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#### Abstract

In the present paper we extend the theory of sheaves on moment graphs due to Braden-MacPherson and Fiebig to the context of an arbitrary oriented equivariant cohomology $h$ (e.g. to algebraic cobordism). We introduce and investigate structure $h$-sheaves on double moment graphs to describe equivariant oriented cohomology of products of flag varieties. We show that in the case of a total flag variety $X$ the space of global sections of the double structure $h$-sheaf also describes endomorphism ring of the equivariant $h$-motive of $X$.


## 1. Introduction

In GKM Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson showed that the equivariant cohomology of certain varieties equipped with a torus action is completely encoded in the corresponding moment graph. We refer to [GKM] for the precise assumptions which are needed, and we limit ourselves to say that all varieties with a finite number of isolated fixed points and one dimensional orbits, and with no ordinary odd dimension cohomology (and hence flag varieties and Schubert varieties) belong to this class of varieties. Under the appropriate hypotheses, the 1-skeleton of the torus action produces a graph, whose vertices are the fixed points and whose edges are closures of 1-dimensional orbits. Clearly, the torus acts on any 1-dimensional orbit via a character (uniquely defined up to a sign, which corresponds to a chosen orientation of the orbit closure) and the graph obtained by labelling the edges by the corresponding torus character is the moment graph. [GKM theory allows hence to translate computations in equivariant cohomology into a combinatorial problem.

After GKM, several applications and generalisations of the results therein have appeared. Hypotheses on the variety have been relaxed [GHZ, GH04, Go14] and moment graph techniques have been applied to other cohomology theories Br97, Ro03, VV03, Kr12, GR13. If the $T$-variety also admits a stratification by $T$-invariant cells, each of which contains a single $T$-fixed point, then the inclusion relation of cells induces an orientation of the edges. Braden and MacPherson BMP proved that the information contained in this oriented moment graph is sufficient to compute the (equivariant) intersection cohomology of the variety. We should notice that intersection cohomology was also treated in [GKM], but no algorithmic combinatorial description was given. In order to obtain this, Braden and MacPherson introduced the notion of sheaves on moment graphs, certain algebro commutative objects which depend on the corresponding graph. The equivariant intersection cohomology could be realised as the space of sections of what has later

[^0]been called the BMP-sheaf, whose stalks give the local equivariant intersection cohomology. Again, it is possible to apply this theory to flag and Schubert varieties, whose intersection cohomology has a prominent role in representation theory. This fact motivated a series of papers by Fiebig, where he developed and axiomatised a sheaf on moment graph theory, and exploited Braden-MacPherson's construction to attack representation theoretical problems (see, for example, [Fi11]).

Let $G$ be a split semisimple linear algebraic group over a field of characteristic zero. In this paper, we propose a theory of sheaves on moment graphs adapted to any $G$-equivariant theory $\mathrm{h}_{G}$ (see Section 5) constructed in HM13] from an algebraic oriented cohomology theory h of Levine-Morel [LM07. The sheaves we deal with, which we call oriented cohomology sheaves or h -sheaves, are constituted by collections of modules and maps of modules over $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)$, satisfying certain conditions which are imposed by the moment graph data. The previously considered sheaves on moment graphs are hence obtained as the special case in which $G$ is an algebraic torus and $\mathrm{h}_{G}$ is the equivariant Chow theory. We then use our theory to describe equivariant oriented cohomology of projective homogeneous varieties $G / P$ and their products $G / Q \times G / P$ (here $G$ acts diagonally on the product and $P, Q$ are parabolic subgroups of $G$ ) as global sections of certain h-sheaves; we also interpret the space of global sections of such sheaves as a Hom-space in certain equivariant motivic category.

More precisely, for the homogeneous variety $G / P$ we deal with the parabolic moment graph $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ (see Example 2.3) and its structure h-sheaves $\mathcal{O}^{P}$ (see Example 5.4). Observe that the parabolic moment graph is one of the basic and classical tools to describe the $T$-equivariant cohomology (Chow groups) or $K$-theory of $G / P$. As for the products, we introduce a double moment graph ${ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}$ (see Definition 2.9), and its associated structure h-sheaf ${ }^{Q} \mathcal{O}^{P}$ (Example 5.5). The notion of a double moment graph has not been known before. It turns out to be a useful tool to describe oriented cohomology of the products. Indeed, one of our main results (Theorem 9.1.(B)) says that the space of global sections of the sheaf ${ }^{Q} \mathcal{O}^{P}$ describes $W$-invariants ( $W$ is the Weyl group of $G$ ) of the $T$-equivariant oriented cohomology of the product.

Following Manin one can introduce the category of $G$-equivariant h-motives (see PS16, NPSZ for more details). Let $\mathfrak{M}_{G}$ be its full additive subcategory generated by motives of $G / P$ for all standard parabolic subgroups $P$ of $G$. The morphisms in $\mathfrak{M}_{G}$ are given by cycles in $\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / P)$ and their composition is given by the celebrated correspondence product. Our main result (Theorem 9.1) then implies that global sections of the structure sheaves $\mathcal{O}^{P}$ and ${ }^{Q} \mathcal{O}^{P}$ describe various Homspaces between equivariant motives $[G / Q]$ and $[G / P]$ (see Section 10). Moreover, it enables us to interpret the correspondence product in the category $\mathfrak{M}_{G}$ in moment graphs terms (Proposition 10.7) and to realise the endomorphism ring of the equivariant motive of the total flag variety of type $A$ as the space of global sections of a structure h -sheaf on the disjoint union of double moment graphs ${ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}$, where $Q$ and $P$ vary on all possible parabolic subgroups of $G$ containing a fixed Borel $B$ (Corollary 10.5).
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## 2. Double moment graphs

Consider a root system $\Sigma$ with a set of simple roots $\Theta=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$ and a set of positive roots $\Sigma^{+}$. Let $W$ be the Coxeter group generated by simple reflections $s_{\alpha_{i}}$. Given two subsets $\Theta_{P}, \Theta_{Q} \subset \Theta$ we denote by $\Sigma_{P}, \Sigma_{Q}$ the respective root subsystems and by $W_{P}$ and $W_{Q}$ the respective Coxeter subgroups. We denote by ${ }^{Q} W^{P}$ the set of minimal length double coset representatives of $W_{Q} \backslash W / W_{P}$. The Bruhat order ' $\leq$ ' on $W$ descends to ${ }^{Q} W^{P}$ which we can hence consider as a poset itself. Given $u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$ consider the subset $\Theta_{u}=\Theta_{Q} \cap u\left(\Sigma_{P}^{+}\right)$and the respective Coxeter subgroup $W_{u}=W_{Q} \cap u W_{P} u^{-1}$. Denote by $W_{Q}^{u}$ the set of minimal length coset representatives of $W_{Q} / W_{u}$.

We will extensively use the following fact (Double Parabolic Decomposition, see, for example, Cu85):

An element $y$ of a double coset $W_{Q} \backslash W / W_{P}$ can be uniquely written as $y=w u v$, where $u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}, w \in W_{Q}^{u}$ and $v \in W_{P}$.
In particular, any element of $W^{P}$ can be uniquely written as $w u$, where $u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$ and $w \in W_{Q}^{u}$.

Given $w \in W$ we denote by $\bar{w}$ (resp. $\widehat{w}$ ) the minimal length coset representative of $w$ in $W^{P}$ (resp. in ${ }^{Q} W^{P}$ ).

The following definition has appeared by now in several papers, see, for example, BMP, Fi08.

Definition 2.1. The data $\mathcal{G}=\left((V, \leq), l: E \rightarrow \Sigma^{+}\right)$is called a moment graph if
(MG1) $V$ is a set of vertices together with a partial order ' $\leq$ ', i.e., we are given a poset $(V, \leq)$.
(MG2) $E$ is a set of directed edges labelled by positive roots $\Sigma^{+}$via the label function $l$, i.e., an edge $x \rightarrow y \in E$ is labelled by $l(x \rightarrow y) \in \Sigma^{+}$.
(MG3) For any edge $x \rightarrow y \in E$, we have $x \leq y$, i.e., direction of edges respects the partial order.
By a moment subgraph of $\mathcal{G}$ we call a moment graph with $\left(V^{\prime}, \leq\right) \subset(V, \leq)$, $E^{\prime} \subset E$ and $l^{\prime}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow \Sigma^{+}$being a restriction of $l$.

Observe that (MG2) assumes that each edge has a unique label, i.e., there are no multiple edges, and (MG3) implies that $\mathcal{G}$ has no directed cycles.
Example 2.2. Consider the usual Bruhat poset $(W, \leq)$. The data

$$
V:=W, E:=\left\{w \rightarrow s_{\alpha} w \mid w \leq s_{\alpha} w, \alpha \in \Sigma^{+}\right\} \text {and } l\left(w \rightarrow s_{\alpha} w\right):=\alpha
$$

define a moment graph. Observe that the transitive closure of $E$ gives the Bruhat order ' $\leq$ ' and $E$ contains all cover relations of the Bruhat order.

Example 2.3. Given a subset $\Theta_{P}$ of the set of simple roots $\Theta$, consider the Bruhat subposet $\left(W^{P}, \leq\right)$. The data

$$
V=W^{P}, E=\left\{w \rightarrow \overline{s_{\alpha} w} \mid w \leq s_{\alpha} w, \alpha \in \Sigma^{+}\right\} \text {and } l\left(w \rightarrow \overline{s_{\alpha} w}\right)=\alpha
$$

define a moment graph called a parabolic moment graph and denoted by $\mathcal{G}^{P}$. Again the transitive closure of $E$ gives the Bruhat order ' $\leq$ ' on $W^{P}$ and $E$ contains all cover relations of this order.

To show that $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ has a well-defined label function (i.e. (MG2) holds), let $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real vector space with an inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ that contains $\Sigma$. Choose a dominant $\theta \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $W_{P}$ is a stabilizer group of $\theta$. The poset $\left(W^{P}, \leq\right)$ can be identified with the poset $(W \theta, \leq)$, via $w \mapsto w \theta$ (see [St05, Prop. 1.1]), where the partial order on the orbit $W \theta$ is defined by taking the transitive closure of relations

$$
\mu \leq s_{\alpha}(\mu) \text { for all } \alpha \in \Sigma^{+} \text {such that }(\mu, \alpha)>0
$$

Now suppose that $\overline{s_{\alpha} w}=\overline{s_{\beta} w}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^{+}, w \in W^{P}$. Then $s_{\alpha}(\mu)=s_{\beta}(\mu)$, where $\mu=w \theta$, which implies that $\alpha=\beta$. In other words, the label $\alpha$ is uniquely determined by the representative $\overline{s_{\alpha} w}$.

Example 2.4. Consider the symmetric group $W=S_{3}$ generated by simple reflections $s_{1}, s_{2}$ corresponding to simple roots $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$. Let $W_{Q}=W_{P}=\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle$. Then we have ${ }^{Q} W^{P}=\left\{e, s_{2}\right\}$ and

$$
\widehat{s_{2} e}=\widehat{s_{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}} e=s_{2} .
$$

Hence, an edge $e \rightarrow s_{2}$ in the directed graph given by

$$
V={ }^{Q} W^{P} \text { and } E=\left\{u \rightarrow \widehat{s_{\alpha} u} \mid u \leq s_{\alpha} u, \alpha \in \Sigma^{+}\right\}
$$

has to be labelled by two different positive roots $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$, i.e., the label function $l$ of (MG2) is not well-defined. The latter shows that Example 2.3 can not be directly generalized to double cosets.

Indeed, we will show that in certain cases Example 2.3 can be generalized to double cosets if we allow to label the edges $u \rightarrow \widehat{s_{\alpha} u}$ up to an action by the stabilizer group $W_{u}$.

First, we introduce the notion of equivariant moment graphs.
Definition 2.5. Given a moment graph $\mathcal{G}=((V, \leq), l: E \rightarrow \Sigma)$, suppose that a group $W$ acts on the set $V$ and it also acts by permutations on $\Sigma$. We say that $\mathcal{G}$ is $W$-equivariant if
(MGE) For all $w \in W$ and $x \rightarrow y \in E$ if $w(l(x \rightarrow y)) \in \Sigma^{+}$, then $w(x) \rightarrow w(y) \in E$ and $l(w(x) \rightarrow w(y))=w(l(x \rightarrow y))$, otherwise $w(y) \rightarrow w(x) \in E$ and $l(w(y) \rightarrow w(x))=-w(l(x \rightarrow y))$.

Note that a $W$-equivariant moment graph is not a $W$-moment graph in the sense of [F15, Def. 4.2].

Lemma 2.6. The parabolic moment graph $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ of Example 2.3 is $W$-equivariant, where $W$ acts on $W^{P}$ by $w(v)=\overline{w v}, v \in W^{P}$.

Proof. Suppose $y=\overline{s_{\alpha} x}$ with $x \leq y$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}$, then $y=s_{\alpha} x z, z \in W_{P}$ and we have

$$
w(y)=w\left(s_{\alpha} x z\right)=\overline{s_{w(\alpha)} w(x)}
$$

Since $x \leq y$, we have $x(\theta)=\mu \leq s_{\alpha}(\mu)=y(\theta)$ in $W \theta$, i.e., $(\mu, \alpha)>0$. Then $(\mu, \alpha)=(w(\mu), w(\alpha))>0$ which implies that $w(x)(\theta)=w(\mu) \leq s_{w(\alpha)} w(\mu)=$ $w(y)(\theta)$ if $w(\alpha) \in \Sigma^{+}$and $w(\mu) \geq s_{w(\alpha)} w(\mu)$ if $w(\alpha) \in \Sigma^{-}$.

Corollary 2.7. Let $\Theta_{Q}$ be a subset of $\Theta$ and let $W_{Q}$ be the respective Weyl group. Then the parabolic moment graph $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ is $W_{Q}$-equivariant.

Second, we define the notion of a closure (an orbit) of a subgraph.
Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}=\left(\left(V^{\prime}, \leq\right), l: E^{\prime} \rightarrow \Sigma^{+}\right)$be a subgraph of a $W$-equivariant moment graph $\mathcal{G}$. By a $W$-closure of $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ we call a subgraph denoted by $W\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)$ with vertices $\left\{w x \mid w \in W, x \in V^{\prime}\right\}$ and

- edges $w(x) \rightarrow w(y)$ labelled by $w(l(x \rightarrow y))$ for all $x \rightarrow y \in E^{\prime}$ with $w(l(x \rightarrow y)) \in \Sigma^{+}$, and
- edges $w(y) \rightarrow w(x)$ labelled by $-w(l(x \rightarrow y))$ for all $x \rightarrow y \in E^{\prime}$ with $w(l(x \rightarrow y)) \in \Sigma^{-}$.

Roughly speaking, $W\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)$ is the smallest $W$-equivariant moment subgraph containing $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$.

We are now ready to introduce a key object of the present paper
Definition 2.9. Given subsets $\Theta_{P}, \Theta_{Q}$ of the set of simple roots $\Theta$, the data $\left(\left({ }^{Q} W^{P}, \leq\right), l:{ }^{Q} E^{P} \rightarrow \Sigma^{+}\right)$is called the double moment graph and denoted by ${ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}$ if it is a moment graph on the set of minimal length double coset representatives with respect to the induced Bruhat order such that the label function $l$ satisfies

$$
u^{\prime}=s_{l\left(u \rightarrow u^{\prime}\right)} u, \quad \text { for all } u \rightarrow u^{\prime} \in{ }^{Q} E^{P} .
$$

By definition a double moment graph corresponds to a subgraph $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ by

$$
E^{\prime}=\left\{u \rightarrow \overline{s_{l\left(u \rightarrow u^{\prime}\right)} u} \mid u \rightarrow u^{\prime} \in^{Q} E^{P}\right\}
$$

Its $W_{Q}$-closure $W_{Q}\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)$ is called a closure of ${ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}$ and denoted by $\left\langle{ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}\right\rangle$.
To compute the closure of a double moment graph we will use the following
Lemma 2.10. All edges in a parabolic moment graph $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ can be obtained by applying $W_{Q}$ to the edges of the form

$$
u \rightarrow \overline{s_{\beta} u}, \quad u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}, \beta \in \Sigma^{+} .
$$

Proof. Consider the $W_{Q}$-equivariant moment graph $\mathcal{G}^{P}$. Let $\theta \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a dominant vector with stabilizer $W_{P}$. Following [St75, $\left.\S 1 \mathrm{~B}\right]$ consider the subposet of $W \theta$

$$
(W \theta)_{Q}=\left\{\mu \in W \theta \mid(\mu, \alpha) \geq 0 \text { for all } \alpha \in \Sigma_{Q}^{+}\right\}
$$

By [St75, Prop. 1.5] it is isomorphic to the Bruhat subposet ( $\left.{ }^{Q} W^{P}, \leq\right)$ via the evaluation map $w \mapsto w \theta$.

Consider an edge $x \rightarrow y$ in $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ labelled by $\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}$. Suppose $\widehat{x} \leq \widehat{y}$. By parabolic decomposition, we have $x=w u$, where $w \in W_{Q}^{u}$ and $u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$. Hence, it corresponds to a vector $w(\mu) \in W \theta$, where $\mu=u(\theta) \in(W \theta)_{Q}$. Similarly, $y=\overline{s_{\alpha} x}$ corresponds to a vector $s_{\alpha} w(\mu)$ in $W \theta$. Since $x$ and $y$ are connected by an edge labelled by $\alpha$ we have $w(\mu) \leq s_{\alpha} w(\mu)$ that is $(w(\mu), \alpha)=\left(\mu, w^{-1}(\alpha)\right)>0$.

Suppose $w^{-1}(\alpha) \in \Sigma^{+}$, then the edge $x \rightarrow y$ is obtained from the edge

$$
u=w^{-1} x \rightarrow \overline{w^{-1} y}=\overline{s_{w^{-1}(\alpha)} u}
$$

labelled by $w^{-1}(\alpha)$ by applying $w \in W_{Q}^{u}$ (the latter edge corresponds to $\mu \leq$ $s_{w^{-1}(\alpha)} \mu$ in $\left.W \theta\right)$. If $w^{-1}(\alpha) \in \Sigma^{-}$, then $\mu \geq s_{w^{-1}(\alpha)} \mu$ which contradicts to the assumption $\widehat{x} \leq \widehat{y}$.

## 3. Examples of double moment graphs

In the present section we consider parabolic and double moment graphs for root systems of types $A$ and $D$. For each type we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for $\left\langle{ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}\right\rangle=\mathcal{G}^{P}$. We follow the notation of the previous section.
Type $A$. Let $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for which the coordinates sum to zero. The Weyl group $W=S_{n+1}$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by permutations of coordinates. We fix a set of simple roots $\Theta=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$.

Consider the vector $\mu=u(\theta) \in W \theta$, where $\theta$ is dominant with the stabilizer $W_{P}$ and $u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$. The subgroup $W_{Q}$ acts on a vector $\mu=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)$ by permutations of coordinates in each of the tuples $\tau_{0}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k_{1}}\right), \tau_{1}=\left(x_{k_{1}+1}, \ldots, x_{k_{2}}\right)$, $\ldots, \tau_{r}=\left(x_{k_{r}+1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)$, where $\Theta \backslash \Theta_{Q}=\left\{\alpha_{k_{1}}, \ldots, \alpha_{k_{r}}\right\}$.

Suppose $\widehat{s_{\alpha} u}=\widehat{s_{\beta} u}>u$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^{+}$. Let $s_{\alpha}=\left(i^{\prime} j^{\prime}\right), i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}$. Since $s_{\alpha}(\mu) \neq \mu$, we have $x_{i^{\prime}} \neq x_{j^{\prime}}$. Since $\widehat{s_{\alpha} u} \neq u$, the coordinates $x_{i^{\prime}}$ and $x_{j^{\prime}}$ belong to different tuples, respectively. Similarly, if $s_{\beta}=\left(i^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime \prime}\right), i^{\prime \prime}<j^{\prime \prime}$, then the coordinates $x_{i^{\prime \prime}} \neq x_{j^{\prime \prime}}$ belong to different tuples. Since $\widehat{s_{\alpha} u}=\widehat{s_{\beta} u}$ and the action by $W_{Q}$ preserves the numbers of equal coordinates in each tuple, the coordinates $x_{i^{\prime}}, x_{i^{\prime \prime}}$ (resp. $x_{j^{\prime}}, x_{j^{\prime \prime}}$ ) belong to the same tuple $\tau_{i}$ (resp. $\tau_{j}, i \neq j$ ), therefore, $x_{i^{\prime}}=x_{i^{\prime \prime}}$ and $x_{j^{\prime}}=x_{j^{\prime \prime}}$.

Now set $w=\left(i^{\prime} i^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(j^{\prime} j^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to be the product of two commuting transpositions. Then, $w \in W_{u}$ and $s_{\beta}=s_{w(\alpha)}$ and by Lemma 2.10 we obtain

Proposition 3.1. For the type $A$, the closure of any double moment graph ${ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}$ coincides with $\mathcal{G}^{P}$.

Type $D$. We realize a root system of type $D$ as a subset of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\Sigma=\left\{ \pm\left(e_{i}+e_{j}\right), \pm\left(e_{i}-e_{j}\right) \mid i \neq j, i, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are the standard basis vectors. We fix a set of simple roots

$$
\Theta=\left\{\alpha_{1}=e_{1}-e_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}=e_{n-1}-e_{n}, \alpha_{n}=e_{n-1}+e_{n}\right\} .
$$

The Weyl group $W$ acts by permutations and even sign changes, i.e., reflections are given either by usual transpositions or by signed transpositions

$$
(i j): e_{i} \mapsto e_{j}, e_{j} \mapsto e_{i} \widetilde{(i j)}: e_{i} \mapsto-e_{j}, e_{j} \mapsto-e_{i}
$$

Consider the vector $\mu=u(\theta) \in W \theta$, where $\theta$ is dominant with stabilizer $W_{P}$ and $u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$. Suppose $\widehat{s_{\alpha} u}=\widehat{s_{\beta} u}>u$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^{+}$.

Suppose $\alpha_{n} \notin \Theta_{Q}$. Then $W_{Q}$ is a subgroup of the symmetric group $S_{n}$ acting by permutations on $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$. Hence, as in type $A$, it acts on a vector $\mu=$ $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ by permutations of coordinates in each of the tuples $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k_{1}}\right)$, $\left(x_{k_{1}+1}, \ldots, x_{k_{2}}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{k_{r}+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, where $\Theta \backslash\left(\Theta_{Q} \cup\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{k_{1}}, \ldots, \alpha_{k_{r}}\right\}$. We have the following cases for the reflection $s_{\alpha}$ :
(1) Let $s_{\alpha}=\left(i^{\prime} j^{\prime}\right), i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}$. As in type $A$, the coordinates $x_{i^{\prime}}$ and $x_{j^{\prime}}$ belong to different tuples and $x_{i^{\prime}} \neq x_{j^{\prime}}$.
(2) Let $s_{\alpha}=\widetilde{\left(i^{\prime} j^{\prime}\right)}, i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}$. Since $s_{\alpha}(\mu) \neq \mu$, we have $x_{i^{\prime}} \neq-x_{j^{\prime}}$ and
(a) $x_{i^{\prime}}$ and $x_{j^{\prime}}$ belong to different tuples.
(b) $x_{i^{\prime}}$ and $x_{j^{\prime}}$ belong to the same tuple.

And we have similar cases (1), (2a) or (2b) for $s_{\beta}=\left(i^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime \prime}\right)$ or $\left(\widetilde{i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}}\right)$. Since $\widehat{s_{\alpha} u}=\widehat{s_{\beta} u}$ and the action by $W_{Q}$ preserves the numbers of equal coordinates in each tuple, $s_{\beta}$ corresponds to the same case as $s_{\alpha}$ and it interchanges coordinates (changes sign) between the same tuples as $s_{\alpha}$, therefore, $x_{i^{\prime}}=x_{i^{\prime \prime}}$ and $x_{j^{\prime}}=x_{j^{\prime \prime}}$ (in the case (2b) we may permute $i^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left.j^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Taking $w=\left(i^{\prime} i^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(j^{\prime} j^{\prime \prime}\right)$ as before we obtain that $w \in W_{u}$ and $s_{\beta}=s_{w(\alpha)}$.

Suppose $\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n} \in \Theta_{Q}$. The subgroup $W_{Q}$ acts on the vector $\mu=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ by permutations of coordinates in the first $r$ tuples $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k_{1}}\right),\left(x_{k_{1}+1}, \ldots, x_{k_{2}}\right)$, $\ldots,\left(x_{k_{r-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{k_{r}}\right)$, and by permutations and even sign changes on the last tuple $\tau=\left(x_{k_{r}+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, where $\Theta \backslash \Theta_{Q}=\left\{\alpha_{k_{1}}, \ldots, \alpha_{k_{r}}\right\}$. We have the following cases for the reflection $s_{\alpha}$ :
(1) Let $s_{\alpha}=\left(i^{\prime} j^{\prime}\right), i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}$. As before, $x_{i^{\prime}} \neq x_{j^{\prime}}$ and $x_{i^{\prime}}, x_{j^{\prime}}$ belong to different tuples. We then have two subcases
(a) $x_{j^{\prime}}$ does not belong to $\tau$.
(b) $x_{j^{\prime}}$ belongs to $\tau$.
(2) Let $s_{\alpha}=\widetilde{\left(i^{\prime} j^{\prime}\right)}, i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}$. We have $x_{i^{\prime}} \neq-x_{j^{\prime}}$ and
(a) $x_{i^{\prime}}$ and $x_{j^{\prime}}$ belong to different tuples and $x_{j^{\prime}}$ does not belong to $\tau$.
(b) $x_{i^{\prime}}$ and $x_{j^{\prime}}$ belong to different tuples and $x_{j^{\prime}}$ belongs to $\tau$.
(c) $x_{i^{\prime}}$ and $x_{j^{\prime}}$ belong to the same tuple which is not $\tau$.

And we have similar cases for $s_{\beta}=\left(i^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime \prime}\right)$ or $\left(\widetilde{i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}}\right)$. Observe that if both $s_{\alpha}$ and $s_{\beta}$ correspond to the same case, then they interchange coordinates (signs) between the same tuples, hence, we can take the same $w$ as before.

Since $\widehat{s_{\alpha} u}=\widehat{s_{\beta} u}$ and the action by $W_{Q}$ preserves the numbers of equal coordinates in the first $r$ tuples, $s_{\alpha}$ and $s_{\beta}$ either both correspond to the case (1a), or they both correspond to the case (2a), or they both correspond to the remaining cases. Hence, we reduce to the situation when $s_{\alpha}, s_{\beta}$ correspond to different cases among (1b), (2b) or (2c).

Suppose $s_{\alpha}$ corresponds to (1b) and $s_{\beta}$ corresponds to $(2 \mathrm{~b})$, then we can take $w=\left(i^{\prime} j^{\prime}\right) \widetilde{\left(i^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime \prime}\right)}$ which is in $W_{u}$ and $\beta=w(\alpha)$.

Suppose $s_{\alpha}$ corresponds either to $(1 \mathrm{~b})$ or $(2 \mathrm{~b})$ and $s_{\beta}$ corresponds to (2c). Without loss of generality we may assume $x_{j^{\prime \prime}}=0$, which implies $x_{i^{\prime}}=x_{i^{\prime \prime}}=-x_{j^{\prime}} \neq 0$ for (1b) and $x_{i^{\prime}}=x_{i^{\prime \prime}}=x_{j^{\prime}} \neq 0$ for (2b). If $\tau$ does not have zeros as coordinates, then $s_{\alpha}(\mu)$ and $s_{\beta}(\mu)$ belong to different $W_{Q}$-orbits, a contradiction. Therefore, $\tau$ must contain a zero coordinate.

Observe that $s_{\alpha}$ and $s_{\beta}$ are not $W_{u}$-conjugate (if they are conjugate by an element of $W_{Q}$, then $j^{\prime}, j^{\prime \prime}$ have to be in the same tuple as well as $\left.i^{\prime}, i^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Moreover, if $\tau$ contains a zero coordinate and $x_{j^{\prime \prime}}=0, x_{i^{\prime}}=x_{i^{\prime \prime}}=-x_{j^{\prime}} \neq 0$ (resp. $x_{j^{\prime \prime}}=0$, $x_{i^{\prime}}=x_{i^{\prime \prime}}=x_{j^{\prime}} \neq 0$ ), then $\left(i^{\prime} j^{\prime}\right)(\mu)$ (resp. $\left.\widetilde{\left(i^{\prime} j^{\prime}\right)}(\mu)\right)$ and $\widetilde{\left(i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}\right)}(\mu)$ belong to the same $W_{Q}$-orbit but are not $W_{Q}$-conjugate.

These arguments allow us to prove the following

Proposition 3.2. For the type $D$, the closure of a double moment graph ${ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}$ does not coincide with $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ if and only if both $\Theta^{\prime}=\Theta_{P}$ and $\Theta^{\prime}=\Theta_{Q}$ satisfy
(i) $\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n} \in \Theta^{\prime}$ and (ii) $\Theta^{\prime} \neq\left\{\alpha_{s}, \alpha_{s+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$ for any $s \leq n-1$.

Proof. If condition (i) for $\Theta_{Q}$ holds, then the tuple $\tau$ above contains at least two coordinates. Condition (ii) for $\Theta_{Q}$ says that some other tuple contains at least two coordinates, so the case (2c) above may appear. Condition (i) for $\Theta_{P}$ is equivalent to the condition that $\mu$ has at least two zero coordinates. Permuting coordinates we may assume that one zero coordinate belongs to the last tuple $\tau$ and another one belongs to a different tuple $\tau^{\prime}$. Condition (ii) for $\Theta_{P}$ means that $\mu$ contains two non-zero coordinates such that one belongs to $\tau$ and another one to $\tau^{\prime}$.

Type $B$. We realize a root system of type $B$ as a subset of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\Sigma=\left\{ \pm\left(e_{i}+e_{j}\right), \pm\left(e_{i}-e_{j}\right), \pm e_{i} \mid i \neq j, i, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are the standard basis vectors. We fix a set of simple roots

$$
\Theta=\left\{\alpha_{1}=e_{1}-e_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}=e_{n-1}-e_{n}, \alpha_{n}=e_{n}\right\}
$$

The Weyl group $W$ acts by permutations and sign changes, i.e., reflections are given by usual transpositions, by signed transpositions, and by sign changes

$$
(i j): e_{i} \mapsto e_{j}, e_{j} \mapsto e_{i} \quad \widetilde{(i j)}: e_{i} \mapsto-e_{j}, e_{j} \mapsto-e_{i}, \quad \widetilde{(i)}: e_{i} \mapsto-e_{i}
$$

Example 3.3. For the Weyl group $W=\left\langle s_{1}=(12), s_{2}=\widetilde{(2)}\right\rangle$ of type $B_{2}$ set $\Theta_{P}=\left\{\alpha_{2}\right\}$ and $\Theta_{Q}=\left\{\alpha_{1}\right\}$. Then

$$
W^{P}=\left\{e, s_{1}, s_{2} s_{1}, s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}\right\}
$$

Set $\theta=e_{1}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$ to be the dominant vector with stabilizer $W_{P}$. Then in terms of $W$-orbits we get

$$
W^{P}=\{(1,0),(0,1),(0,-1),(-1,0)\} .
$$

As for double cosets we obtain ${ }^{Q} W^{P}=\left\{e, s_{2} s_{1}\right\}=\{(1,0),(0,-1)\}$. We have

$$
\widehat{s_{e_{1}+e_{2}}}=\widehat{s_{2} s_{1} s_{2}}=s_{2} s_{1} \text { and } \widehat{s_{e_{1}}}=\widehat{s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}}=s_{2} s_{1}
$$

Observe that the roots $e_{1}$ and $e_{1}+e_{2}$ belong to different $W_{Q}$-orbits.
Similar arguments as in type $D$ imply the following type $B$ version of Proposition 3.2 .

Proposition 3.4. For the type $B$ the closure of a double moment graph ${ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}$ coincides with $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ if and only if one of the following is satisfied (we assume a type $B_{1}$ subsystem is generated by $\alpha_{n}$ only)

- Both $\Theta_{P}$ and $\Theta_{Q}$ are subsystems of type $B$,
- Both $\Theta_{P}$ and $\Theta_{Q}$ do not contain a type $B$ subsystem,
- Either $\Theta_{P}$ or $\Theta_{Q}$ coincides with $\Theta$.


## 4. Equivariant algebraic oriented cohomology

Formal group laws. Let $R$ be a commutative unital ring. Consider a one-dimensional commutative formal group law $F$ over $R$ that is a power series in two variables $F(x, y) \in R[[x, y]]$ which satisfies $F(x, y)=F(y, x), F(x, 0)=x, F(F(x, y), z)=$ $F(x, F(y, z))$. It can be written as

$$
F(x, y)=x+y+\sum_{i, j>0} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}, \text { for some coefficients } a_{i j} \in R
$$

There is a formal inverse $-{ }_{F} x$ that is a power series in one variable satisfying $F\left(x,-{ }_{F} x\right)=0$. The ring generated by all $a_{i j}$ 's modulo relations imposed by commutativity and associativity is called the Lazard ring $\mathbb{L}$. There is a universal formal group law $F_{U}$ over $\mathbb{L}$ together with the evaluation map $F_{U} \rightarrow F$ (given by evaluating the coefficients). We set $x+_{F} y=F(x, y)$.
Example 4.1. Basic examples of formal group laws (f.g.l.) are
The additive f.g.l. $x+_{F} y=x+y$ over $R=\mathbb{Z}$ with $F_{U} \rightarrow F$ given by $a_{i j} \mapsto 0$ and $-{ }_{F} x=-x$.

The multiplicative f.g.l. $x+_{F} y=x+y-\beta x y$ over $R=\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ with $F_{U} \rightarrow F$ given by $a_{11} \mapsto-\beta, a_{i j} \mapsto 0$ for all $i, j>1$ and ${ }_{F} x=\frac{x}{\beta x-1}$. If $\beta$ is invertible and $R=\mathbb{Z}\left[\beta^{ \pm 1}\right]$, then the respective $F$ is called multiplicative periodic.

Equivariant cohomology theories. We fix a base field $k$ of characteristic 0 . Let $G$ be a split semisimple (not necessarily simply-connected) linear algebraic group over $k$. Consider a category of $G$-varieties over $k$ with $G$-equivariant maps as morphisms. We denote by $\mathrm{h}_{G}$ a $G$-equivariant algebraic oriented Borel-Moore homology theory introduced by Heller-Malagon-Lopez in HM13 (it is obtained from a usual oriented Borel-Moore homology theory h of Levine-Morel [LM07] generalizing the Totaro construction To99).

A key property of $h$ and, hence, of $h_{G}$ is that it has characteristic classes which satisfy the Quillen formula for the tensor product of line bundles [LM07, Lemma 1.1.3]. Namely,

$$
c_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)=F\left(c_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right), c_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)\right)
$$

where $c_{1}$ is the first characteristic class in the theory $\mathrm{h}, \mathcal{L}_{i}$ is a line bundle over some variety $X$ and $F(x, y) \in R[[x, y]]$ is the associated formal group law over $R=\mathrm{h}(p t)$ (here $p t=S p e c k$ ).

There is a correspondence between h's and $F$ 's: given h one associates the respective $F$ from the Quillen formula and given $F$ over $R$ one defines

$$
\mathrm{h}(-):=\Omega(-) \otimes_{\Omega(p t)} R
$$

where $\Omega$ is the universal oriented theory (algebraic cobordism of Levine-Morel) over the $\Omega(p t)$. Observe that theories obtained in such a way satisfy short localization sequence

$$
\mathrm{h}(Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}(U) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $U$ is open in $X$ and $Z=X \backslash U$.
Example 4.2. The Chow theory $\mathrm{h}=C H$ corresponds to an additive formal group law $F(x, y)=x+y$ over $R=\mathbb{Z}$ [LM07, Ex.1.1.4] and $C H_{G}$ is the $G$-equivariant Chow group in the sense of Totaro [To99, EG98].

Example 4.3. Following [LM07, Ex.1.1.4] consider a Grothendieck $K^{0}$. Let

$$
K^{0}(X)\left[\beta^{ \pm 1}\right]=K^{0}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\beta^{ \pm 1}\right]
$$

be its $\mathbb{Z}$-graded version, where $\beta$ is the $\operatorname{Bott}$ element (here we assign $\operatorname{deg} \beta=-1$ and $\operatorname{deg} x=0$ for all $\left.x \in K^{0}(X)\right)$. Then $K^{0}(X)\left[\beta^{ \pm 1}\right]$ is an oriented theory in the sense of LM07 which corresponds to the multiplicative periodic formal group law $F(x, y)=x+y-\beta x y$ over $R=\mathbb{Z}\left[\beta^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and $K_{G}^{0}(X)$ is the equivariant $K$-theory. If we set $\beta=1$, then the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded version of $K^{0}$ is the direct sum of copies of $K^{0}(X)$ with each copy assigned the respective degree.

Example 4.4. If $F$ is a formal group law of an elliptic curve, then it was shown in [ZZ17] that the associated oriented cohomology theory $\mathrm{h}_{G}$ is the local version of the equivariant elliptic cohomology constructed by Ginzburg-Kapranov-Vasserot GKV.
Example 4.5. The $G$-equivariant algebraic cobordism $\Omega_{G}$ constructed in HM13 corresponds to a universal formal group law $F_{U}$ over the Lazard ring $\mathbb{L}$.

Equivariant coefficient rings. Suppose that $G=T$ is a split torus. Consider the character group $T^{*}$. Following [CPZ, §2] consider a completion $R\left[\left[x_{\lambda}\right]\right]_{\lambda \in T^{*}}$ of the polynomial ring $R\left[x_{\lambda}\right]_{\lambda \in T^{*}}$ in variables $x_{\lambda}$ with respect to the kernel of the augmentation map $x_{\lambda} \mapsto 0$. Set

$$
S=R\left[\left[x_{\lambda}\right]\right]_{\lambda \in T^{*}} / J
$$

where $J$ is the closure of the ideal of relations $x_{0}=0$ and $x_{\lambda+\mu}=x_{\lambda}+{ }_{F} x_{\mu}$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in T^{*}$. The ring $S$ is called a formal group algebra associated to $G$ and $F$. According to [CZZ2, §3], the $R$-algebra $S$ is the completion of the $T$-equivariant coefficient ring $\mathrm{h}_{T}(p t)$ along the kernel of the forgetful $\operatorname{map} \mathrm{h}_{T}(p t) \rightarrow R$, i.e.

$$
S \simeq \mathrm{~h}_{T}(p t)^{\wedge}
$$

Under this identification $x_{\lambda}$ is the first characteristic class $c_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right)$ of the associated line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$.

Example 4.6. For the additive f.g.l., i.e., for the Chow theory, if $G=P G L_{2}$, then $S=\mathbb{Z}[[\alpha]]$ where $\alpha$ is a simple root. If $G=S L_{2}$, then $S=\mathbb{Z}[[\omega]]$ where $\omega$ is a fundamental weight $(\alpha=2 \omega)$.
Example 4.7. For the multiplicative periodic f.g.l., i.e. for $K^{0}(-)\left[\beta^{ \pm 1}\right]$, the formal group algebra is the completed group ring $R\left[T^{*}\right]^{\wedge}$ (here we identify $x_{\lambda}$ with $\left.\beta^{-1}\left(1-e^{-\lambda}\right)\right)$. For instance, if $G=S L_{2}$, then $S=R\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]^{\wedge}$, where $t=e^{\omega}$ and the completion is taken with respect to the kernel of $R\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right] \rightarrow R, t \mapsto 1$.
Remark 4.8. If the formal group law $F$ is a polynomial (e.g. for $C H$ or $K^{0}$ ) and $X$ is a projective homogeneous $G$-variety, in all subsequents construction we may substitute $S$ by its non-completed version (the polynomial ring $S\left(T^{*}\right)$ and the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[T^{*}\right]$ respectively) as it does not affect the product structure in cohomology.

## 5. Oriented cohomology sheaves on moment graphs

Oriented sheaves. Let $G$ be a split semisimple linear algebraic group over $k$, let $T$ be its split maximal torus. Let $\mathrm{h}_{G}(-)$ (resp. $\mathrm{h}_{T}(-)$ ) be a $G$-equivariant (resp. $T$-equivariant) algebraic oriented cohomology theory. Let $\Sigma=\Sigma^{+} \amalg \Sigma^{-} \supset \Theta$ be
the associated root datum and let $\mathcal{G}=\left((V, \leq), l: E \rightarrow \Sigma^{+}\right)$be a moment graph. For an edge $x \rightarrow y \in E$, we will write $x-y$ if we consider it only as an unordered pair of vertices. Observe that any root (character) $\alpha: T^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}$ corresponds to a $T$-equivariant line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$ over $p t$ and, hence, it gives the characteristic class $c_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}\right) \in \mathrm{h}_{T}(p t)$.

The following definition extends to any equivariant algebraic oriented cohomology theory the notion of moment graph sheaves from BMP.

Definition 5.1. An h-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{G}$ is given by the data $\left(\left\{\mathcal{F}^{x}\right\},\left\{\mathcal{F}^{x-y}\right\},\left\{\rho_{x, x-y}\right\}\right)$, where:
(SH1) for all $x \in V, \mathcal{F}^{x}$ is an $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)$-module,
(SH2) for all $x-y \in E, \mathcal{F}^{x-y}$ is an $\mathrm{h}_{T}(p t)$-module such that

$$
c_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{l(x-y)}\right) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{x-y}=(0)
$$

i.e. it is annihilated by the respective $T$-equivariant characteristic class in the theory h,
(SH3) for $x \in V$ and $x-y \in E$, the map $\rho_{x, E}: \mathcal{F}^{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{x-y}$ is a homomorphism of $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)$-modules.

Example 5.2. Let $\mathrm{h}=C H(-; \mathbb{Q})$ be the Chow theory with rational coefficients. Then $\mathrm{h}_{T}(p t)$ is the polynomial ring in simple roots over $\mathbb{Q}$ denoted simply by $S$. The Weyl group $W$ of $G$ acts naturally on $S$. Its subring of invariants $S^{W}$ can be identified with $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)$. The following data define an $C H(-; \mathbb{Q})$-sheaf on the parabolic moment graph $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ of Example 2.3 .
(SH1) $\mathcal{F}^{x}=S$, for all $x \in W^{P}$,
(SH2) for all $x, y \in W^{P}, y=\overline{s_{\alpha} x}, \alpha \in \Sigma^{+}, \mathcal{F}^{x-y}=S / \alpha S$,
(SH3) each map $\rho_{x, E}$ is simply the quotient $S \rightarrow S / \alpha S$.
This sheaf is called sheaf of rings in BMP, and structure sheaf in Fi08 and subsequent papers.

Example 5.3. Let $\mathrm{h}=K^{0}(-)\left[\beta^{ \pm 1}\right], \beta=1$ be the $K$-theory. Then $\mathrm{h}_{T}(p t)$ is the group ring $Z=\mathbb{Z}\left[T^{*}\right]$ in characters of $T$. The subring of invariants $Z^{W}$ can be identified with $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)$. Each root $\alpha$ defines the characteristic class $c_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}\right)=1-e^{-\alpha}$. The following data define an $K^{0}$-sheaf on $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ :
(SH1) $\mathcal{F}^{x}=Z$, for all $x \in W^{P}$,
(SH2) for all $x, y \in W^{P}, y=\overline{s_{\alpha} x}, \alpha \in \Sigma^{+}, \mathcal{F}^{x-y}=Z /\left(1-e^{-\alpha}\right) Z$,
(SH3) each map $\rho_{x, E}$ is simply the quotient $Z \rightarrow Z /\left(1-e^{-\alpha}\right) Z$.
Oriented structure sheaves. More generally, we have
Example 5.4. For an arbitrary oriented cohomology theory $h$ the following data define an h-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ :
(SH1) for all $x \in W^{P}$, we set $\mathcal{F}^{x}:=S$, where $S=\mathrm{h}_{T}(p t)^{\wedge}$;
(SH2) for all $x-x^{\prime} \in E$, we set $\mathcal{F}^{x-x^{\prime}}:=S / x_{l\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)} S$;
(SH3) for $x \in W^{P}$ and $x \rightarrow x^{\prime} \in E$, we set $\rho_{x, x-x^{\prime}}$ to be the quotient map $S \rightarrow S / x_{l\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)} S ;$
We call such $\mathcal{F}$ a (parabolic) structure h-sheaf on $\mathcal{G}^{P}$ and denote it by $\mathcal{O}^{P}$.

Extending to double moment graphs we obtain
Example 5.5. In the notation of Section 2 consider a double moment graph

$$
{ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}=\left(\left({ }^{Q} W^{P}, \leq\right), l:{ }^{Q} E^{P} \rightarrow \Sigma^{+}\right)
$$

for $G, P$ and $Q$. The following data define an h-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on ${ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}$ :
(SH1) for all $u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$, we set $\mathcal{F}^{x}:=S^{W_{u}}$, where $S=\mathrm{h}_{T}(p t)^{\wedge}$;
(SH2) for all $u-u^{\prime} \in{ }^{Q} E^{P}$, we set $\mathcal{F}^{u-u^{\prime}}:=S / c_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{l\left(u-u^{\prime}\right)}\right) S$;
(SH3a) for $u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$ and $u \rightarrow u^{\prime} \in{ }^{Q} E^{P}$, we set

$$
\rho_{u, u \rightarrow u^{\prime}}:=\operatorname{can} \circ \iota: \mathcal{F}^{u} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{u \rightarrow u^{\prime}}
$$

where $\iota: S^{W_{u}} \hookrightarrow S$ is the inclusion, and can: $S \rightarrow S / c_{1}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{l\left(u \rightarrow u^{\prime}\right)}\right) S$ is the canonical quotient map;
(SH3b) Since $u^{\prime}=s_{l\left(u \rightarrow u^{\prime}\right)} u \Longleftrightarrow s_{l\left(u \rightarrow u^{\prime}\right)} u \in w u^{\prime} W_{P}, w \in W_{Q}^{u^{\prime}}$, we set

$$
\rho_{u^{\prime}, u \rightarrow u^{\prime}}:=\operatorname{can} \circ \iota_{w}: \mathcal{F}^{u^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{u \rightarrow u^{\prime}}
$$

where $\iota_{w}: S^{W_{u^{\prime}}} \hookrightarrow S$, is a twisted inclusion $s \mapsto w(s)$.
We call such $\mathcal{F}$ a (double) structure h -sheaf and denote it ${ }^{Q} \mathcal{O}^{P}$.
The following is a natural generalization to our setting of the module of local sections of a moment graph sheaf from [BMP, §1.3].

Definition 5.6. Let $I$ be a subset of $V$, the module of local sections of an h-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a moment graph $\mathcal{G}$ is defined as

$$
\Gamma(I, \mathcal{F}):=\left\{\left(m_{x}\right) \in \prod_{x \in I} \mathcal{F}^{x} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
\rho_{x, x-y}\left(m_{x}\right)=\rho_{y, y-x}\left(m_{y}\right) \\
\forall x-y \in E, x, y \in I
\end{array}\right.\right\}
$$

We write $\Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ for the module of global sections $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{F})$ of the h-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$.
Example 5.7. For the structure $C H(-; \mathbb{Q})$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ we have $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \simeq C H_{T}(G / P ; \mathbb{Q})$ and for the structure $K^{0}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ we have $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \simeq K_{T}^{0}(G / P)$. By [CZZ, Thm. 11.9] for an arbitrary $h$ we have

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}^{h}\right) \simeq \mathrm{h}_{T}(G / P)
$$

Later we will show (see Theorem 9.1.(B)) that if $\left\langle{ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}\right\rangle=\mathcal{G}^{P}$, then

$$
\Gamma\left({ }^{Q} \mathcal{O}^{P}\right) \simeq \mathrm{h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P)^{W}
$$

Equivariant oriented sheaves. We define an equivariant moment sheaf as follows
Definition 5.8. Given a $W$-equivariant moment graph $\mathcal{G}$ of Definition 2.5, we say that an h-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{G}$ is $W$-equivariant if it satisfies the following condition
(SH4) for all $x \in V, W$ acts by $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)$-linear automorphisms on $\mathcal{F}^{x}$ and for each $w \in W$ there is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)$-modules $w\left(\mathcal{F}^{w^{-1} x}\right) \simeq \mathcal{F}^{x}$.

Definition 5.9. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a $W$-equivariant moment graph and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $W$ equivariant h -sheaf on $\mathcal{G}$. Let $I$ be a $W$-invariant subset of $V$. The module of $W$-invariant local sections of $\mathcal{F}$ is set to be

$$
\Gamma(I, \mathcal{F})^{W}:=\left\{\left(m_{x}\right) \in \Gamma(I, \mathcal{F}) \mid w\left(m_{x}\right)=m_{w(x)} \forall w \in W\right\}
$$

Remark 5.10. The study of the module of invariant local sections of the socalled BMP-sheaf (see, for example, [La12, §4]) on a Bruhat graph (equivariant with respect to an action of a Coxeter group) is a fundamental step in [La12, §6] towards the categorification of certain equalities of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

Example 5.11. Since $W_{Q}$ acts on $S$ via the action of the Weyl group on $\mathrm{h}_{T}(p t)$, the parabolic structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}^{P}$ is $W_{Q}$-equivariant. Later we will show (see Theorem 9.1.(A)) that

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}^{P}\right)^{W_{Q}} \simeq \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P)^{W}
$$

## 6. Oriented cohomology of flag varieties

Let $G$ be a split semi-simple linear agebraic group over $k$. We choose a split maximal torus $T$ inside $G$. Let $B$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$ containing $T$. Let $F$ be a formal group law over $R$ corresponding to h .
The Borel case. Consider a complete flag variety $G / B$. We recall a construction of the $T$-equivarant oriented cohomology $\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / B)$ which depends on the root system $\Sigma$ of $G$, the character group $T^{*}$ of $T$ and the formal group law $F$ of the theory h following [HMSZ, CZZ] (which is an extension of the arguments by Kostant-Kumar KK86, KK90 to the context of an arbitrary h).

First, we define a twisted group algebra of the Weyl group $W$ as the smash product $S_{W}=S \# R[W]$, where $S=\mathrm{h}_{T}(p t)^{\wedge}$. By definition, $S_{W}$ is a free left $S$ module with basis $\delta_{w}, w \in W$ and multiplication satisfying $w(q) \delta_{w}=\delta_{w} q$. The $S$-linear dual $S_{W}^{\star}$ can be identified with the $T$-equivariant oriented cohomology of the $T$-fixed point set, i.e.,

$$
S_{W}^{\star}=\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(S_{W}, S\right)=\operatorname{Hom}(W, S) \simeq \mathrm{h}_{T}\left((G / B)^{T}\right)=\oplus_{w \in W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}\left(p t_{w}\right)
$$

Observe that $S_{W}^{\star}$ is a commutative ring where the product is given by the usual point-wise multiplication of functions $W \rightarrow S$ and at the same time it is induced by a cocommutative coproduct $\triangle: S_{W} \rightarrow S_{W} \otimes S_{W}, q \delta_{w} \mapsto q \delta_{w} \otimes \delta_{w}$.

Let $Q=S\left[\frac{1}{x_{\alpha}}, \alpha \in \Sigma\right]$ be the localization of $S$ at all roots and let $Q_{W}=Q \# R[W]$ be the respective twisted group algebra. Following HMSZ we define the formal affine Demazure algebra $\mathbb{D}_{F}$ to be the subalgebra of $Q_{W}$ generated by push-pull elements

$$
Y_{i}=\frac{1}{x_{-\alpha_{i}}}+\frac{1}{x_{\alpha_{i}}} \delta_{s_{i}},
$$

for all simple roots $\alpha_{i}$ and multiplications by elements of $S$. The constant part of $\mathbb{D}_{F}$, i.e. the subalgebra generated only by $Y_{i}$ 's over $R$, will be denoted by $D_{F}$.

Example 6.1. For the Chow theory, $D_{F}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathbb{D}_{F}\right)$ is a nil-Coxeter (resp. affine nil-Hecke) algebra. For the Grothendieck $K^{0}, D_{F}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathbb{D}_{F}\right)$ is a (resp. affine) 0 -Hecke algebra.

Finally, there is a ring isomorphism $\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / B) \simeq \mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}$ between the $T$-equivariant oriented cohomology and the $S$-dual of $\mathbb{D}_{F}$. The natural inclusion $\eta: S_{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{F}$ induces the inclusion $\eta^{*}: \mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star} \rightarrow S_{W}^{\star}$ which is the restriction to the $T$-fixed point locus $\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / B) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{h}_{T}\left((G / B)^{T}\right)$.

The characteristic map. The algebra $\mathbb{D}_{F}$ acts on its dual $\mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}$ by means of the Hecke action ' $\bullet$ ', defined by $\left(Q_{W}\right.$ acts on $\left.Q_{W}^{*}\right)$

$$
q \delta_{w} \bullet p f_{v}=p v w^{-1}(q) f_{v w^{-1}}, \quad p, q \in Q
$$

where $f_{v}$ is the standard basis (i.e., dual to $\delta_{w}$ ) for $Q$-module $Q_{W}^{*}$. Under this action the elements $Y_{i}$ of $\mathbb{D}_{F}$ correspond to the classical push-pull operators

$$
Y_{i} \bullet-: \mathrm{h}_{T}(G / B) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}_{T}\left(G / P_{i}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}_{T}(G / B)
$$

where $P_{i}$ is the minimal parabolic subgroup corresponding to the simple root $\alpha_{i}$.
The equivariant characteristic map [CZZ1, Def. 6.8] $c: Q \rightarrow Q_{W}^{*}$ defined by $q \mapsto q \bullet 1$ restricts to $c: S \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}=\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / B)$. By definition, $c$ is a $W$-equivariant $R$-algebra homomorphism given by $c(q)=\sum_{w \in W} w(q) f_{w}$. Consider the map

$$
\rho: S \otimes_{S^{W}} S \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / B), \quad\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \mapsto s \cdot c\left(s^{\prime}\right)
$$

The restriction to the $T$-fixed locus is an isomorphism if and only if the map $\rho$ is an isomorphism (called the Borel isomorphism).

The Borel isomorphism holds if the ring of coefficients $R$ contains $\mathbb{Q}$ or if $\mathrm{h}=K_{0}$ and $G$ is simply-connected [St75]. For Chow groups with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients it fails in general (the image of $\eta^{*}$ has a finite index).
The parabolic case. Let $P$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$ and let $G / P$ be the respective projective homogeneous variety. Let $W_{P}$ denote the Weyl group of the Levipart of $P$. The above constructions can be extended to describe the $T$-equivariant oriented cohomology $\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / P)$ as follows.

We first define $\mathbb{D}_{F, P}$ to be the image of $\mathbb{D}_{F}$ under the composite $\mathbb{D}_{F} \rightarrow Q_{W} \rightarrow$ $Q_{W / W_{P}}$, where $Q_{W / W_{P}}$ is a free $Q$-module spanned by elements $\delta_{\bar{w}}$ corresponding to cosets $\bar{w} \in W / W_{P}$. Observe that $\mathbb{D}_{F, P}$ is not a ring but only a free left $S$-module. We set $\mathbb{D}_{F, P}^{\star}=\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(\mathbb{D}_{F, P}, S\right)$. The product on $Q_{W}^{*}$ descends to the product on $\mathbb{D}_{F, P}^{\star}$ and turns it into an algebra. The canonical map $\mathbb{D}_{F, P}^{\star} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}$ induced by the projection $Q_{W} \rightarrow Q_{W / W_{P}}$ is injective, moreover, its image can be identified with the $W_{P}$-invariant subring via the Hecke action.

Finally, there are ring isomorphisms

$$
\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / P) \simeq\left(\mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}\right)^{W_{P}} \simeq \mathbb{D}_{F, P}^{\star} .
$$

The restriction to the $T$-fixed point locus $\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / P) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}_{T}\left((G / P)^{T}\right)$ is then induced by $S_{W / W_{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{F, P}$. The Borel map restricts to $W_{P}$-invariants as well and turns into

$$
\phi_{P}: S \otimes_{S^{W}} S^{W_{P}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / P)
$$

Therefore, if $R$ contains $\mathbb{Q}$ we can identify $\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / P)$ with $S \otimes_{S^{W}} S^{W_{P}}$.

## 7. The oriented cohomology of the product

We now fix two parabolic subgroups $P, Q$ of $G$, and denote by $W_{P}$, resp. $W_{Q}$, the Weyl groups of the Levi part of $P$, resp. $Q$. Our goal is to describe $\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / P)$ as an $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)$-module generated by classes of orbits parametrized by double cosets.

We follow the notation of Section 2. For any $u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$, we consider the parabolic subgroup $P_{u}$ of $G$ defined as $P_{u}:=R_{\text {unip }}(Q) \cdot\left(Q \cap u P u^{-1}\right)$. Then the Weyl group of its Levi part is $W_{u}=W_{Q} \cap u W_{P} u^{-1}$.

Orbit stratification. Consider a diagonal action of $G$ on the products of two projective homogeneous varieties $G / Q \times G / P$. Following [CM06, §2] the $G$-orbits in $G / Q \times G / P$ are parametrized by double cosets $W_{Q} \backslash W / W_{P}$. If $u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$, then the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{u}$ corresponding to $u$ is the orbit of the pair $\left(Q, u P u^{-1}\right)$. The closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{w}$ contains $\mathcal{O}_{v}$ for another minimal double coset representative $v$ if and only if $v \leq w$ in the Bruhat order on $W$.

For each orbit there is a $G$-equivariant affine fibration of rank $l(u)$ (see CM06, §4])

$$
\mathcal{O}_{u} \simeq G /\left(Q \cap u P u^{-1}\right) \rightarrow G / P_{u}
$$

In other words, $G / Q \times G / P$ has a $G$-equivariant relative cellular structure with a base consisting of varieties $\left\{G / P_{u}\right\}_{u \in \in^{Q} W^{P}}$.

By definition, the only closed orbit in $G / Q \times G / P$ corresponds to $u=e$ and if either $P$ or $Q$ is a Borel subgroup, then $P_{u}=B$ for any $u$.
Example 7.1. Consider a particular case $P=Q=B$. Then ${ }^{Q} W^{P}=W$.
The closures of orbits $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{w}$ admit $G$-equivariant resolutions of singularities $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{w}$ as follows (c.f. [NPSZ, §5]): Let $w=s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \ldots s_{i_{l}}$ be a reduced expression as a product of simple reflections. Then the image of the projection to the first and the last factors

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{w}=G / B \times_{G / P_{i_{1}}} G / B \times_{G / P_{i_{2}}} \times \ldots \times G / B \rightarrow G / B \times G / B
$$

is the closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{w}$.
Cohomology decomposition. The $G$-equivariant relative cellular structure on $G / B \times$ $G / B$ then induces a direct sum decomposition of $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)$-modules

$$
\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / B \times G / B) \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathrm{~h}_{G}(G / B)\left[\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{w}\right] \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in W} S\left[\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{w}\right]
$$

For parabolic subgroups $P$ and $Q$ taking the projection of the same $G$-equivariant resolution $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{u}$ for a representative $u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$ gives the resolution for the respective closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{u}}$, i.e.

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{u} \rightarrow G / B \times G / B \rightarrow G / Q \times G / P
$$

The $G$-equivariant cellular structure on $G / Q \times G / P$ then induces a direct sum decomposition of $h_{G}(p t)$-modules

$$
\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / P) \simeq \bigoplus_{u \in^{Q} W^{P}} \mathrm{~h}_{G}\left(G / P_{u}\right)\left[\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{u}\right]
$$

i.e., any element $x$ of $\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / P)$ can be written uniquely as $x=\sum_{u \in^{Q} W^{P}} x_{u}$, where $x_{u} \in \mathrm{~h}_{G}\left(G / P_{u}\right)$. Observe that $\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / P)$ is both $\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q)$-module and $\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / P)$-module via the pull-backs induced by projections $G / Q \times G / P \rightarrow$ $G / Q, G / P$.

Consider the forgetful map $\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / P) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P)$. It is induced by restricting the coefficients $\mathrm{h}_{G}\left(G / P_{u}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}_{T}\left(G / P_{u}\right)$ which is not necessarily injective. However, in some cases, e.g. if either $P=B$ or $Q=B \Longrightarrow P_{u}=B$, we obtain an embedding $S \simeq \mathrm{~h}_{G}(G / B) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{h}_{T}(G / B) \simeq \mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}$.

Let $\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(X)$ denote the image of the forgetful map $\mathrm{h}_{G}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}_{T}(X)$. Then
$\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / B)=\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(G / Q \times G / B), \quad \mathrm{h}_{G}(G / B \times G / P)=\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(G / B \times G / P)$ and

$$
\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(G / Q \times G / P) \simeq \bigoplus_{u \in \mathcal{Q}^{P}} \mathrm{~h}_{G \mid T}\left(G / P_{u}\right)\left[\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{u}\right]
$$

Remark 7.2. The case when $P=B$ or $Q=B$ is exactly the case when the base of the cellular filtration consists of one variety only that is $G / B$, i.e., $G / Q \times G / B$ or $G / B \times G / P$ is a $G$-equivariant cellular space over $G / B$ via the second or the first projection. The latter implies that the respective cohomology is a free $S$-module.

Remark 7.3. There are examples of $G, P \neq B$ and $Q \neq B$ such that all maps $\mathrm{h}_{G}\left(G / P_{u}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}_{T}\left(G / P_{u}\right)$ are injective, and $\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / P)=\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(G / Q \times G / P)$.

Since both $G / Q$ and $G / P$ are $T$-cellular spaces, by [NPSZ, $\S 3]$ there is a Künneth isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{h}_{T}(p t)}\left(\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / Q), \mathrm{h}_{T}(G / P)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by $\left[\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{u}\right] \mapsto Y_{I_{u}} \bullet-$, where $I_{u}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}\right)$ is a reduced word for $u$ and $Y_{I_{u}}$ is the composite $Y_{i_{1}} \ldots Y_{i_{l}}$ of push-pull operators.

## 8. The $W$-action on the product

In the present section we extend arguments of [CNZ, §3] (where the case of $X=G / P$ was treated) to a product of two projective homogeneous varieties. We show that $\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(G / Q \times G / P)$ embeds into the $W$-invariants of $\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P)$ in a way compatible with the orbit stratification (see Corollary 8.3).
Geometric $W$-action. Let $X$ be an arbitrary (left) $G$-variety. Recall that there is a natural (left) action of $W$ on $\mathrm{h}_{T}(X)$. It can be either realized by pull-backs induced by a right action of $W$ on each step of the Borel construction

$$
V \times^{T} X=V \times X /(v, x) \sim\left(v t, t^{-1} x\right), \quad t \in T
$$

given by

$$
(v, x) T \cdot \sigma T=\left(v \sigma, \sigma^{-1} x\right) T, \quad \sigma \in N_{G}(T)
$$

where $V$ is taken to have a right $G$-action; or through the natural isomorphism $\mathrm{h}_{T}(X) \simeq \mathrm{h}_{G}(G / T \times X)$ and a $G$-equivariant right action of $W$ on the variety $G / T \times_{k} X$ given by the formula $(g T, x) \cdot \sigma T=(g T \sigma, x)$.

Now let $X=G / Q \times G / P$ be a product of projective homogeneous varieties where $G$ acts diagonally. Consider the stratification of $X$ into $G$-orbits $\mathcal{O}_{u}, u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$. We identify the set of $T$-fixed points of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{u}$ with a finite constant scheme $W / W_{u}$ with trivial $T$-action. In the induced pullback (the $T$-fixed point locus restriction)

$$
\eta_{P Q}: \mathrm{h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{u \in \mathcal{Q}^{P}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}\left(W / W_{u}\right)
$$

we identify $\mathrm{h}_{T}\left(W / W_{u}\right)$ with the ring of all set-theoretic maps $\operatorname{Maps}\left(W / W_{u}, \mathrm{~h}_{T}(p t)\right)$ via

$$
\mathrm{h}\left(V \times^{T} W / W_{u}\right)=\oplus_{x W_{u} \in W / W_{u}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(p t)=\operatorname{Maps}\left(W / W_{u}, \mathrm{~h}_{T}(p t)\right)
$$

where the class of $\left(v, x W_{u}\right) T$ maps to $x W_{u} \mapsto[v T]$.

Lemma 8.1. Consider the left $W$-action on $\operatorname{Maps}\left(W / W_{u}, \mathrm{~h}_{T}(p t)\right)$ given by

$$
(w \cdot f)(x)=w \cdot f\left(w^{-1} x\right), \quad x \in W / W_{u}, f \in \operatorname{Maps}\left(W / W_{u}, \mathrm{~h}_{T}(p t)\right)
$$

Then the pullback map

$$
\eta_{P Q}: \mathrm{h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{u \in^{Q} W^{P}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}\left(W / W_{u}\right)=\bigoplus_{u \in Q W^{P}} \operatorname{Maps}\left(W / W_{u}, \mathrm{~h}_{T}(p t)\right)
$$

is $W$-equivariant.
Proof. Recall that the action of $W$ on $U \times{ }^{T}(G / Q \times G / P)$ is given by

$$
(v,(g Q, g P)) T \cdot \sigma T=\left(v \sigma, \sigma^{-1}(g Q, g P)\right) T
$$

for any $V$ in the Borel construction. Restricted to $V \times{ }^{T} W / W_{u}$ the action of $w=\sigma T$ gives a map

$$
V \times^{T} W / W_{u} \rightarrow V \times^{T} W / W_{u}, \quad\left(v, x W_{u}\right) T=\left(v \sigma, \sigma^{-1} x W_{u}\right) T
$$

So its pullback defines an endomorphism of $\mathrm{h}_{T}\left(W / W_{u}\right)=\operatorname{Maps}\left(W / W_{u}, \mathrm{~h}_{T}(p t)\right)$ given by $f \mapsto w \cdot f$, where $w \cdot f: x \mapsto w f\left(w^{-1} x\right)$.

Lemma 8.2. The map $\eta_{P Q}$ factors through the orbit decomposition and is injective, i.e.,

$$
\eta_{P Q}: \mathrm{h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P) \simeq \bigoplus_{u \in^{Q} W^{P}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}\left(G / P_{u}\right)\left[\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{u}\right] \xrightarrow{\oplus_{u} \eta_{u}} \bigoplus_{u \in^{Q} W^{P}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}\left(W / W_{u}\right)
$$

where $\eta_{u}: \mathrm{h}_{T}\left(G / P_{u}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}_{T}\left(W / W_{u}\right)$ is the $T$-fixed point locus restriction.
Proof. It is compatible with the orbit stratification by definition and it is injective since so is each $\eta_{u}$.

By definition of the $W$-action the forgetful map $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}_{T}(p t)$ factors through ${ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(p t)$ but the $\operatorname{map} \mathrm{h}_{G}(p t) \rightarrow{ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(p t)$ in neither injective nor surjective in general. Moreover, $\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(p t)$ is of finite index in ${ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(p t)=S^{W}$.

Corollary 8.3. There is an isomorphism of ${ }^{W_{P}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / P)$ - and ${ }^{W_{Q}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q)$-modules

$$
W_{\mathrm{h}_{T}}(G / Q \times G / P) \simeq \bigoplus_{u \in Q^{Q} W^{P}}{ }^{W_{u}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}\left(G / P_{u}\right)\left[\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{u}\right]
$$

and $\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(G / Q \times G / P)$ is a subgroup of finite index in ${ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P)$.
Proof. It is obviously an isomorphism of ${ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / B)=S^{W}$-modules. The structure of ${ }^{W_{P}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / P)$-module (resp. ${ }^{W}{ }_{Q} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q)$-module) on ${ }^{W_{u}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}\left(G / P_{u}\right)$ is induced by projection $G / P_{u} \rightarrow G / P$ (resp. $G / P_{u} \rightarrow G / Q$ ) and the isomorphism is compatible with these projections.

Example 8.4. In particular, if the Borel isomorphism holds for h (e.g. if $R$ contains $\mathbb{Q})$, then $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)=\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(p t)={ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(p t),{ }^{W_{P}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / P)=S^{W_{P}},{ }^{W_{Q}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q)=S^{W_{Q}}$ so

$$
\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / P)=\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(G / Q \times G / P)={ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P)
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / P) \simeq S^{W_{Q}} \otimes_{S^{W}} S^{W_{P}}
$$

The map $\eta_{P Q}$ then is an isomorphism

$$
\eta_{P Q}: S^{W_{Q}} \otimes_{S^{W}} S^{W_{P}} \xlongequal{\leftrightharpoons} \bigoplus_{u \in^{Q} W^{P}} S^{W_{u}}
$$

Algebraic $W$-action. Following [LZZ, §3] we introduce another $Q_{W}$ action on $Q_{W}^{*}$ by

$$
\left(p \delta_{w}\right) \odot\left(q f_{v}\right)=p w(q) f_{w v}, p, q \in Q, w, v \in W
$$

This action restricts exactly to an action of $\mathbb{D}_{F}$ on $\mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}=\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / B)$. Moreover, the respective action by $\delta_{w} \in \mathbb{D}_{F}$ gives the action by $w \in W$ on the cohomology $\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / B)$, i.e., the $W$-action on $\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / B)$ is given by the $\odot$-action.

Remark 8.5. The $\odot$-action appeared in the context of Chow groups and $K$-theory in the works by Tymoszko, Brion, Peterson, Knutson and others.

So we have two different actions on $\mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}$ : the $\odot$-action (the left action) and the Hecke •-action (the right action). There are two commutative diagrams

where $\rho$ is the Borel map and $z \in S_{W}$ acts on $S$ by means of the •-action. In other words, the $\odot$ corresponds to the action of $W$ on the left $S$-factor and • corresponds to the action of $W$ on the right $S$-factor in $S \otimes_{S^{W}} S$.

We know that $\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / B)^{W_{P}}=\left(\mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}\right)^{W_{P}} \simeq \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / P)$ (the $\bullet$-action of $W_{P}$ ). The respective Borel model of this isomorphism is then $\left(S \otimes_{S^{W}} S\right)^{W_{P}} \simeq S \otimes_{S^{W}} S^{W_{P}}$. We have ${ }^{W_{Q}}\left(S \otimes_{S^{W}} S\right)^{W_{P}} \simeq S^{W_{Q}} \otimes_{S^{W}} S^{W_{P}}$ which corresponds to

$$
{ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / B)^{W_{P}} \simeq{ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P)
$$

Since the Künneth isomorphism (11) is $W$-equivariant we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P) & \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{W \text {-equiv }}\left(\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / Q), \mathrm{h}_{T}(G / P)\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{W}}\left(\left(\mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}\right)^{W_{Q}},\left(\mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}\right)^{W_{P}}\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{W}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{F, Q}^{\star}, \mathbb{D}_{F, P}^{\star}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}$ is considered as an $S_{W}$-module via the $\odot$-action.

## 9. Global sections of structure sheaves

In the present section we describe $W$-invariants of the $T$-equivariant cohomology of a product ${ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P)$ as modules of global sections of structure sheaves on moment graphs. Our main result is the following

Theorem 9.1. As an $S^{W_{Q}}$-module, ${ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P)$ is isomorphic to

$$
W_{Q} R_{W_{P}}=\left\{\left(b_{v}\right) \in \bigoplus_{v \in W^{P}} S^{W_{v}} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\text { (i) } b_{v}-b_{\overline{s_{\beta} v}} \in x_{\beta} S \text { for all } s_{\beta} \in W, \text { and }  \tag{A}\\
\text { (ii) } b_{\overline{s_{\alpha} v}}=s_{\alpha}\left(b_{v}\right) \text { for all } s_{\alpha} \in W_{Q},
\end{array}\right.\right\}
$$

and, hence, it is isomorphic to the module of $W_{Q}$-invariant global sections $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}^{P}\right)^{W_{Q}}$ of the structure h-sheaf $\mathcal{O}^{P}$ of Example 5.4 .

$$
Q_{A}^{P}:=\left\{\left(c_{u}\right) \in \bigoplus_{u \in Q W^{P}} S^{W_{P_{u}}} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
c_{u}-w\left(c_{u^{\prime}}\right) \in x_{\alpha} S  \tag{B}\\
\text { for all } \alpha \in \Sigma^{+}, w \in W_{Q} \\
\text { s. t. } u \in s_{\alpha} w u^{\prime} W_{P}
\end{array}\right.\right\}
$$

Moreover, if $\left\langle{ }^{Q} \mathcal{G}^{P}\right\rangle=\mathcal{G}^{P}$, then it is isomorphic to the module of global sections $\Gamma\left({ }^{Q} \mathcal{O}^{P}\right)$ of the double structure sheaf of Example 5.5 .

Proof. (A) Observe that the map $\eta_{P Q}$ restricted to $W$-invariants gives an inclusion (the $T$-fixed locus restriction)

$$
\eta_{P Q}:{ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P) \simeq \bigoplus_{u \in^{Q} W^{P}} W_{u} \mathrm{~h}_{T}\left(G / P_{u}\right) \stackrel{\oplus}{\mapsto} \bigoplus_{u \in^{Q} W^{P}} S^{W_{u}} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{u \in^{Q} W^{P}} Q^{W_{u}}
$$

Hence, we can identify ${ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P)={ }^{W_{Q}} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / P)$ with an $S^{W_{Q}}$-submodule of $\bigoplus_{u \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}} S^{W_{u}}$.

Using localization [CZZ, Thm. 11.9], we can identify $\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / P)$ with the following $S$-submodule of $S_{W / W_{P}}^{\star}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{W_{P}}=\left\{\left(b_{v}\right) \in \bigoplus_{v \in W^{P}} S \mid b_{v}-b_{\overline{s_{\beta} v}} \in x_{\beta} S, \forall s_{\beta} \notin v W_{P} v^{-1}\right\} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the divisibility does not depend on a choice of representative of the coset $s_{\alpha} v$ as there are no multiple edges in the respective (parabolic) moment graph.

The group $W$ acts on $Q_{W}^{*}$ via the $\odot$-action given by

$$
w \odot b_{v} f_{v}=w\left(b_{v}\right) f_{w v} \text { or, equivalently, } \quad w \odot\left(b_{v}\right)=\left(w\left(b_{w^{-1} v}\right)\right)
$$

This induces an action of $W$ on $S_{W / W_{P}}^{\star}$ via $w \odot b_{v} f_{v}=w\left(b_{v}\right) f_{\overline{w v}}$ which restricts to an action on $\mathrm{h}_{T}(G / P) \subset S_{W / W_{P}}^{\star}$.

Now, $\left(b_{v}\right) \in R_{W_{P}}$ is $W_{Q}$-invariant if and only if for any $v \in W^{P}$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\overline{s_{\alpha} v}}=s_{\alpha}\left(b_{v}\right), \quad \forall s_{\alpha} \in W_{Q} \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\overline{s_{\alpha} v}=v$ if $s_{\alpha} \in v W_{P} v^{-1}$. Hence, $\left(b_{v}\right) \in R_{W_{P}}$ is $W_{v}$-invariant if and only if

$$
b_{v}=b_{\overline{s_{\alpha} v}}=s_{\alpha}\left(b_{v}\right), \forall s_{\alpha} \in W_{v}, \text { i.e., } b_{v} \in S^{W_{P_{v}}} .
$$

Combining $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ and $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ we prove the isomorphism (A). The identification with the $W_{Q}$-invariant sections follows from the definition of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}^{P}\right)^{W_{Q}}$.
(B) Observe that $\alpha$ is uniquely determined by the class of $w$ modulo $W_{u^{\prime}}$, i.e., if $u \in s_{\alpha} w u^{\prime} W_{P}$ and $u \in s_{\beta} w^{\prime} u^{\prime} W_{P}$, where $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ belong to the same coset in $W_{Q} / W_{u^{\prime}}$, then $\alpha=\beta$.

We define a map

$$
\psi:{ }^{Q} A^{P} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in W^{P}} S^{W_{P_{v}}}, \quad \psi\left(c_{u}\right):=\left(w\left(c_{u}\right)\right)_{w u}, w \in W_{Q}^{u}
$$

This map is clearly an injective $S^{W_{Q}}$-module homomorphism, so if we show that its image is ${ }^{W_{Q}} R_{W_{P}}$ we are done.

Let $v=w u \in W^{P}$ be a parabolic decomposition. Since $s_{\alpha} v=s_{\alpha} w u, s_{\alpha} \in W_{Q}$ is in the same double coset as $v, \overline{s_{\alpha} v}=w^{\prime} u$ is the parabolic decomposition of $\overline{s_{\alpha} v}$, where $w^{\prime}$ is the minimal representative of the coset of $s_{\alpha} w$ in $W_{Q} / W_{u}$. We
then have $b_{\overline{s_{\alpha} v}}=w^{\prime}\left(c_{u}\right)=s_{\alpha}\left(w\left(c_{u}\right)\right)=s_{\alpha}\left(b_{v}\right)$. In other words, the image of $\psi$ is $W_{Q}$-invariant, i.e. (ii) holds.

Consider parabolic decompositions $v=w u$ and $\overline{s_{\beta} v}=w^{\prime} u^{\prime}$, where $u, u^{\prime} \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$, $w$ is a minimal representative in $W_{Q} / W_{u}$ and $w^{\prime}$ is a minimal representative in $W_{Q} / W_{u^{\prime}}$. Then $b_{v}=w\left(c_{u}\right), b_{\overline{s_{\beta} v}}=w^{\prime}\left(c_{u^{\prime}}\right)$ and the condition (i) becomes equivalent to

$$
\begin{gathered}
w\left(c_{u}\right)-w^{\prime}\left(c_{u^{\prime}}\right) \in x_{\beta} S \text { and, hence, to } \\
c_{u}-w^{-1} w^{\prime}\left(c_{u^{\prime}}\right) \in x_{w^{-1}(\beta)} S .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $w^{\prime} u^{\prime} z=s_{\beta} v=s_{\beta} w u$ for some $z \in W_{P}$, we obtain $u=w^{-1} s_{\beta} w^{\prime} u^{\prime} z=$ $s_{w^{-1}(\beta)} w^{-1} w^{\prime} u^{\prime} z$. Substituting $w^{-1} w^{\prime}$ by $w$ we obtain the condition on $\left(c_{u}\right)$ in the definition of ${ }^{Q} A^{P}$, Hence, (i) holds.

We have shown that $\operatorname{Im}(\psi) \subseteq{ }^{W}{ }^{Q} R_{W_{P}}$.
Vice versa, given an element $\left(b_{v}\right)_{v \in W^{P}}$, one can obtain an element in ${ }^{Q} A^{P}$ by projecting onto the ${ }^{Q} W^{P}$-components: Set $c_{u}=w^{-1}\left(b_{v}\right)$, where $v=w u$ is the parabolic decomposition. If $u, u^{\prime} \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}, w \in W_{Q}$, and $\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}$are such that $u \in s_{\alpha} w u^{\prime} W_{P}$, then $c_{u}-w\left(c_{u^{\prime}}\right)=c_{u}-c_{w u^{\prime}} \in x_{\alpha} S$ (since $c_{u^{\prime}} \in S^{W_{u^{\prime}}}$ we may assume $w u^{\prime} \in{ }^{Q} W^{P}$ ) and so $\left(c_{u}\right)_{u \in \in^{Q} W^{P}} \in{ }^{Q} A^{P}$.

Finally, the identification with global sections $\Gamma\left({ }^{Q} \mathcal{O}^{P}\right)$ follows by definition and the proof of part $(B)$ is finished.

Example 9.2. Let $G=S L_{3}$ and let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ denote the two parabolic subgroups

$$
P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
* & * & * \\
* & * & * \\
0 & 0 & *
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
* & * & * \\
0 & * & * \\
0 & * & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $s_{1}=(12), s_{2}=(23)$ be the two simple reflections of $W=S_{3}$ corresponding to the two parabolic subgroups above. Let $\mathrm{h}=C H$ be the Chow theory. We then have $S:=\mathbb{Z}\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right]$ (the polynomial ring in two variables with integer coefficients).

The minimal length representatives for $S_{3} /\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle$ are $\left\{e, s_{2}, s_{1} s_{2}\right\}$ and the moment graph description of $C H_{T}\left(S L_{3} / P_{1}\right)$ is

$$
\left\{\left(z_{e}, z_{s_{2}}, z_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right) \in S \oplus S \oplus S \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
z_{e}-z_{s_{2}} \in \alpha_{2} S, z_{s_{2}}-z_{s_{1} s_{2}} \in \alpha_{1} S \\
z_{e}-z_{s_{1} s_{2}} \in\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) S
\end{array}\right.\right\}
$$

Here $s_{1} s_{2}=s_{s_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} s_{2}$, where $s_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1}$.
The action of $S_{3}$ on $C H_{T}\left(S L_{3} / P_{1}\right)$ is determined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{1}\left(\left(z_{e}, z_{s_{2}}, z_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)\right)=\left(s_{1}\left(z_{e}\right), s_{1}\left(z_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right), s_{1}\left(z_{s_{2}}\right)\right),  \tag{2}\\
& s_{2}\left(\left(z_{e}, z_{s_{2}}, z_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)\right)=\left(s_{2}\left(z_{s_{2}}\right), s_{2}\left(z_{e}\right), s_{2}\left(z_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)\right) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

By equation (2), an element $\left(z_{e}, z_{s_{2}}, z_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right) \in C H_{T}\left(S L_{3} / P_{1}\right)$ is $s_{1}$-invariant if and only if

$$
z_{e}=s_{1}\left(z_{e}\right), z_{s_{2}}=s_{1}\left(z_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)
$$

(The third condition $z_{s_{1} s_{2}}=s_{1}\left(z_{s_{2}}\right)$ is clearly redundant). We deduce that

$$
{ }^{s_{1}} C H_{T}\left(S L_{3} / P_{1}\right)=
$$

$$
\left.\left\{\left(z_{e}, z_{s_{2}}, s_{1}\left(z_{s_{2}}\right)\right) \in S^{s_{1}} \oplus S \oplus S \mid z_{e}-z_{s_{2}} \in \alpha_{2} S, z_{e}-s_{1}\left(z_{s_{2}}\right) \in\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) S\right)\right\}
$$

We notice that the second congruence is equivalent to the first one, since $z_{e} \in S^{s_{1}}$ and $s_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$. Thus we have an isomorphism of $S^{s_{1}}$-modules:

$$
{ }^{s_{1}} C H_{T}\left(S L_{3} / P_{1}\right) \cong\left\{\left(z_{e}, z_{s_{2}}\right) \in S^{s_{1}} \oplus S \mid z_{e}-z_{s_{2}} \in \alpha_{2} S\right\}
$$

This description is encoded in the double moment graph:

$$
e \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2}} s_{2} .
$$

We notice that $\left\{(1,1),\left(\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right), 0\right),\left(0, \alpha_{2}\right)\right\}$ is a basis for ${ }^{s_{1}} C H_{T}\left(S L_{3} / P_{1}\right)$ as a free $S^{s_{1}}$-module.

Example 9.3. Equation (3) tells us that $\left(z_{e}, z_{s_{2}}, z_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right) \in C H_{T}\left(S L_{3} / P_{1}\right)$ is $s_{2^{-}}$ invariant if and only if

$$
z_{s_{2}}=s_{2}\left(z_{e}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad z_{s_{1} s_{2}}=s_{2}\left(z_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)
$$

from which, reasoning as in the previous case, we get

$$
{ }^{s_{2}} C H_{T}\left(S L_{3} / P_{1}\right) \cong\left\{\left(z_{e}, z_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right) \in S \oplus S^{s_{2}} \mid z_{e}-z_{s_{2} s_{1}} \in\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) S\right\}
$$

Such a space is an $S^{s_{2}}$-module with a basis $\left\{(1,1),\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}, 0\right),\left(0, \alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)\right)\right\}$. The corresponding double moment graph is then

$$
e \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}} s_{1} s_{2}
$$

## 10. Correspondence product and equivariant motives

Correspondence product. The $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)$-module $\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / B \times G / B)$ can be endowed with another product structure the so called correspondence product. Namely, given $f, g \in \mathrm{~h}_{G}(G / B \times G / B)$ we set

$$
f \circ g:=p r_{1,3 *}\left(p r_{1,2}^{*}(f) \cdot p r_{2,3}^{*}(g)\right)
$$

where $p r_{i, j}:(G / B)^{3} \rightarrow(G / B)^{2}$ are projections to the respective components and $p r^{*}, p r_{*}$ denote the induced pull-backs and push-forwards in the theory h. Since $G / B \times G / B$ is a cellular space over $G / B$ (via the orbit stratification), and $\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / B)=$ $\mathrm{h}_{T}(p t)={ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / B)$, we have

$$
\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / B \times G / B)=\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(G / B \times G / B)={ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / B \times G / B)={ }^{B} A^{B}
$$

as $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)$-modules. By [NPSZ, Theorem 6.2] ${ }^{B} A^{B}$ with respect to the correspondence product ' $\circ$ ' is a (non-commutative) ring isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}_{F}$. Moreover, by the Künneth isomorphism it is also isomorphic to the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}_{S_{W}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{F}^{\star}\right)$ of $S_{W}$-modules (w.r. to the $\odot$-action).

More generally, given parabolic subgroups $P, Q, H$ of $G$ there is a $\mathrm{h}_{G}(p t)$-bilinear map

$$
\circ: \mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / P) \otimes \mathrm{h}_{G}(G / P \times G / H) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / H)
$$

defined by the correspondence product

$$
(\phi, \psi) \mapsto \psi \circ \phi=p r_{Q, H *}\left(p r_{P, H}^{*}(\psi) \cdot p r_{Q, P}^{*}(\phi)\right)
$$

where $p r_{Q, P}: G / Q \times G / P \times G / H \rightarrow G / Q \times G / P$ is the corresponding projection. It restricts to the cohomology of the image $\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(G / Q \times G / P)$ and, moreover, since the Künneth isomorphism is $W$-equivariant it induces a pairing

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q^{P} \otimes^{P} \otimes^{P} A^{H} \rightarrow{ }^{Q} A^{H}, \text { where } \\
Q^{Q} A^{P}=\left({ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P), \circ\right) \simeq \operatorname{End}_{S_{W}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{F, Q}^{\star}, \mathbb{D}_{F, P}^{\star}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Equivariant motives. Let $\mathfrak{M}_{G}$ denote a full additive subcategory of the category of $G$-equivariant h-motives generated by the motives of varieties $G / P$ for all parabolic $P$ (we refer to [PS16] for definitions and basic properties of this category). Recall only that morphisms in this category are cohomology groups $\mathrm{h}_{G}(X \times Y)$, where $G$ acts diagonally on the product of smooth projective $G$-varieties $X$ and $Y$ and the composition is given by the correspondence product. Following [NZ, NPSZ] let $\mathfrak{M}_{G \mid T}$ denote a full additive subcategory of the respective category of $G \mid T$ equivariant h -motives where the morphisms are given by images $\mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(X \times Y)$ of forgetful maps.

Definition 10.1. Given a category of projective homogeneous $G$-varieties we define a category of $W$-invariant $T$-equivariant motives ${ }^{W} \mathfrak{M}_{T}$ as follows. We set morphisms to be elements of ${ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(X \times Y)$ and the composition to be the restriction of the correspondence product on $\mathfrak{M}_{T}$ (observe that the correspondence product on $\mathfrak{M}_{T}$ is $W$-equivariant).

By Theorem 9.1 the category ${ }^{W} \mathfrak{M}_{T}$ is equivalent to the full additive subcategory of $S_{W}$-modules generated by $\mathbb{D}_{F, P}^{\star}$ for all parabolics $P$. There are induced functors

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{G} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{1}} \mathfrak{M}_{G \mid T} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{2}} W_{\mathfrak{M}_{T}}
$$

defined on morphisms by

$$
\mathrm{h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / P) \rightarrow \mathrm{h}_{G \mid T}(G / Q \times G / P) \hookrightarrow{ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P)={ }^{Q} A^{P}
$$

so the functor $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ is faithful by definition.
Remark 10.2. All these functors become equivalences if $R$ contains $\mathbb{Q}$ or if the torsion index of $G$ is 1 or if $G$ is simply-connected and $\mathrm{h}=K_{0}$.

Remark 10.3. For some theories h (e.g. for $C H$ and $K_{0}$ ) induced maps on endomorphisms rings have nilpotent kernels (this is essentially the Rost nilpotence theorem for h discussed in [M06, CNZ]). So there is a lifting of idempotents with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{1}$. In other words, if $\operatorname{End}_{S_{W}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{F, P}^{\star}\right)={ }^{P} A^{P}$ has no idempotents, then the $G$-equivariant h-motive of $G / P$ is indecomposable.

Remark 10.4. For the Chow theory $C H(-; \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}), p$ is a prime, and some groups $G$ (e.g. see $\mathrm{Vi07}$ for $P G L_{p}$ ), the functor $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ is an equivalence. The latter is closely related to surjectivity of the map $C H(B G) \rightarrow C H(B T)^{W}$. Indeed, if these maps are surjective for Levi-parts of all parabolic subgroups of $G$, including $G$ itself, then $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ is an equivalence.

Suppose $G$ is of type $A$. Consider the total flag variety $X=\amalg_{P} G / P$ (the disjoint union is taken over all parabolic subgroups). Consider the direct sum $\mathcal{O}=\oplus_{P, Q}{ }^{Q} \mathcal{O}^{P}$ of double structure h-sheaves supported on the respective double moment graphs (see Example 5.5). We call $\mathcal{O}$ the total double structure h-sheaf of the group $G$.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 9.1 we have

$$
\Gamma(\mathcal{O})={ }^{W}{ }_{\mathrm{h}_{T}}(X \times X)=\bigoplus_{P, Q}{ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P)
$$

therefore, we obtain

Corollary 10.5. If $G$ is of type $A$, the correspondence product induces the (noncommutative) ring structure on the space of global sections $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})=\oplus_{P, Q} \Gamma\left({ }^{Q} \mathcal{O}^{P}\right)$ of the total double structure h-sheaf of $G$.

Moreover, the ring $\Gamma(\mathcal{O})$ is isomorphic to the endomorphism ring of the $W$ invariant $T$-equivariant motive of the total flag $X$, i.e., we have

$$
(\Gamma(\mathcal{O}), \circ) \simeq \operatorname{End}_{w_{1}} \mathfrak{M}_{T}([X])
$$

Example 10.6. Suppose $\mathrm{h}=C H(-; \mathbb{Q})$. Then ${ }^{W_{\mathrm{h}_{T}}}(G / Q \times G / P) \simeq S^{W_{Q}} \otimes_{S^{W}}$ $S^{W_{P}}$ is a free $S^{W}$-module of rank $r(Q, P)=\left.\right|^{Q} W^{P} \mid$. Therefore,

$$
\left({ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{T}(G / Q \times G / P), \circ\right) \simeq M_{r(Q, P)}\left(S^{W}\right) \text { and } \Gamma(\mathcal{O}) \simeq M_{r}\left(S^{W}\right), r=\sum_{(Q, P)} r(Q, P)
$$

Product of global sections. Given $\phi \in{ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / P)$ and $\psi \in{ }^{W}{ }_{\mathrm{h}_{G}}(G / P \times G / H)$ so that $\phi$ and $\psi$ are global sections of the respective double structure sheaves, we associate a new element $\psi \circ \phi \in{ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{G}(G / Q \times G / H)$ given by the correspondence product, which is a global section of the respective double structure sheaf as well. We want to describe this product explicitly.

To do this we extend the arguments of [CNZ, §8] (were the case $P=Q$ was considered). We first investigate the $Q$-module $\operatorname{Hom}_{Q_{W}}\left(Q_{W / W_{Q}}^{*}, Q_{W / W_{P}}^{*}\right)$. Let $\left\{f_{v}\right\}$ denote the standard basis of the free $Q$-module $Q_{W / W_{Q}}^{*}$ or $Q_{W / W_{P}}^{*}$ (we use the same letter $f$ for these bases). Since the $Q_{W}$-module (via the $\odot$-action) $Q_{W / W_{Q}}^{*}$ is generated by $f_{e}$ (we have $w \odot f_{e}=f_{\bar{w}}$ for any $w \in W$ ), any homomorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{Q_{W}}\left(Q_{W / W_{Q}}^{*}, Q_{W / W_{P}}^{*}\right)$ is uniquely determined by it value on $f_{e}$ that is

$$
\phi\left(f_{e}\right)=\left(b_{v}\right)=\sum_{v \in W^{P}} b_{v} f_{v}, \quad b_{v} \in Q
$$

Since $\phi$ is $Q_{W}$-linear, it has to satisfy

$$
w \odot \phi\left(f_{e}\right)=\phi\left(w \odot f_{e}\right)=\phi\left(f_{\bar{w}}\right)=\phi\left(f_{e}\right)
$$

for all $w \in W_{Q}$, which translates as

$$
w \odot \sum_{v \in W^{P}} b_{v} f_{v}=\sum_{v \in W^{P}} w\left(b_{v}\right) f_{\overline{w v}}=\sum_{v \in W^{P}} b_{v} f_{v} \quad \text { for all } w \in W_{Q}
$$

Observe that the latter gives the condition (ii) of Theorem 9.1.(A). In other words, (ii) guarantees that $\left(b_{v}\right)$ define a $Q_{W}$-equivariant homomorphism $Q_{W / W_{Q}}^{*} \rightarrow Q_{W / W_{P}}^{*}$.

Similarly, $\psi \in{ }^{W} \mathrm{~h}_{G}(G / P \times G / H)$ is also determined by its value at $f_{e}$. Let

$$
\psi\left(f_{e}\right)=\left(c_{u}\right)=\sum_{u \in W^{H}} c_{u} f_{u}, \quad c_{u} \in Q
$$

hence, we have ( $\phi$ and $\psi$ are $Q_{W}$-equivariant)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi \circ \phi\left(f_{e}\right)= \psi\left(\sum_{v \in W^{P}} b_{v} f_{v}\right)=\sum_{v \in W^{P}} b_{v} \psi\left(f_{v}\right)=\sum_{v \in W^{P}} b_{v} \psi\left(v \odot f_{e}\right)= \\
& \sum_{v \in W^{P}} b_{v}\left(v \odot \psi\left(f_{e}\right)\right)=\sum_{v \in W^{P}} b_{v} \sum_{u \in W^{H}} v\left(c_{u}\right) f_{\overline{v u}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Summarizing, we obtain the following

Proposition 10.7. The correspondence product $\left(a_{w}\right)=\left(c_{u}\right) \circ\left(b_{v}\right)$ is given by

$$
\sum_{\left\{v \in W^{P}, u \in W^{H} \mid \overline{v u}=w\right\}} b_{v} v\left(c_{u}\right)=a_{w}, \text { for all } w \in W^{H}
$$

Observe that tensoring with $Q$ induces an embedding

$$
{ }^{W_{\mathrm{h}_{G}}(G / Q \times G / P)=\operatorname{Hom}_{S_{W}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{F, Q}^{\star}, \mathbb{D}_{F, P}^{\star}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{Q_{W}}\left(Q_{W / W_{Q}}^{*}, Q_{W / W_{P}}^{*}\right) . . . . . . .}
$$

We now investigate its image. Recall that

$$
\mathbb{D}_{F, Q}^{\star} \subset S_{W / W_{Q}}^{\star} \subset Q_{W / W_{Q}}^{*}
$$

is a $\mathbb{D}_{F}$-module generated by the class of a point $[p t]=x_{\Pi / Q} f_{e} \in S_{W / W_{Q}}^{\star}$, where $x_{\Pi / Q}=x_{\Pi} / x_{Q}, x_{Q}=\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{Q}^{-}} x_{\alpha}$ and $x_{\Pi}=\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{-}} x_{\alpha}$. Therefore, any $\phi$ is determined by its value on $[p t]$. On the other hand, $\phi([p t])$ belongs to $\mathbb{D}_{F, P}^{\star}$ as an element of $S_{W / W_{P}}^{\star} \subset Q_{W / W_{P}}^{*}$ if it satisfies the criteria (i) of Theorem 9.1.(A). Combining these together, we obtain that

Lemma 10.8. The element (global section of the localized double structure sheaf) $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{Q_{W}}\left(Q_{W / W_{Q}}^{*}, Q_{W / W_{P}}^{*}\right)$ comes from $\operatorname{Hom}_{S_{W}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{F, Q}^{\star}, \mathbb{D}_{F, P}^{\star}\right)$ if and only if the coefficients $b_{v} \in Q$ satisfy conditions

$$
b_{v}^{\prime}=x_{\Pi / Q} b_{v} \in S \text { and } x_{v(\alpha)} \mid\left(b_{v}^{\prime}-b_{s_{v(\alpha) v}}^{\prime}\right) \text { for all } \alpha \notin \Sigma_{P}
$$
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