semi-direct product* of the usual affine Weyl group  $W\left(D_4^{(1)}\right)$  and the group of Dynkin diagram automorphisms  $\operatorname{Aut}\left(D_4^{(1)}\right)$ .

The affine Weyl group  $W(D_4^{(1)})$  is defined in terms of generators  $w_i = w_{\alpha_i}$  and relations that are encoded by the affine Dynkin diagram  $D_4^{(1)}$ ,

$$W\left(D_{4}^{(1)}\right) = W\left(\begin{array}{c}\alpha_{0} & & & \\ \alpha_{0} & & & \\ \alpha_{1} & & & \\ \end{array}\right) = \left\langle w_{0}, \dots, w_{4} \\ w_{i}^{2} = e, \quad w_{i} \circ w_{j} = w_{j} \circ w_{i} \quad \text{when } \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ \alpha_{i} & \alpha_{j} \\ w_{i} \circ w_{j} \circ w_{i} = w_{j} \circ w_{i} \circ w_{j} \text{ when } \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \alpha_{i} & \alpha_{j} \end{array}\right) \right\rangle.$$

The natural action of this group on  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  is given by reflections in the roots  $\alpha_i$ ,

$$w_i(\mathcal{C}) = w_{\alpha_i}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} - 2 \frac{\mathcal{C} \bullet \alpha_i}{\alpha_i \bullet \alpha_i} \alpha_i = \mathcal{C} + (\mathcal{C} \bullet \alpha_i) \alpha_i, \qquad \mathcal{C} \in \mathsf{Pic}(\mathcal{X}),$$

which can be extended to an action on point configurations by elementary birational maps (which lifts to isomorphisms  $w_i : \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{b}} \to \mathcal{X}_{\overline{\mathbf{b}}}$  on the family of Sakai's surfaces), this is known as a birational representation of  $W\left(D_4^{(1)}\right)$ .

#### Theorem

Reflections  $w_i$  on  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  are induced by the elementary birational mappings given below and also denoted by  $w_i$ , on the family  $\mathcal{X}_b$ . To ensure the group structure, we require that each map preserves our normalization

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ b_5 & b_6 & b_7 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & t & b_4 \\ 0 & b_6 & 1 & b_8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -a_2 & -a_1 - a_2 & t & ta_0 \\ 0 & a_4 & 1 & a_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the initial configuration

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & t & b_4 \\ 0 & b_6 & 1 & b_8 \\ \end{pmatrix}; \ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 & t \\ \end{array}; \ \begin{array}{c} f \\ g \end{pmatrix},$$

the action of  $w_i$  is given by the following expressions.

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{w}_0 : \begin{pmatrix} b_1 - \frac{b_4}{t} & b_2 - \frac{b_4}{t} & t & -b_4 & ; & f \\ 0 & b_6 & 1 & b_8 & ; & g - \frac{b_4 f}{t(f-t)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -a_0 & a_1 & a_0 + a_2 & ; & f \\ a_3 & a_4 & t & ; & g - \frac{a_0 f}{f-t} \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \mathsf{w}_1 : \begin{pmatrix} b_2 & b_1 & t & b_4 & ; & f \\ 0 & b_6 & 1 & b_8 & ; & g \end{pmatrix} & = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -a_1 & a_1 + a_2 & ; & f \\ a_3 & a_4 & t & t & ; & g \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \mathsf{w}_2 : \begin{pmatrix} -b_1 & b_2 - b_1 & t & b_4 - tb_1 & ; & f - \frac{b_1 f}{g} \\ 0 & b_6 - b_1 & 1 & b_8 - b_1 & ; & g - b_1 \end{pmatrix} & = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 + a_2 & a_1 + a_2 & -a_2 & ; & f + \frac{a_2 f}{g} \\ a_2 + a_3 & a_2 + a_4 & t & ; & g + a_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \mathsf{w}_3 : \begin{pmatrix} b_1 - b_8 & b_2 - b_8 & t & b_4 & ; & f \\ 0 & b_6 & 1 & -b_8 & ; & g - \frac{b_8 f}{f-1} \end{pmatrix} & = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 + a_3 & ; & f \\ -a_3 & a_4 & t & ; & g - \frac{a_3 f}{f-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \mathsf{w}_4 : \begin{pmatrix} b_1 - b_6 & b_2 - b_6 & t & b_4 & ; & f \\ 0 & -b_6 & 1 & b_8 & ; & g - b_6 \end{pmatrix} & = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 + a_4 & ; & f \\ a_3 & -a_4 & t & ; & g - a_4 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Let us now describe the group of Dynkin diagram automorphisms. It is clear that  $\operatorname{Aut}\left(D_4^{(1)}\right) \simeq \mathcal{S}_4$ , so we only describe three transpositions that generate the whole group.

#### Theorem

Consider the following generators  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_3$  of Aut  $\left(D_4^{(1)}\right)$  that act on the symmetry and the surface root bases as follows (here we use the standard cycle notations for permutations):

$$\sigma_1 = (\alpha_3 \alpha_4) = (\delta_3 \delta_4), \qquad \sigma_2 = (\alpha_0 \alpha_3) = (\delta_0 \delta_3), \qquad \sigma_3 = (\alpha_1 \alpha_4) = (\delta_1 \delta_4).$$

Then  $\sigma_i$  act on the Picard lattice as

$$\sigma_1 = (\mathcal{E}_6 \mathcal{E}_8) \mathsf{w}_{\rho}, \qquad \sigma_2 = (\mathcal{E}_3 \mathcal{E}_7) (\mathcal{E}_4 \mathcal{E}_8), \qquad \sigma_3 = (\mathcal{E}_1 \mathcal{E}_5) (\mathcal{E}_2 \mathcal{E}_6),$$

where  $w_{\rho}$  is a reflection in the root  $\rho = \mathcal{H}_f - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_7$  (note also that a transposition  $(\mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{E}_j) = w_{\mathcal{E}_i - \mathcal{E}_j}$ ). The induced elementary birational mappings are then given by the following expressions.

Recall that there are infinitely many different discrete Painlevé equations of the same type, since they correspond to the non-conjugate translations in the affine symmetry sub-lattice Q. Some of these equations are special, since they either appear in applications, or have a particularly nice form, or have degenerations to other known equations. In the d- $P\left(D_4^{(1)}/D_4^{(1)}\right)$  family one such equation is known as a discrete Painlevé-V equation, since it has a continuous limit to the differential Painlevé-V equation.

Recall that there are infinitely many different discrete Painlevé equations of the same type, since they correspond to the non-conjugate translations in the affine symmetry sub-lattice Q. Some of these equations are special, since they either appear in applications, or have a particularly nice form, or have degenerations to other known equations. In the d- $P\left(D_4^{(1)}/D_4^{(1)}\right)$  family one such equation is known as a discrete Painlevé-V equation, since it has a continuous limit to the differential Painlevé-V equation.

In [KNY17] this equation is given in the form

$$\overline{f}f = rac{tg(g-a_4)}{(g+a_2)(g+a_1+a_2)}, \qquad g+\underline{g} = a_0+a_3+a_4+rac{a_3}{f-1}+rac{ta_0}{f-t},$$

with  $\overline{a}_0 = a_0 - 1$ ,  $\overline{a}_1 = a_1$ ,  $\overline{a}_2 = a_2 + 1$ ,  $\overline{a}_3 = a_3 - 1$ ,  $\overline{a}_4 = a_4$ , and  $a_0 + a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 1$ .

Recall that there are infinitely many different discrete Painlevé equations of the same type, since they correspond to the non-conjugate translations in the affine symmetry sub-lattice Q. Some of these equations are special, since they either appear in applications, or have a particularly nice form, or have degenerations to other known equations. In the d- $P\left(D_4^{(1)}/D_4^{(1)}\right)$  family one such equation is known as a discrete Painlevé-V equation, since it has a continuous limit to the differential Painlevé-V equation.

In [KNY17] this equation is given in the form

$$\overline{f}f = \frac{tg(g - a_4)}{(g + a_2)(g + a_1 + a_2)}, \qquad g + \underline{g} = a_0 + a_3 + a_4 + \frac{a_3}{f - 1} + \frac{ta_0}{f - t},$$

with  $\overline{a}_0 = a_0 - 1$ ,  $\overline{a}_1 = a_1$ ,  $\overline{a}_2 = a_2 + 1$ ,  $\overline{a}_3 = a_3 - 1$ ,  $\overline{a}_4 = a_4$ , and  $a_0 + a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 1$ .

From this root variable evolution we immediately see that the corresponding translation on the root lattice is

$$\varphi_*: \alpha = \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle \mapsto \varphi_*(\alpha) = \alpha + \langle 1, 0, -1, 1, 0 \rangle \delta.$$

Using the standard techniques we get the following decomposition of  $\psi$  in terms of the generators of  $\widetilde{W}\left(D_4^{(1)}\right)$ :

$$\varphi = \sigma_3 \sigma_2 w_3 w_0 w_2 w_4 w_1 w_2.$$

This mapping can be further decomposed, in the natural way, as  $\varphi = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1$ , where  $\varphi_1$  is a forward mapping  $\varphi_1 : (f,g) \mapsto (\overline{f},-g)$  and  $\varphi_2$  is a backward mapping  $\varphi_2 : (f,g) \mapsto (f,-\underline{g})$ ; the additional negative sign is necessary for the mapping to be a Cremona isometry.

This mapping can be further decomposed, in the natural way, as  $\varphi = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1$ , where  $\varphi_1$  is a forward mapping  $\varphi_1 : (f,g) \mapsto (\overline{f}, -g)$  and  $\varphi_2$  is a backward mapping  $\varphi_2 : (f,g) \mapsto (f, -\underline{g})$ ; the additional negative sign is necessary for the mapping to be a Cremona isometry.

The individual mappings  $\varphi_{1,2}$  do not correspond to translations on the symmetry sub-lattice, but they can also be written in terms of generators, in two natural, but slightly different ways;  $\varphi = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1 = \tilde{\varphi}_2^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_1$ :  $\varphi_1 = \sigma_3 \sigma_2 w_1 w_2 w_4 w_1 w_2 : (f,g) \mapsto (\bar{f}, -g); \quad \bar{a}_0 = 1 - a_0, \quad \bar{a}_1 = a_1, \quad \bar{a}_2 = -a_1 - a_2, \quad \bar{a}_3 = 1 - a_3, \quad \bar{a}_4 = -a_4;$  $\varphi_2 = w_0 w_3 w_4 : (f,g) \mapsto (f, -\underline{g}); \quad \underline{a}_0 = -a_0, \quad \underline{a}_1 = a_1, \quad \underline{a}_2 = 1 - a_1 - a_2, \quad \underline{a}_3 = -a_3, \quad \underline{a}_4 = -a_4,$ or

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\varphi}_1 &= \sigma_3 \sigma_2 w_1 w_2 w_4 w_1 w_2 w_1 : (f,g) \mapsto (\overline{f},-g); \quad \overline{a}_0 = 1 - a_0, \ \overline{a}_1 = -a_1, \ \overline{a}_2 = -a_2, \ \overline{a}_3 = 1 - a_3, \ \overline{a}_4 = -a_4; \\ \tilde{\varphi}_2 &= w_0 w_1 w_3 w_4 : (f,g) \mapsto (f,-\underline{g}); \quad \underline{a}_0 = -a_0, \ \underline{a}_1 = -a_1, \ \underline{a}_2 = 1 - a_2, \ \underline{a}_3 = -a_3, \ \underline{a}_4 = -a_4. \end{split}$$

This mapping can be further decomposed, in the natural way, as  $\varphi = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1$ , where  $\varphi_1$  is a forward mapping  $\varphi_1 : (f,g) \mapsto (\overline{f},-g)$  and  $\varphi_2$  is a backward mapping  $\varphi_2 : (f,g) \mapsto (f,-\underline{g})$ ; the additional negative sign is necessary for the mapping to be a Cremona isometry.

The individual mappings  $\varphi_{1,2}$  do not correspond to translations on the symmetry sub-lattice, but they can also be written in terms of generators, in two natural, but slightly different ways;  $\varphi = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1 = \tilde{\varphi}_2^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_1$ :  $\varphi_1 = \sigma_3 \sigma_2 w_1 w_2 w_4 w_1 w_2 : (f, g) \mapsto (\bar{f}, -g); \quad \bar{a}_0 = 1 - a_0, \quad \bar{a}_1 = a_1, \quad \bar{a}_2 = -a_1 - a_2, \quad \bar{a}_3 = 1 - a_3, \quad \bar{a}_4 = -a_4;$   $\varphi_2 = w_0 w_3 w_4 : (f, g) \mapsto (f, -\underline{g}); \quad \underline{a}_0 = -a_0, \quad \underline{a}_1 = a_1, \quad \underline{a}_2 = 1 - a_1 - a_2, \quad \underline{a}_3 = -a_3, \quad \underline{a}_4 = -a_4,$ or

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\varphi}_1 &= \sigma_3 \sigma_2 w_1 w_2 w_4 w_1 w_2 w_1 : (f,g) \mapsto (\bar{f}, -g); \quad \bar{a}_0 &= 1 - a_0, \ \bar{a}_1 &= -a_1, \ \bar{a}_2 &= -a_2, \ \bar{a}_3 &= 1 - a_3, \ \bar{a}_4 &= -a_4; \\ \tilde{\varphi}_2 &= w_0 w_1 w_3 w_4 : (f,g) \mapsto (f, -\underline{g}); \quad \underline{a}_0 &= -a_0, \ \underline{a}_1 &= -a_1, \ \underline{a}_2 &= 1 - a_2, \ \underline{a}_3 &= -a_3, \ \underline{a}_4 &= -a_4. \end{split}$$

The additional negative sign disappears if we consider complete forward or backward steps in the dynamics,

$$(\underline{f},\underline{g}) \xleftarrow{\varphi_1^{-1}} (f,-\underline{g}) \xleftarrow{\varphi_2} (f,g) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} (\overline{f},-g) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^{-1}} (\overline{f},\overline{g}).$$

This mapping can be further decomposed, in the natural way, as  $\varphi = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1$ , where  $\varphi_1$  is a forward mapping  $\varphi_1 : (f,g) \mapsto (\overline{f}, -g)$  and  $\varphi_2$  is a backward mapping  $\varphi_2 : (f,g) \mapsto (f, -\underline{g})$ ; the additional negative sign is necessary for the mapping to be a Cremona isometry.

The individual mappings  $\varphi_{1,2}$  do not correspond to translations on the symmetry sub-lattice, but they can also be written in terms of generators, in two natural, but slightly different ways;  $\varphi = \varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1 = \tilde{\varphi}_2^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_1$ :  $\varphi_1 = \sigma_3 \sigma_2 w_1 w_2 w_4 w_1 w_2 : (f, g) \mapsto (\bar{f}, -g); \quad \bar{a}_0 = 1 - a_0, \quad \bar{a}_1 = a_1, \quad \bar{a}_2 = -a_1 - a_2, \quad \bar{a}_3 = 1 - a_3, \quad \bar{a}_4 = -a_4;$   $\varphi_2 = w_0 w_3 w_4 : (f, g) \mapsto (f, -\underline{g}); \quad \underline{a}_0 = -a_0, \quad \underline{a}_1 = a_1, \quad \underline{a}_2 = 1 - a_1 - a_2, \quad \underline{a}_3 = -a_3, \quad \underline{a}_4 = -a_4,$ or

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\varphi}_1 &= \sigma_3 \sigma_2 w_1 w_2 w_4 w_1 w_2 w_1 : (f,g) \mapsto (\overline{f},-g); \quad \overline{a}_0 = 1 - a_0, \ \overline{a}_1 = -a_1, \ \overline{a}_2 = -a_2, \ \overline{a}_3 = 1 - a_3, \ \overline{a}_4 = -a_4; \\ \tilde{\varphi}_2 &= w_0 w_1 w_3 w_4 : (f,g) \mapsto (f,-\underline{g}); \quad \underline{a}_0 = -a_0, \ \underline{a}_1 = -a_1, \ \underline{a}_2 = 1 - a_2, \ \underline{a}_3 = -a_3, \ \underline{a}_4 = -a_4. \end{split}$$

The additional negative sign disappears if we consider complete forward or backward steps in the dynamics,

$$(\underline{f},\underline{g}) \xleftarrow{\varphi_1^{-1}} (f,-\underline{g}) \xleftarrow{\varphi_2} (f,g) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} (\overline{f},-g) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^{-1}} (\overline{f},\overline{g}).$$

This is the equation that we want to match with our discrete dynamical system for the recurrence coefficients for discrete orthogonal polynomials with the hypergeometric weight. We do this next.

### (Step 3) Determine the surface type, according to Sakai's classification scheme.

For a discrete Painlevé equation, although the positions of base points may evolve, the *configuration* will stay fixed, and so the surfaces  $\{\mathcal{X}_n\}$  will all have the same type. There should be *eight* such base points; those points will lie on some (generically unique) biquadratic curve on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  (i.e., a curve whose defining polynomial, when written in a coordinate chart, has a bi-degree (2, 2)) and the *point configuration* is defined to be the configuration of the irreducible components of this curve. Each such component should have self-intersection index -2 and is associated with a node in an *affine Dynkin diagram*, nodes are connected when the corresponding components intersect. The type of this Dynkin diagram is called the *surface type* of the equation. This description assumes that the surfaces  $\mathcal{X}_n$  are *minimal*, but can happen that after the initial blowup procedure is complete, some -1-curves would have to be blown down. This will also result in some irreducible components having higher negative self-intersection index. The blowing down procedure is quite delicate, so here we assume that the surfaces  $\mathcal{X}_n$  are indeed minimal, but see **[DST13]** and **[DK19]** for examples requiring a blowing down.

### (Step 4) Find a preliminary change of basis of $Pic(\mathcal{X})$ .

At this step, we only need to ensure that this change of basis identifies the *surface roots* (or nodes of the Dynkin diagrams of our surface) with the standard example. This essentially matches the geometry of the application problem with the geometry of the model example. However, matching the geometries does not guarantee matching of the dynamics. This will be adjusted at the next step.



with



We match the geometries by looking at the surface roots:



We match the geometries by looking at the surface roots:



We match the geometries by looking at the surface roots:



#### Lemma

The following change of bases of  $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  identifies the root bases between the standard  $D_4^{(1)}$  surface and the surface that we obtained for the hypergeometric weight recurrence:

$\mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{H}_g,$	$\mathcal{H}_f = 2\mathcal{H}_x + \mathcal{H}_y - \mathcal{F}_3 - \mathcal{F}_4 - \mathcal{F}_5 - \mathcal{F}_6,$
$\mathcal{H}_y = \mathcal{H}_f + 2\mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6,$	$\mathcal{H}_{g} = \mathcal{H}_{x},$
$\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{E}_1,$	$\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{F}_1,$
$\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{E}_2,$	$\mathcal{E}_2 = \mathcal{F}_2,$
$\mathcal{F}_3 = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_6,$	$\mathcal{E}_3 = \mathcal{H}_x - \mathcal{F}_6,$
$\mathcal{F}_4 = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_5,$	$\mathcal{E}_4 = \mathcal{H}_x - \mathcal{F}_5,$
$\mathcal{F}_5 = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_4,$	$\mathcal{E}_5 = \mathcal{H}_x - \mathcal{F}_4,$
$\mathcal{F}_6 = \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3,$	$\mathcal{E}_6 = \mathcal{H}_x - \mathcal{F}_3,$
$\mathcal{F}_7 = \mathcal{E}_7,$	$\mathcal{E}_7 = \mathcal{F}_7,$
$\mathcal{F}_8 = \mathcal{E}_8,$	$\mathcal{E}_8 = \mathcal{F}_8.$

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

### (Step 5) Find the translation vector and compare it with the standard dynamic.

Using this preliminary change of basis we can define the symmetry roots for our surface that match the standard example. Using the action  $\varphi_*$  of the mapping on  $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  we can then see the induced action on the symmetry sub-lattice and, in particular, on the symmetry roots. For the discrete Painlevé equations, this action on each root should be a translation by some multiple of the anti-canonical divisor class. Even when this vector is not the same as the translation vector for the reference dynamic, it may be *conjugate* to it. To find out whether this is the case, we represent each translation as a word in the generators of the extended affine Weyl group and solve the conjugacy problem for words in groups. If they are conjugate, the conjugation element is the necessary adjustment to our preliminary change of basis.

We are now in the position to compare the dynamics. Using this preliminary change of bases we get the following expressions for the symmetry roots for the applied problem:



We are now in the position to compare the dynamics. Using this preliminary change of bases we get the following expressions for the symmetry roots for the applied problem:



From the action of  $\psi_*$  on  $\mathsf{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  we immediately see that the corresponding translation on the root lattice is

$$\psi_*: \alpha = \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle \mapsto \psi_*(\alpha) = \alpha + \langle 1, 0, 0, -1, 0 \rangle \delta,$$

which is *different* than the standard translation vector (1, 0, -1, 1, 0).

We are now in the position to compare the dynamics. Using this preliminary change of bases we get the following expressions for the symmetry roots for the applied problem:



From the action of  $\psi_*$  on  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  we immediately see that the corresponding translation on the root lattice is

$$\psi_*: \alpha = \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle \mapsto \psi_*(\alpha) = \alpha + \langle 1, 0, 0, -1, 0 \rangle \delta,$$

which is *different* than the standard translation vector (1, 0, -1, 1, 0).

However, decomposing  $\psi$  in terms of generators of the extended affine Weyl symmetry group and comparing it with the expression for  $\varphi$ ,

 $\psi = \sigma_3 \sigma_2 w_3 w_2 w_4 w_1 w_2 w_3, \qquad \varphi = \sigma_3 \sigma_2 w_3 w_0 w_2 w_4 w_1 w_2,$ 

we immediately see that  $\psi = w_3 \circ \varphi \circ w_3^{-1}$  (recall that  $w_3 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 \sigma_2 w_0$  and that  $w_3$  is an involution,  $w_3^{-1} = w_3$ ). Thus, our dynamic is indeed equivalent to the standard d- $P_V$  equation, but the preliminary change of bases in the previous Lemma needs to be adjusted by acting by  $w_3$ .

We now adjust the change of basis by  $w_3$  action to get the following Lemma.

We now adjust the change of basis by  $w_3$  action to get the following Lemma.

#### Lemma

After the change of bases of  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  given by  $\mathcal{H}_{x} = \mathcal{H}_{f} + \mathcal{H}_{\sigma} - \mathcal{E}_{7} - \mathcal{E}_{8},$  $\mathcal{H}_f = 2\mathcal{H}_x + \mathcal{H}_y - \mathcal{F}_3 - \mathcal{F}_4 - \mathcal{F}_5 - \mathcal{F}_6,$  $\mathcal{H}_{v} = 3\mathcal{H}_{f} + 2\mathcal{H}_{\sigma} - \mathcal{E}_{3} - \mathcal{E}_{4} - \mathcal{E}_{5} - \mathcal{E}_{6} - 2\mathcal{E}_{7} - 2\mathcal{E}_{8}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\sigma} = 3\mathcal{H}_{x} + \mathcal{H}_{v} - \mathcal{F}_{3} - \mathcal{F}_{4} - \mathcal{F}_{5} - \mathcal{F}_{6} - \mathcal{F}_{7} - \mathcal{F}_{8},$  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{E}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{F}_1$  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{E}_2$  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \mathcal{F}_2$  $\mathcal{F}_3 = \mathcal{H}_f + \mathcal{H}_{\sigma} - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8,$  $\mathcal{E}_3 = \mathcal{H}_{\star} - \mathcal{F}_6$  $\mathcal{F}_4 = \mathcal{H}_f + \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8,$  $\mathcal{E}_{A} = \mathcal{H}_{V} - \mathcal{F}_{E}$  $\mathcal{F}_5 = \mathcal{H}_f + \mathcal{H}_{\sigma} - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8,$  $\mathcal{E}_5 = \mathcal{H}_{\star} - \mathcal{F}_4$  $\mathcal{F}_6 = \mathcal{H}_f + \mathcal{H}_g - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8,$  $\mathcal{E}_6 = \mathcal{H}_x - \mathcal{F}_3$  $\mathcal{E}_7 = 2\mathcal{H}_x + \mathcal{H}_v - \mathcal{F}_3 - \mathcal{F}_4 - \mathcal{F}_5 - \mathcal{F}_6 - \mathcal{F}_8,$  $\mathcal{F}_7 = \mathcal{H}_f - \mathcal{E}_8$  $\mathcal{F}_8 = \mathcal{H}_f - \mathcal{E}_7.$  $\mathcal{E}_8 = 2\mathcal{H}_{\star} + \mathcal{H}_{\star} - \mathcal{F}_3 - \mathcal{F}_4 - \mathcal{F}_5 - \mathcal{F}_6 - \mathcal{F}_7,$ 

the recurrence relations for variables  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  coincides with the standard d- $P_V$  discrete Painlevé equation. The resulting identification of the symmetry root bases (the surface root bases do not change) is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_{0} & & \alpha_{3} & & \alpha_{0} = \mathcal{H}_{y} - \mathcal{F}_{34}, & & \alpha_{3} = -2\mathcal{H}_{x} - \mathcal{H}_{y} + \mathcal{F}_{345678}, \\ \alpha_{1} & & \alpha_{2} & & \alpha_{1} = \mathcal{F}_{1} - \mathcal{F}_{2}, & & \alpha_{4} = \mathcal{F}_{3} - \mathcal{F}_{4}. \\ \alpha_{1} & & \alpha_{2} = 2\mathcal{H}_{x} + \mathcal{H}_{y} - \mathcal{F}_{135678}, \end{array}$$

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

### (Step 5) Find the translation vector and compare it with the standard dynamic.

Using this preliminary change of basis we can define the symmetry roots for our surface that match the standard example. Using the action  $\varphi_*$  of the mapping on  $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$  we can then see the induced action on the symmetry sub-lattice and, in particular, on the symmetry roots. For the discrete Painlevé equations, this action on each root should be a translation by some multiple of the anti-canonical divisor class. Even when this vector is not the same as the translation vector for the reference dynamic, it may be *conjugate* to it. To find out whether this is the case, we represent each translation as a word in the generators of the extended affine Weyl group and solve the conjugacy problem for words in groups. If they are conjugate, the conjugation element is the necessary adjustment to our preliminary change of basis.

(Step 6) Find the change of variables reducing the applied problem to the standard example. Adjusting the change of bases in Pic(X), if necessary, we now have the identification on the level of the Picard lattice. Next, we need to fing the actual change of variables that induces that linear change of basis. For that, identify the curves that form the basis in the corresponding coordinate pencils. Those curves then are our projective coordinates, up to a Möbius transformation. To fix the Möbius transformations, use the mapping of coordinate divisors. An importnat part of this computation is the identification of various parameters between the two problems. This, in fact, can be done ahead of time by using the *Period Map*, which gives the parameterization in terms of parameters of the problem gives the necessary identification of parameters.

## The Identification Procedure. Step 6: The Change of Coordinates

Next we need to realize this change of basis on  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  by the explicit change of coordinates. For that, it is convenient to first match the parameters between the applied problem and the reference example. This is done with the help of the *Period Map*.

## The Identification Procedure. Step 6: The Change of Coordinates

Next we need to realize this change of basis on  $Pic(\mathcal{X})$  by the explicit change of coordinates. For that, it is convenient to first match the parameters between the applied problem and the reference example. This is done with the help of the *Period Map*.

#### Lemma

(i) The residues of the symplectic form  $\omega = k \frac{dX \wedge dY}{s(X,Y)Y} = k \frac{dX \wedge ds}{s(s-X^2)}$  along the irreducible components of the polar divisor are given by

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{res}_{d_0}\omega = -k\frac{dv_5}{v_5^2}, \quad \operatorname{res}_{d_2}\omega = -k\frac{(n+\alpha+\beta)dv_6}{(v_6-1)^2}, \quad \operatorname{res}_{d_4}\omega = -k\frac{X}{X^2}\\ &\operatorname{res}_{d_1}\omega = k\frac{dX}{X^2}, \quad \operatorname{res}_{d_3}\omega = -k\frac{(c-1)^2dv_7}{c}, \end{split}$$

(ii) The root variables are given by

$$\mathsf{a}_0 = \mathsf{k}(\gamma - \mathsf{n} - \alpha), \quad \mathsf{a}_1 = \mathsf{k}(\alpha - 1), \quad \mathsf{a}_2 = \mathsf{k}(1 + \mathsf{n} + \beta - \gamma), \quad \mathsf{a}_3 = -\mathsf{k}(\mathsf{n} + \beta), \quad \mathsf{a}_4 = \mathsf{k}(\gamma - \beta).$$

The normalization condition  $a_0 + a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 1$  then implies that k = 1, and we get the following relations between our parameters and the root variables:

 $\alpha = a_1 + 1, \quad \beta = a_0 + a_1 + a_2, \quad \gamma = 1 - a_2 - a_3, \quad n = a_2 + a_4 - 1.$ 

Note that the root variable evolution, which is the same as in the standard case, is consistent with what we expect:  $\overline{\alpha} = \alpha$ ,  $\overline{\beta} = \beta$ ,  $\overline{\gamma} = \gamma$ , and  $\overline{n} = n + 1$ . Also observe that we can not yet see the relationship between parameters t and c in this identification.

## The Identification Procedure. Step 6: The Change of Coordinates

### Theorem (Main Result)

The discrete dynamical system on recurrence coefficients of discrete orthogonal polynomials with the hypergeometric weight is equivalent to to the standard difference Painlevé-V equation

$$\overline{f}f = \frac{tg(g - a_4)}{(g + a_2)(g + a_1 + a_2)}, \qquad g + \underline{g} = a_0 + a_3 + a_4 + \frac{a_3}{f - 1} + \frac{ta_0}{f - 1}$$

with  $\overline{a}_0 = a_0 - 1$ ,  $\overline{a}_1 = a_1$ ,  $\overline{a}_2 = a_2 + 1$ ,  $\overline{a}_3 = a_3 - 1$ ,  $\overline{a}_4 = a_4$ , and  $a_0 + a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 1$ . This equivalence is achieved via the following change of variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{x}(f,g) &= \gamma - g - \frac{(n+\beta)f}{f-1}, \\ \mathsf{y}(f,g) &= (g+\alpha+\beta+n-\gamma)(g+2\beta+2n-\gamma) - n\alpha - \frac{gt(g+\beta-\gamma)}{f} \\ &+ \frac{(n+\beta)((c-1)(2g+\alpha+3\beta+3n-2\gamma)+(\alpha+\beta+\gamma-n)+n)}{c(f-1)} + \frac{(c-1)(n+\beta)^2}{c(f-1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The inverse change of variables is given by

$$f(x,y) = \frac{t(x-\beta)(x-\gamma)}{((x-\alpha)(x-\beta) - nx - y)},$$
  
$$g(x,y) = -\frac{(x-\gamma)(((x-\alpha)(x-\beta) - nx - y) - t(x-\beta)(x-\gamma+\beta+n))}{((x-\alpha)(x-\beta) - nx - y) - t(x-\beta)(x-\gamma)}.$$

Parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and c of the weight are related to the standard Painlevé parameters (root variables) by  $\alpha = a_1 + 1$ ,  $\beta = a_0 + a_1 + a_2$ ,  $\gamma = 1 - a_2 - a_3$ ,  $n = a_2 + a_4 - 1$ , and ct = 1.

Anton Dzhamay (UNC)

### References

- Masatoshi Noumi, Painlevé equations through symmetry., Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 223. American Mathematical Society, (2004) 156 pp.
- Hidetaka Sakai, *Rational surfaces associated with affine root systems and geometry of the Painlevé equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **220** (2001), no. 1, 165–229.
- Kenji Kajiwara, Masatoshi Noumi, Yasuhiko Yamada, Geometric Aspects of Painlevé Equations, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 50 (2017) 073001 (164pp), arXiv:1509.08186 [nlin.SI]
- Tsuda, T., Integrable mappings via rational elliptic surfaces., J. Phys. A **37** (2004) 2721–2730.
- Duistermaat, J. J., *Discrete Integrable Systems: QRT Maps and Elliptic Surfaces*, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2010)
- Adrian Stefan Carstea, Anton Dzhamay, and Tomoyuki Takenawa, Fiber-dependent deautonomization of integrable 2D mappings and discrete Painlevé equations, J. Phys. A 50 (2017), no. 40, 405202, 41.
  - Michio Jimbo and Hidetaka Sakai, *A q-analog of the sixth Painlevé equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **38** (1996), no. 2, 145–154.

### References (cont.)

- Anton Dzhamay, Galina Filipuk, Alexander Stokes, *Recurrence coefficients for discrete* orthogonal polynomials with hypergeometric weight and discrete Painlevé equations, arXiv:1910.10981 [nlin.SI]
- Anton Dzhamay, Hidetaka Sakai, Tomoyuki Takenawa, Discrete Hamiltonian Structure of Schlesinger Transformations, arXiv:1302.2972v2 [math-ph]
- Anton Dzhamay and Tomoyuki Takenawa, On Some Applications of Sakai's Geometric Theory of Discrete Painlevé Equations, SIGMA 14 (2018), 075, 20 pages, arXiv:1804.10341 [math-ph]
- Anton Dzhamay and Alisa Knizel, *q-Racah polynomial ensemble and discrete q-Painlevé equations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2019), no. doi:10.1093/imrn/rnz211, 1–47.
- Galina Filipuk and Walter Van Assche, *Discrete orthogonal polynomials with hypergeometric weights and Painlevé VI*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **14** (2018), Paper No. 088, 19.
- J. Hu, G. Filipuk, and Y. Chen, Differential and difference equations for recurrence coefficients of orthogonal polynomials with hypergeometric weights and Bäcklund transformations of the sixth Painlevé equation, submitted, 2019.